







 <p>Leibniz (1676): chain rule for backward credit assignment, central ingredient of deep learning</p>	 <p>Legendre (1805) and Gauss (1795, unpublished): first linear neural networks (NNs) / linear regression / method of least squares / shallow learning</p> <p>Famous example of pattern recognition through shallow learning from astronomical data: re-discovery of dwarf planet Ceres (Gauss, 1801)</p>	 <p>Cauchy (1847): gradient descent (GD), basic tool of deep learning. Robbins &amp; Monro (1952): Stochastic GD</p>
<h1>THE ROAD TO MODERN AI</h1>		
 <p>Ising (1925): 1st recurrent network architecture: Lenz-Ising model (see also McCulloch &amp; Pitts, 1943, Kleene, 1956)</p>	 <p>Rosenblatt (1958): multilayer perceptron (MLP) (only last layer learned: no deep learning yet) See also Steinbuch (1961) Joseph (1961)</p> <p>Turing (1948): unpublished ideas related to evolving recurrent NNs (RNNs)</p>	 <p>Kelley (1960): precursor of backprop in control theory (compare Bryson, '61; Dreyfus, '62)</p>
<h2>ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORKS UP TO 1979</h2> <h3>FROM SHALLOW LEARNING CIRCA 1800 TO DEEP LEARNING</h3>		
 <p>Ivakhnenko &amp; Lapa (1965): first deep learning in deep MLPs that learn internal representations of input data</p>	 <p>Amari (1967-68): deep learning by stochastic gradient descent for deep MLPs 1972: 1st published learning RNN based on Ising model (1925)</p> <p>Linnainmaa (1970): backpropagation or reverse mode of automatic differentiation</p> <p>First applied to NNs by Werbos (1982)</p>	 <p>Fukushima (1979): deep convolutional neural net architecture 1969: rectified linear units. Both now widely used</p>

Jürgen Schmidhuber, KAUST GenAI, Swiss AI Lab IDSIA  
Pronounce: [You\\_again Shmidhoobuh](#)  
Technical Report IDSIA-22-22, IDSIA, 2022 (v1), 2025 (v3)  
<https://people.idsia.ch/~juergen/deep-learning-history.html>

AI Blog  
[@SchmidhuberAI](#)  
[juergen@idsia.ch](mailto:juergen@idsia.ch)  
[arXiv:2212.11279](https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.11279)

## Annotated History of Modern AI and Deep Learning

**Abstract.** Machine learning (ML) is the science of credit assignment. It seeks to find patterns in observations that explain and predict the consequences of events and actions. This then helps to improve future performance. Minsky's so-called "*fundamental credit assignment problem*" (1963) surfaces in all sciences including physics (why is the world the way it is?) and history (which persons/ideas/actions have shaped society and civilisation?). Here I focus on the history of ML itself. Modern artificial intelligence (AI) is dominated by artificial neural networks (NNs) and [deep learning](#),<sup>[DL1-4]</sup> both of which are conceptually closer to the old field of cybernetics than what was traditionally called AI (e.g., expert systems and logic programming). A modern history of AI & ML must emphasize breakthroughs outside the scope of shallow AI text books. In particular, it must cover the mathematical foundations of today's NNs such as the chain rule (1676), the first NNs (circa 1800), the first practical AI (1914), the theory of AI and its limitations (1931-34), and the first working deep learning algorithms (1965-). From the perspective of 2025, I provide a timeline of the most significant events in the history of NNs, ML, deep learning, AI, computer science, and mathematics in general, crediting the individuals who laid the field's foundations. The text contains numerous hyperlinks to relevant overview sites from the [AI Blog](#). It also debunks certain popular yet misleading historical accounts of AI

and deep learning and—with a ten-year delay—supplements my 2015 award-winning [deep learning survey](#)<sup>[DL1]</sup> which provides hundreds of additional references. Finally, I will put things in a broader historical context, spanning from the Big Bang to when the universe will be many times older than it is now.

**Disclaimer.** Some say a history of deep learning should not be written by someone who has helped to shape it—*"you are part of history not a historian."*<sup>[CONN21]</sup> I cannot subscribe to that point of view. Since I seem to know more about deep learning history than others—and evidently much more than many who have tried to summarize the history of deep learning before,<sup>[S20][DL3,DL3a][DL1-2][DLP][NOB]</sup> I consider it my duty to document and promote this knowledge, even if that seems to imply a conflict of interest, as it means prominently mentioning my own team's work, because (as of 2025) the [most cited NNs](#) are based on it.<sup>[MOST]</sup> I leave it to future AI historians to correct any era-specific potential bias.

---

## Table of Contents

---

- [Sec. 1: Introduction](#)
  - [Sec. 2: 1676: The Chain Rule For Backward Credit Assignment](#)
  - [Sec. 3: Circa 1800: First Neural Net \(NN\) / Linear Regression / Shallow Learning](#)
  - [Sec. 4: 1920-1925: First Recurrent NN \(RNN\) Architecture. ~1972: First Learning RNNs](#)
  - [Sec. 5: 1958: Multilayer Feedforward NN \(without Deep Learning\)](#)
  - [Sec. 6: 1965: First Deep Learning](#)
  - [Sec. 7: 1967-68: Deep Learning by Stochastic Gradient Descent](#)
  - [Sec. 8: 1970: Backpropagation. 1982: For NNs. 1960: Precursor.](#)
  - [Sec. 9: 1979: First Deep Convolutional NN \(1969: Rectified Linear Units\)](#)
  - [Sec. 10: 1980s-90s: Graph NNs / Stochastic Delta Rule \(Dropout\) / More RNNs / Etc](#)
  - [Sec. 11: Feb 1990: Generative Adversarial Networks / Artificial Curiosity / NN Online Planners](#)
  - [Sec. 12: April 1990: NNs Learn to Generate Subgoals / Work on Command](#)
  - [Sec. 13: March 1991: NNs Learn to Program NNs. Unnormalized Linear Transformers](#)
  - [Sec. 14: April 1991: Deep Learning by Pre-Training \(the P in ChatGPT\). Distilling NNs](#)
  - [Sec. 15: June 1991: Fundamental Deep Learning Problem: Vanishing/Exploding Gradients](#)
  - [Sec. 16: June 1991: Roots of Long Short-Term Memory / Highway Nets / ResNets](#)
  - [Sec. 17: 1980s-: NNs for Learning to Act Without a Teacher](#)
  - [Sec. 18: It's the Hardware, Stupid!](#)
  - [Sec. 19: But Don't Neglect the Theory of AI \(Since 1931\) and Computer Science](#)
  - [Sec. 20: The Broader Historic Context from Big Bang to Far Future](#)
  - [Sec. 21: Acknowledgments](#)
  - [Sec. 22: 666+ Partially Annotated References \(many more in the award-winning survey<sup>\[DL1\]</sup>\)](#)
- 

## Introduction

---

Over time, certain historic events have become more important in the eyes of certain beholders. For example, the Big Bang of 13.8 billion years ago is now widely considered an

essential moment in the history of everything. Until a few decades ago, however, it has remained completely unknown to earthlings, who for a long time have entertained quite erroneous ideas about the origins of the universe (see [the final section](#) for more on the world's history). Currently accepted histories of many more limited subjects are results of similarly radical revisions. Here I will focus on the history of artificial intelligence (AI), which also isn't quite what it used to be.

A history of AI written in the 1980s would have emphasized topics such as theorem proving, [\[GOD\]\[GOD34\]\[ZU48\]\[NS56\]](#) logic programming, expert systems, and heuristic search. [\[FEI63,83\]\[LEN83\]](#) This would be in line with topics of a 1956 conference in Dartmouth, where the term "AI" was coined by John McCarthy as a way of describing an old area of research seeing renewed interest.

However, *practical AI* existed long before 1956, dating back at least to 1914, when Leonardo Torres y Quevedo ([see below](#)) built the first working chess end game player [\[BRU1-4\]](#) (back then chess was considered as an activity restricted to the realms of intelligent creatures).

Similar for *AI Theory*, which dates back at least to 1931-34 when Kurt Gödel ([see below](#)) identified fundamental limits of any type of computation-based AI. [\[GOD\]\[BIB3\]\[GOD21,a,b\]](#)

A history of AI written in the early 2000s would have put more emphasis on topics such as support vector machines and kernel methods, [\[SVM1-6\]](#) Bayesian (actually Laplacian or possibly Saundersonian [\[STI83-85\]](#)) reasoning [\[BAY1-8\]\[FI22\]](#) and other concepts of probability theory and statistics, [\[MM1-5\]\[NIL98\]\[RUS95\]](#) decision trees, e.g., [\[MIT97\]](#) ensemble methods, [\[ENS1-4\]](#) swarm intelligence, [\[SW1\]](#) and evolutionary computation. [\[EVO1-7\]\[TUR1,unpublished\]](#) Why? Because back then such techniques drove many successful AI applications.

A history of AI written in the 2020s must emphasize concepts such as the even older chain rule [\[LEI07\]](#) and deep nonlinear artificial neural networks (NNs) trained by gradient descent, [\[GD\]](#) in particular, feedback-based recurrent networks, which are general computers whose programs are weight matrices. [\[AC90\]](#) Why? Because many of the most famous and most commercial recent AI applications depend on them. [\[DL4\]\[GPT3\]](#)

Such NN concepts are actually conceptually close to topics of the MACY conferences (1946-1953) [\[MACY51\]](#) and the *1951 Paris conference on calculating machines and human thought*, now often viewed as the first conference on AI. [\[AI51\]\[BRO21\]\[BRU4\]](#) However, before 1956, much of what's now called AI was still called *cybernetics*, with a focus very much in line with [modern AI](#) based on "deep learning" with NNs. [\[DL1-2\]\[DEC\]](#)

Although modern NNs date back to the late 1700s when people did not even know about biological neurons (see [Sec. 3](#)), some of the more recent NN research was inspired by the human brain, which has on the order of 100 billion neurons, each connected to 10,000 other neurons on average. Some are input neurons that feed the rest with data (sound, vision, tactile, pain, hunger). Others are output neurons that control muscles. Most neurons are hidden in between, where thinking takes place. Your brain apparently learns by changing the strengths or weights of the connections, which determine how strongly neurons influence each other, and which seem to encode all your lifelong experience. Similar for our *artificial* NNs, which learn better than previous methods to recognize speech or handwriting or video, minimize pain, maximize pleasure, drive cars, etc. [\[MIR\]\(Sec. 0\)\[DL1-4\]](#)



How can NNs learn all of this? In what follows, I shall highlight essential historic contributions that made this possible. Since virtually all of the fundamental concepts of modern AI were derived in previous millennia (including the basics of Large Language Models such as ChatGPT), the section titles below emphasize developments only up to the year 2000. However, many of the sections mention the later impact of this work in the new millennium, which brought numerous improvements in hardware and software, a bit like the 20th century brought numerous improvements of the cars invented in the 19th.

The present piece also debunks a frequently repeated, misleading "history of deep learning"<sup>[S20]</sup><sup>[DL3,3a]</sup> which ignores most of the pioneering work mentioned below.<sup>[T22][DLP][NOB]</sup> See [Footnote 5](#). The title image of the present article is a reaction to an erroneous piece of common knowledge which says<sup>[T19]</sup> that the use of NNs *"as a tool to help computers recognize patterns and simulate human intelligence had been introduced in the 1980s,"* although such NNs appeared long before the 1980s.<sup>[T22][DLP][NOB]</sup> Ensuring proper credit assignment in all of science is of great importance to me—just as it should be to all scientists—and I encourage an interested reader to also take a look at some of my letters on this in *Science* and *Nature*, e.g., on the history of aviation,<sup>[NASC1-2]</sup> the telephone,<sup>[NASC3]</sup> the computer,<sup>[NASC4-7]</sup> resilient robots,<sup>[NASC8]</sup> and scientists of the 19th century.<sup>[NASC9]</sup>


[Finally](#), to round it off, I'll put things in a broader historic context spanning the time since the Big Bang until when the universe will be many times older than it is now.

---

## 1676: The Chain Rule For Backward Credit Assignment

---

In 1676, [Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz](#) published the chain rule of differential calculus in a memoir (albeit with a sign error of all things!); Guillaume de l'Hospital described it in his 1696 textbook on Leibniz' differential calculus.<sup>[LEI07-10][L84]</sup> Today, this rule is central for credit assignment in deep neural networks (NNs). Why? The most popular NNs have nodes or neurons that compute differentiable functions of inputs from other neurons, which in turn compute differentiable functions of inputs from other neurons, and so on. The question is: how will the output of the final function change if we modify the parameters or weights of an earlier function a bit? The chain rule is the basic tool for computing the answer.



This answer is used by the technique of *gradient descent* (GD), apparently first proposed by Augustin-Louis Cauchy in 1847<sup>[GD]</sup> (and much later by Jacques Hadamard<sup>[GD]</sup>; the stochastic version called SGD is due to Herbert Robbins and Sutton Monro (1951)<sup>[STO51-52]</sup>). To teach an NN to translate input patterns from a training set into desired output patterns, all NN weights are iteratively changed a bit in the direction of the biggest local improvement, to create a slightly better NN, and so on, until a satisfactory solution is found.

*Footnote 1.* In 1684, Leibniz was also the first to publish "modern" calculus;<sup>[L84][SON18][MAD05][LEI21,a,b]</sup> later Isaac Newton was also credited for his unpublished work.<sup>[SON18]</sup> Their priority dispute,<sup>[SON18]</sup>





[LEI21,a,b]

however, did *not* encompass the chain rule.<sup>[LEI07-10]</sup> Of course, both were building on earlier work: in the 2nd century B.C., Archimedes (sometimes called [the greatest scientist ever](#)<sup>[ARC06]</sup>) paved the way for *infinitesimals* and published special cases of calculus, e.g., for spheres and parabola segments, building on even earlier work in ancient Greece. Fundamental work on calculus was also conducted in the 14th century by Madhava of Sangamagrama and colleagues of the Indian Kerala school.<sup>[MAD86-05]</sup>

*Footnote 2.* Remarkably, Leibniz (1646-1714, aka "the world's first computer scientist"<sup>[LA14]</sup>) also laid foundations of modern computer science. He designed the first machine that could perform all four arithmetic operations (1673), and the first with an *internal memory*.<sup>[BL16]</sup> He described the principles of *binary computers* (1679)<sup>[L79][L03][LA14][HO66]</sup>


employed by virtually all modern machines. His formal *Algebra of Thought* (1686)<sup>[L86][WI48]</sup> was deductively equivalent<sup>[LE18]</sup> to the much later *Boolean Algebra* (1847).<sup>[BOO]</sup> His *Characteristica Universalis & Calculus Ratiocinator* aimed at answering all possible questions through computation;<sup>[WI48]</sup> his "*Calculus*!" is one of the defining quotes of the age of enlightenment. It is quite remarkable that he is *also* responsible for the chain rule, foundation of "modern" deep learning, a key subfield of modern computer science.

*Footnote 3.* Some claim that the [backpropagation algorithm](#) (discussed further down; now widely used to train deep NNs) is just the chain rule of Leibniz (1676) popularised by L'Hospital (1696).<sup>[CONN21]</sup> No, it is the efficient way of applying the chain rule to big networks with differentiable nodes (there are also many inefficient ways of doing this).<sup>[BP4][T22][DLP][NOB]</sup> It was not published until 1970, [as discussed below](#).<sup>[BP1,4,5]</sup>

## ~1800: First NN / Linear Regression / Shallow Learning

In 1805, Adrien-Marie Legendre published what's now called a 2-layer linear neural network (NN). [Johann Carl Friedrich Gauss](#) was credited for earlier unpublished work on this done circa 1795.<sup>[STI81]</sup> Back then, compute was many trillions of times more expensive than in 2025.

The [Gauss-Legendre NN](#) from over 2 centuries ago<sup>[NN25]</sup> has an input layer with several input units, and an output layer. For simplicity, let's assume the latter consists of a single output unit. Each input unit can hold a real-valued number and is connected to the output unit by a connection with a real-valued weight. The NN's output is the sum of the products of the inputs and their weights. Given a training set of input vectors and desired target values for each of them, the NN weights are adjusted such that the *sum of the squared errors* between the NN outputs and the corresponding targets is minimized.<sup>[DLH]</sup> Now the NN can be used to process previously unseen test data.





Of course, back then this was not called an NN, because people didn't even know about biological neurons yet (the first microscopic image of a nerve cell was created decades later by Valentin in 1836<sup>[CAJ06]</sup>). Instead, the technique was called *the Method of Least Squares*, also widely known in statistics as *Linear Regression*. But it is *mathematically identical* to today's linear 2-layer NNs: *same* basic algorithm, *same* error function, *same* adaptive parameters/weights. Such simple NNs perform "*shallow learning*" (as opposed to "*deep learning*" with many nonlinear layers<sup>[DL25]</sup>). In fact, many modern NN courses start by introducing this method, then move on to more complex, deeper NNs.<sup>[DLH]</sup>

Even the applications of the early 1800s were similar to today's: learn to predict the next element of a sequence, given previous elements.

*That's what ChatGPT does!* The first famous example of pattern recognition through an NN dates back over 200 years: the rediscovery of the dwarf planet Ceres in 1801 through Gauss, who collected noisy data points from previous astronomical observations, then used them to adjust the parameters of a predictor, which essentially learned to generalise from the training data to correctly predict the new location of Ceres. That's what made the young Gauss famous.<sup>[DLH][NN25]</sup>



Some people believe that modern NNs were somehow inspired by the biological brain. But that's not the case: decades before biological nerve cells were discovered (1836) and the term "*neuron*" was coined by Waldeyer (1891),<sup>[CAJ06]</sup> plain engineering and mathematical problem solving already led to what's now called NNs. True, the *terminology* of artificial neural nets was introduced only much later in the 1900s. For example, certain non-learning NNs were discussed by McCulloch & Pitts in 1943.<sup>[MC43]</sup> Informal thoughts about a simple NN learning rule were published by Konorski in 1948<sup>[HEB48]</sup> and by Hebb in 1949.<sup>[HEB49]</sup> Evolutionary computation<sup>[EVO1-7]</sup> for NNs<sup>[EVONN1-3]</sup> was mentioned in Turing's unpublished 1948 report.<sup>[TUR1]</sup> Rosenblatt's perceptron (1958)<sup>[R58]</sup> combined a linear **Gauss-Legendre NN** (1795-1805) with an output threshold function to obtain a pattern classifier (compare [his more advanced work on multi-layer networks discussed below](#)). Joseph<sup>[R61]</sup> mentions an even earlier perceptron-like device by Farley & Clark (see also their earlier work<sup>[FAC54-55]</sup>). Widrow & Hoff's similar Adaline

learned in 1962.<sup>[WID62]</sup> See also Selfridge's 1959 Pandemonium.<sup>[SE59]</sup> However, while these NN papers of the mid 1900s are of historical interest, *they have actually less to do with modern AI than the much older adaptive NN by Gauss & Legendre*, still heavily used in the 1990s and 2000s, the very foundation of all NNs, including the recent deeper NNs.<sup>[DLH][NN25]</sup> Remarkably, in the past 2 centuries, not so much has changed in AI research: as of 2025, NN progress is still mostly driven by engineering, not by neurophysiological insights. (Exceptions dating back many decades<sup>e.g.,[CN25]</sup> confirm the rule.)

Astonishingly, NN authors of the mid 1900s<sup>e.g.,[R58][R61]</sup> seemed unaware of the much earlier NN (1795-1805) famously known in the field of statistics as "method of least squares" or "linear regression." Remarkably, today's most frequently used 2-layer NNs are those of Gauss & Legendre, not those of the 1940s<sup>[MC43]</sup> and 1950s<sup>[R58]</sup> (which were not even differentiable)! See also: [who invented artificial neural networks?](#)<sup>[NN25]</sup>

*Footnote 4.* Today, students of all technical disciplines are required to take math classes, in particular, analysis, linear algebra, and statistics. In all of these fields, essential results and methods are (at least partially) due to Gauss: the fundamental theorem of algebra, Gauss elimination, the Gaussian distribution of statistics, etc. The so-called "greatest mathematician since antiquity" also pioneered differential geometry, number theory (his favorite subject), and non-Euclidean geometry. Furthermore, he made major contributions to astronomy and physics. Modern engineering including AI would be unthinkable without his results.

## 1920-1925: First Recurrent Network Architecture

Like the human brain, but unlike the more limited *feedforward* NNs (FNNs), recurrent NNs (RNNs) have feedback connections, such that one can follow directed connections from certain internal nodes to others and eventually end up where one started. This is essential for implementing a memory of past events during sequence processing.

The first *non-learning* RNN architecture (the Ising model or Lenz-Ising model) was introduced and analyzed by physicists Ernst Ising and Wilhelm Lenz in the 1920s.<sup>[L20][I24,I25][K41][W45][T22][NOB]</sup> It settles into an equilibrium state in response to input conditions, and is the foundation of the first *learning* RNNs (see below).

Non-learning RNNs were also discussed in 1943 by neuroscientists Warren McCulloch und Walter Pitts<sup>[MC43]</sup> and formally analyzed in 1956 by Stephen Cole Kleene.<sup>[K56]</sup>



## ~1972: First Published Learning Artificial RNNs

In 1972, Shun-Ichi Amari made the Lenz-Ising recurrent architecture *adaptive* such that it could learn to associate input patterns with output patterns by changing its connection weights.<sup>[AMH1]</sup> See also Stephen Grossberg's work on biological networks,<sup>[GRO69]</sup> David Marr's<sup>[MAR71]</sup> and Teuvo Kohonen's<sup>[KOH72]</sup> work, and Kaoru Nakano's learning RNN.<sup>[NAK72]</sup>





10 years later, the basic equations of the Amari network were republished (and its storage capacity analyzed).<sup>[AMH2][NOB]</sup> Some called it the Hopfield Network (!) or Amari-Hopfield Network.<sup>[AMH3]</sup> It does not process sequences but settles into an equilibrium in response to static input patterns. However, Amari (1972) also had a sequence-processing generalization thereof.<sup>[AMH1]</sup>




Remarkably, already in 1948, Alan Turing wrote up ideas related to artificial evolution and learning RNNs. This, however, was first published many decades later,<sup>[TUR1]</sup> which explains the obscurity of his thoughts here.<sup>[TUR21]</sup> (Margin note: it has been pointed out that the famous "Turing Test" should actually be called the "Descartes Test."

<sup>[TUR3,a,b][TUR21]</sup>

Today, the most popular RNN is the [Long Short-Term Memory \(LSTM\)](#) mentioned below, which has become the [most cited](#) AI of the 20th century.<sup>[MOST]</sup>

## 1958: Multilayer Feedforward NN (without Deep Learning)

In 1958, Frank Rosenblatt not only combined linear NNs and threshold functions (see [the section on shallow learning since 1800](#)), he also had more interesting, deeper *multilayer* perceptrons (MLPs).<sup>[R58]</sup> His MLPs had a non-learning first layer with randomized weights and an adaptive output layer. Although this was not yet deep learning, because only the last layer learned,<sup>[DL1]</sup> Rosenblatt basically had what much later was rebranded as *Extreme Learning Machines (ELMs)* without proper attribution.<sup>[ELM1-2][CONN21][T22][DLP]</sup>



MLPs were also discussed in 1961 by Karl Steinbuch<sup>[ST61-95]</sup> and Roger David Joseph<sup>[R61]</sup> (1961). See also Oliver Selfridge's multilayer Pandemonium<sup>[SE59]</sup> (1959).

Following Joseph's 1961 preliminary ideas about training hidden units,<sup>[R61]</sup> Rosenblatt (1962) even wrote about "*back-propagating errors*" in an MLP with a hidden layer,<sup>[R62]</sup> but Joseph & Rosenblatt had no working *deep learning* algorithm for deep MLPs. What's now called [backpropagation](#) is quite different and was first published in 1970, [as discussed below](#).<sup>[BP1-BP5][BPA-C]</sup>

Today, the most popular FNN is a variant of the LSTM-based Highway Net ([mentioned below](#)) called [ResNet](#),<sup>[HW1-3][HW25,b]</sup> which has become the [most cited](#) AI of the 21st century.<sup>[MOST]</sup>


# 1965: First Deep Learning

Successful learning in *deep* feedforward network architectures started in 1965 in Ukraine (back then the USSR) when Alexey Ivakhnenko & Valentin Lapa introduced the first general, working learning algorithms for deep multi-layer perceptrons (MLPs) or feedforward NNs (FNNs) with many hidden layers (already containing the now popular multiplicative gates).<sup>[DEEP1-2][DL1-2][DLH][DL25]</sup>

A paper of 1971<sup>[DEEP2]</sup> described a deep learning net with 8 layers, trained by their highly cited method which was still popular in the new millennium,<sup>[DL2]</sup> especially in Eastern Europe.<sup>[MIR](Sec. 1)[R8]</sup>

Given a training set of input vectors with corresponding target output vectors, layers are incrementally grown and trained by regression analysis. In a fine-tuning phase, superfluous hidden units are pruned through regularisation with the help of a separate validation set.<sup>[DEEP2][DLH]</sup> The numbers of layers and units per layer are learned in problem-dependent fashion. This is a generalization of the original 2-layer Gauss-Legendre NN (1795-1805).<sup>[DLP]</sup> See also: [who invented artificial neural networks?](#)<sup>[INN25]</sup>

That is, *Ivakhnenko and colleagues had connectionism with adaptive hidden layers two decades before the name "connectionism" became popular in the 1980s*. Like later deep NNs, his nets learned to create hierarchical, distributed, *internal representations* of incoming data. He did not call them *deep learning* NNs, but that's what they were.



His pioneering work was repeatedly republished without attribution by researchers who went on to share a Turing award.<sup>[DLP][NOB][PLAG1-6][FAKE1-3]</sup> For example, the depth of Ivakhnenko's 1971 *layer-wise training*<sup>[DEEP2]</sup> was comparable to the depth of Hinton's and Bengio's 2006 *layer-wise training* published 35 years later<sup>[UN4][UN5]</sup> without comparison to the original work<sup>[NOB]</sup>—done when compute was millions of times more expensive. Similarly, LeCun et al.<sup>[LEC89]</sup> published NN

pruning techniques without referring to Ivakhnenko's original work on pruning deep NNs. Even in their later "surveys" of deep learning,<sup>[DL3][DL3a]</sup> the awardees failed to mention the very origins of deep learning.<sup>[DLP][NOB]</sup> Ivakhnenko & Lapa also demonstrated that it is possible to learn appropriate weights for hidden units using only locally available information *without requiring a biologically implausible backward pass*.<sup>[BP4]</sup> 6 decades later, Hinton later attributed this achievement to himself.<sup>[NOB25a]</sup>

Why did deep learning emerge in the USSR in the early 1960s? Back then, the country was leading many important fields of science and technology, most notably in space: first satellite (1957), first man-made object on a heavenly body (1959), first man in space (1961), first woman in space (1962), first robot landing on a heavenly body (1965), first robot on another planet (1970). The USSR also detonated the world's biggest bomb ever (1961), and was home of many leading mathematicians, with sufficient funding for blue skies math research whose enormous significance would emerge only several decades later. See also: [who invented deep learning?](#)<sup>[DL25]</sup>

---

## 1967-68: Deep Learning by Stochastic Gradient Descent

---

Ivakhnenko and Lapa (1965, [see above](#)) trained their deep networks layer by layer. In 1967, however, Shun-ichi Amari suggested to train MLPs with many layers in non-incremental end-to-end fashion from scratch by stochastic gradient descent (SGD),<sup>[GD1]</sup> a method proposed in 1951 by Robbins & Monro.<sup>[STO51-52]</sup>



Amari's implementation<sup>[GD2,GD2a]</sup> (with his student Saito) learned *internal representations* in a five layer MLP with two modifiable layers, which was trained to classify non-linearly separable pattern classes. Back then compute was billions of times more expensive than today.

Note that Amari's method is general enough for *Reinforcement Learning* without a teacher. See [Sec. 17](#).

See also Iakov Zalmanovich Tsytkin's even earlier work on gradient descent-based on-line learning for non-linear systems,<sup>[GDa-b]</sup> as well as the extensive work of his pupil Alexander Galushkin since 1970.<sup>[GAL07]</sup>

Remarkably, [as mentioned above](#), Amari also published learning RNNs in 1972.<sup>[AMH1][NOB]</sup>

---

## 1970: Backpropagation. 1982: For NNs. 1960: Precursor.

---

In 1970, Seppo Linnainmaa was the first to publish what's now known as [backpropagation](#), the famous algorithm for credit assignment in networks of differentiable nodes,<sup>[BP1,4,5]</sup> also known as "reverse mode of automatic differentiation." It is now the foundation of widely used NN software packages such as PyTorch and Google's Tensorflow.





The first NN-specific application of efficient BP as above was described by Werbos in 1982<sup>[BP2]</sup> (but not yet in his 1974 thesis, as is sometimes claimed).

In 1960, Henry J. Kelley already had a precursor of backpropagation in the field of control theory;<sup>[BPA]</sup> see also later work of the early 1960s by Stuart Dreyfus and Arthur E. Bryson.<sup>[BPB][BPC][R7]</sup> Unlike Linnainmaa's general method,<sup>[BP1]</sup> the systems of the 1960s<sup>[BPA-C]</sup> backpropagated derivative information through standard Jacobian matrix calculations from one "stage" to the previous one, neither addressing direct links across several stages nor potential additional efficiency gains due to network sparsity.



Backpropagation is essentially an efficient way of implementing Leibniz's chain rule<sup>[LEI07-10]</sup> (1676) (see above) for deep networks (there are also many inefficient ways of doing this—see Footnote 3). Cauchy's gradient descent<sup>[GD]</sup> uses this to incrementally weaken certain NN connections and strengthen others in the course of many trials, such that the NN behaves more and more like some teacher, which could be a human, or another NN,<sup>[UN-UN2]</sup> or something else.

By 1985, compute had become about 1,000 times cheaper than in 1970, and the first desktop computers had just become accessible in wealthier academic labs. An experimental analysis of the known method<sup>[BP1-2][DLP][NOB]</sup> by David E. Rumelhart et al. then demonstrated that backpropagation can yield useful internal representations in hidden layers of NNs.<sup>[RUM]</sup> At least for supervised learning, backpropagation is generally more efficient than Amari's above-mentioned deep learning through the more general SGD method (1967), which learned useful internal representations in NNs about 2 decades earlier.<sup>[GD1-2a][DLP][NOB]</sup>

It took 4 decades until the backpropagation method of 1970<sup>[BP1-2]</sup> got widely accepted as a training method for deep NNs. Before 2010, many thought that the training of NNs with many layers requires unsupervised pre-training, a methodology introduced by Schmidhuber in 1991<sup>[UN][UN0-3]</sup> (see below), and later championed by others (2006).<sup>[UN4]</sup> In fact, it was claimed<sup>[VID1]</sup> that "nobody in their right mind would ever suggest" to apply plain backpropagation to deep


NNs. However, in 2010, the team with Schmidhuber's outstanding Romanian postdoc Dan Ciresan<sup>[MLP1-3]</sup> showed that deep FNNs can be trained on GPU by plain backpropagation and do not at all require unsupervised pre-training for important applications.<sup>[MLP3]</sup>



Their system set a new performance record<sup>[MLP1]</sup> on the back then famous and widely used image recognition benchmark called MNIST. This was achieved by greatly accelerating *deep* FNNs on highly parallel graphics processing units called GPUs (as first done for *shallow* NNs with few layers by Jung & Oh in 2004<sup>[GPUNN]</sup>). A reviewer called this a "*wake-up call to the machine learning community.*" Today, everybody in the field is pursuing this approach.

*Footnote 5.* Unfortunately, several authors who republished backpropagation in the 1980s did not cite the prior art—not even in later surveys.<sup>[T22][DLP][NOB]</sup> In fact, as mentioned in the introduction, there is a broader, frequently repeated, misleading "history of deep learning"<sup>[S20]</sup> which ignores most of the pioneering work mentioned in the previous sections.<sup>[DLP][NOB][DLC]</sup> This "alternative history" essentially goes like this: "*In 1969, Minsky & Papert<sup>[M69]</sup> showed that shallow NNs without hidden layers are very limited and the field was abandoned until a new generation of neural network researchers took a fresh look at the problem in the 1980s.*"<sup>[S20]</sup> However, the 1969 book<sup>[M69]</sup> addressed a "problem" of Gauss & Legendre's *shallow learning* (circa 1800)<sup>[NN25][DL1-2]</sup> that had *already been solved 4 years prior* by Ivakhnenko & Lapa's popular deep learning method,<sup>[DEEP1-2][DL2]</sup> and then also by Amari's *SGD for MLPs*.<sup>[GD1-2]</sup> Minsky neither cited this work nor corrected his book later.<sup>[HIN][DLP][NOB]</sup> And even recent papers promulgate this revisionist narrative of deep learning, apparently to glorify later contributions of their authors (such as the Boltzmann machine<sup>[BM][SK75][G63][DLP][NOB]</sup>) without relating them to the original work,<sup>[DLC][S20][DLP][NOB]</sup> although the true history is well-known. Deep learning research was alive and kicking in the 1960s-70s, especially outside of the Anglosphere.<sup>[DEEP1-2][GD1-3][CN79][DL1-2]</sup> Blatant misattribution and unintentional<sup>[PLAG1][CONN21]</sup> or intentional<sup>[FAKE2]</sup> plagiarism are still tainting the entire field of deep learning.<sup>[DLP][NOB]</sup> Scientific journals "need to make clearer and firmer commitments to self-correction,"<sup>[SV20]</sup> as is already the standard in other scientific fields.

# 1979: First Deep Convolutional NN (1969: ReLUs)



Computer Vision was revolutionized in [the 2010s](#) by a particular feedforward NN called the convolutional NN (CNN).<sup>[CN79-25]</sup> The basic CNN architecture with alternating convolutional and downsampling layers is due to Kunihiro Fukushima (1979). He called it Neocognitron.<sup>[CN79]</sup> It was inspired by neurophysiological findings of Hubel and Wiesel,<sup>[HUW59-68]</sup> and trained by *unsupervised* learning rules. In 1986, Fukushima published a video on a CNN that recognizes handwritten digits<sup>[CN86]</sup> ([video tweet](#)).

In 1987, Alex Waibel (a German researcher working in Japan) trained *supervised* weight sharing NNs with 1-dimensional convolutions (TDNNs) by Linnainmaa's 1970 [backpropagation algorithm](#)<sup>[BP1-5]</sup> to recognise speech.<sup>[CN87][CN89c]</sup> A similar proposal by Homma et al.<sup>[CN87b]</sup> introduced the "*convolution*" terminology to NNs.

In 1988, Wei Zhang (a Chinese researcher working in Japan) and colleagues had *the first "modern" 2-dimensional CNN trained by backpropagation*, and applied it to character recognition.<sup>[CN88]</sup> Compute was about 10 million times more expensive than today.



Most of the above was published in Japan 1979-1988.<sup>[CN79][CN87][CN88]</sup> Why Japan? Let's look back at the 1980s. Back then, Japan was the envy of the world. Before the [1990 crash](#),<sup>[95-25]</sup> the Tokyo stock market was the world's largest, and the world's 6 most valuable public companies were all Japanese. So were the world's richest business men. According to the real estate market, tiny Japan was 4 times more valuable than the much bigger US. The central square mile of Tokyo had the value of California. Japan had [far more robots than any other country](#),<sup>[95-25]</sup> and by far the most expensive AI project: the 5th Generation Project. Interestingly, this project had little to do with [neural networks](#); it was mostly about [logic programming](#) and expert systems. So Fukushima and colleagues were outsiders back then. However, Japan already had a strong tradition and role models in NN research—see, e.g., Amari's above-mentioned pioneering work



of the 1960s (Sec. 7) and 1970s (Sec. 4)—and at least there was sufficient funding in Japan, even for such unpopular types of blue skies research. Today, the rest of the world can be thankful for that.<sup>[CN25]</sup>

Zhang et al. also had the first journal submission on "modern" backpropagation-trained CNNs (with applications to character recognition).<sup>[CN89]</sup> In the early 1990s, Zhang et al. published several additional important CNN papers.<sup>[CN91-CN94]</sup> Yann LeCun et al. at Bell Labs had the second journal submission on backpropagation-trained CNNs for character recognition (zip codes),<sup>[CN89b][DLP]</sup> following the work of Zhang et al.<sup>[CN88][CN89]</sup> See also Hampshire & Waibel (1989).<sup>[CN89d]</sup>

In 1990-93, Fukushima's downsampling based on spatial averaging<sup>[CN79]</sup> was replaced by *max-pooling* for 1-D convolutional NNs (Yamaguchi et al.)<sup>[CN90]</sup> and for 2-D CNNs (Weng et al.).<sup>[CN93]</sup> See also: [Who invented convolutional neural networks?](#)<sup>[CN25]</sup>

Many additional CNN papers were published in the 1990s and early 2000s. e.g., <sup>[CN98-CN10]</sup> For example, Baldi and Chauvin (1993) had the first application of CNNs with backpropagation to biomedical/biometric images.<sup>[BA93]</sup>

Already in 1969, Fukushima described **rectified linear units** or ReLUs<sup>[CN69]</sup> which are now extensively used in CNNs. See also Householder's work<sup>[HOU41]</sup> (1941) on nerve-fiber networks with piecewise linear activation functions.

CNNs became more popular in the ML community much later in 2011 when Schmidhuber's team greatly sped up the training of deep CNNs (Dan Ciresan et al., 2011).<sup>[GPUCNN1,3,5][DAN][CN25b]</sup> The fast GPU-based<sup>[GPUNN][GPUCNN5]</sup> CNN of 2011<sup>[GPUCNN1]</sup> known as **DanNet**<sup>[DAN,DAN1][R6]</sup> was a practical breakthrough, much deeper and faster than earlier GPU-accelerated CNNs of 2006.<sup>[GPUCNN]</sup> In 2011, DanNet became the first pure deep CNN to win computer vision contests.<sup>[GPUCNN2-3,5]</sup> Admittedly, however, this was mostly about engineering & scaling up the basic insights from the previous millennium, profiting from much faster hardware.

Competition <sup>[GPUCNN5]</sup>	Date/Deadline	Image size	Improvement	Winner
ICDAR 2011 Chinese handwriting	May 15, 2011	variable	3.8% / 28.9%	DanNet <sup>[GPUCNN1-3]</sup>
IJCNN 2011 traffic signs	<b>Aug 06, 2011</b>	variable	<b>68.0% (superhuman)</b>	<b>DanNet</b> <sup>[DAN,DAN1][R6]</sup>
ISBI 2012 image segmentation	Mar 01, 2012	512x512	26.1%	DanNet <sup>[GPUCNN3a]</sup>
ICPR 2012 medical imaging	Sep 10, 2012	2048x2048x3	8.9%	DanNet <sup>[GPUCNN8]</sup>
ImageNet 2012	Sep 30, 2012	256x256x3	41.4%	AlexNet <sup>[GPUCNN4]</sup>
MICCAI 2013 Grand Challenge	Sep 08, 2013	2048x2048x3	26.5%	DanNet <sup>[GPUCNN8]</sup>
ImageNet 2014	Aug 18, 2014	256x256x3		VGG Net <sup>[GPUCNN9]</sup>
ImageNet 2015	Sep 30, 2015	256x256x3	15.8%	ResNet, <sup>[HW2]</sup> like a Highway Net <sup>[HW1]</sup> with open gates

For a while, DanNet enjoyed a monopoly. From 2011 to 2012 it won every contest it entered, winning four of them in a row (15 May 2011, 6 Aug 2011, 1 Mar 2012, 10 Sep 2012).<sup>[GPUCNN5]</sup> In particular, at IJCNN 2011 in Silicon Valley, DanNet crushed the competition, performing three times better than the closest competitor (by LeCun's team), and twice as good as humans.<sup>[DAN1]</sup> DanNet was also the first deep CNN to win: a Chinese handwriting contest (ICDAR 2011), an image segmentation contest (ISBI, May 2012), a contest on object detection in large images

(ICPR, 10 Sept 2012), and— at the same time—a medical imaging contest on cancer detection.<sup>[GPUCNN8]</sup> In 2010, we introduced DanNet to Arcelor Mittal, the world's largest steel producer, and were able to greatly improve steel defect detection.<sup>[ST]</sup> To the best of my knowledge, this was the first deep learning breakthrough in heavy industry. Most computer vision researchers knew about DanNet in late 2011 / early 2012.<sup>[CN25b]</sup> In July 2012, the [CVPR paper on DanNet](#)<sup>[GPUCNN3]</sup> hit the computer vision community. 5 months later, the similar GPU-accelerated AlexNet won the ImageNet<sup>[IM09]</sup> 2012 contest.<sup>[GPUCNN4-5][R6][NOB]</sup> Masci et al.'s CNN image scanners were 1000 times faster than previous methods.<sup>[SCAN]</sup> This attracted tremendous interest from the healthcare industry. Today IBM, Siemens, Google and many startups are pursuing this approach. The VGG network (ImageNet 2014 winner)<sup>[GPUCNN9]</sup> and other highly cited CNNs<sup>[RCNN1-3]</sup> further extended the [DanNet](#) of 2011.<sup>[MIR](Sec. 19)[MOST]</sup>



ResNet, the ImageNet 2015 winner<sup>[HW2]</sup> (Dec 2015) and currently the [most cited NN](#),<sup>[MOST]</sup> is an open-gated variant of the earlier [Highway Net](#) (May 2015).<sup>[HW1-3][R5]</sup> The Highway Net ([see below](#)) is actually the feedforward net version of the vanilla LSTM ([see below](#)).<sup>[LSTM2]</sup> It was the first working, really deep feedforward NN with hundreds of layers (previous NNs had at most a few tens of layers).

---

## 1980s-90s: Graph NNs / Stochastic Delta Rule (Dropout) /...

---

Apart from the developments mentioned above, the last two decades of the past millennium brought additional important NN-related insights.

NNs with rapidly changing "fast weights" were introduced by v.d. Malsburg (1981) and others.<sup>[FAST,a,b]</sup> Deep learning architectures that can manipulate structured data such as graphs<sup>[T22]</sup> were proposed in 1987 by Pollack<sup>[PO87-90]</sup> and extended/improved by Sperduti, Goller, and Küchler in the early 1990s.<sup>[SP93-97][GOL][KU][T22]</sup> See also The graph NN-like, Transformer-like [Fast Weight Programmers](#) of 1991<sup>[ULTRA][FWP0-1][FWP6][FWP]</sup> which learn to continually rewrite mappings from inputs to outputs ([addressed below](#)), and the work of Baldi and colleagues.<sup>[BA96-03]</sup> Today, graph NNs are used in numerous applications.

Werbos,<sup>[BP2][BPTT1]</sup> Williams,<sup>[BPTT2][CUB0-2]</sup> and others<sup>[ROB87][BPTT3][DL1]</sup> analyzed ways of implementing gradient descent<sup>[GD][STO51-52][GDa-b][GD1-2a]</sup> in RNNs. Kohonen's self-organising maps became popular.<sup>[KOH82-89]</sup>

As mentioned in [Sec. 6](#), in the 1960s, it was demonstrated that it is possible to learn appropriate weights for hidden neurons without requiring a biologically implausible backward pass.<sup>[DEEP1-2][NOB]</sup> The 80s and 90s saw various additional proposals of biologically more plausible deep learning algorithms that—unlike backpropagation—are local in space and time.<sup>[BB2][NAN1-4][NHE][CC90][HEL]</sup> See overviews<sup>[MIR](Sec. 15, Sec. 17)</sup> and recent renewed interest in such methods.<sup>[NAN5][FWPMETA6][HIN22][DLP][NOB][NOB25a]</sup>

In 1990, Hanson introduced the Stochastic Delta Rule, a stochastic way of training NNs by backpropagation. Decades later, a variant of this became popular under the moniker "dropout."<sup>[Drop1-4][GPUCNN4][NOB]</sup>

Many additional papers on NNs (including RNNs) were published in the 1980s and 90s—see the numerous references in the 2015 survey.<sup>[DL1]</sup> Here, however, we mostly limit ourselves to the—in hindsight—most essential ones, given the present (ephemeral?) perspective of 2025.

Interestingly, in the Anglosphere, the 1980s were considered a key decade for NN research and "*connectionism*," although the most foundational NN concepts were developed earlier *outside* of the Anglosphere, as shown in previous sections.

---

## Feb 1990: Generative Adversarial Networks / Curiosity

---

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) have become very popular.<sup>[MOST]</sup> For example, many modern *deepfakes*<sup>[GAN19b]</sup> were created by GANs, which also have many beneficial applications, e.g., in healthcare.


The first NNs that were both generative and adversarial were published in 1990-1991<sup>[MIR]</sup> by [Juergen Schmidhuber](#) at a time when compute was about 10 million times more expensive than today (2025).<sup>[GAN90][GAN91]</sup> How did they work? [There are two NNs that fight each other](#). A so-called *controller NN* with adaptive stochastic Gaussian units (a *generative model*) generates output data. This output is fed into a *predictor NN* ([called a World Model in 1990](#)<sup>[GAN90][GAN91][PLAN]</sup>) which learns by gradient descent to predict the effects of the outputs. However, in a minimax game, the generator NN *maximizes* the error/loss *minimized* by the predictor NN.

So the controller is motivated to create through its outputs experiments/situations that *surprise* the predictor. As the predictor improves, these situations become *boring*. This in turn incentivizes the controller to invent new outputs (or experiments) with still less predictable outcomes, and so forth. This was called [Artificial Curiosity](#).<sup>[GAN91][GAN10][AC]</sup> The world model can also be used [for continual online action planning](#).<sup>[GAN90][PLAN2-3][PLAN]</sup> See [Sec. 17](#).

Artificial Curiosity wasn't the first adversarial machine learning setting, but earlier works<sup>e.g.,[S59]</sup><sup>[H90]</sup> were *very different*—they neither involved self-supervised NNs where one NN sees the output of another generative NN and tries to predict its consequences, nor were about



modeling data, nor used gradient descent. (Generative models themselves are much older, e.g., Hidden Markov Models. <sup>[MM1-3]</sup>)



See Section "*Implementing Dynamic Curiosity and Boredom*" of the 1990 technical report<sup>[GAN90]</sup> and the 1991 peer-reviewed conference paper.<sup>[GAN91]</sup> It mentions preliminary experiments where (in absence of external reward) the predictor minimizes a linear function of what the generator maximizes. So these old papers essentially describe what would become known as a GAN almost a quarter of a century later, in 2014,<sup>[GAN14]</sup> when compute was about 100,000 times cheaper than in 1990. In 2014, the 1990 neural *predictor or world model*<sup>[GAN90][GAN91]</sup> was called a *discriminator*,<sup>[GAN14]</sup> predicting *binary* effects of possible outputs of the generator (such as *real vs fake*).<sup>[GAN20]</sup> The applications to image generation<sup>[GAN10b][GAN14]</sup> were novel. The 1990 GAN was more general than the 2014 GAN: it wasn't limited to *single* output actions in 1-step trials, but permitted long *sequences* of actions. [More sophisticated generative adversarial systems for artificial curiosity & creativity](#) were published in 1997, predicting *abstract internal representations* instead of raw data.<sup>[AC97][AC99][AC02][LEC]</sup>



The 1990 principle has been widely used for exploration in Reinforcement Learning<sup>[SIN5][OUD13]</sup><sup>[PAT17][BUR18]</sup> and for synthesis of realistic images,<sup>[GAN1,2]</sup> although the latter domain was recently

taken over by Rombach et al.'s *Latent Diffusion*, another method published in Munich,<sup>[DIF1]</sup> building on Jarzynski's earlier work in physics from the previous millennium<sup>[DIF2]</sup> and more recent papers.<sup>[DIF3-5]</sup>

In 1991, Schmidhuber published yet another ML method based on two adversarial NNs called *Predictability Minimization* for creating disentangled representations of partially redundant data, applied to images in 1996.<sup>[PM0-2][GAN20][R2][MIR](Sec. 7)</sup> As of 2025, a 2014 paper<sup>[GAN14]</sup> on generative adversarial neural networks (GANs) is the most cited research paper of Turing awardee Dr. Bengio,<sup>[DLP][MOST]</sup> although it failed to cite the original 1990 work on generative and adversarial neural networks.<sup>[GAN90][GAN91][R2][GAN20][DLP]</sup> A paper on *who invented generative adversarial networks*<sup>[GAN25]</sup> summarizes this *GAN priority dispute*.

---

## April 1990: NNs Generate Subgoals / Work on Command

---

Most NNs of recent centuries were dedicated to simple pattern recognition, not to high-level reasoning, which is now considered a remaining grand challenge.<sup>[LEC]</sup> The early 1990s, however, saw first exceptions: NNs that learn to *decompose* complex spatio-temporal observation sequences into compact but meaningful *chunks*<sup>[UN0-3]</sup> (see further below), and NN-based planners of hierarchical action sequences for *compositional learning*,<sup>[HRL0]</sup> as discussed next. This work injected concepts of traditional "symbolic" hierarchical AI<sup>[NS59][FU77]</sup> into end-to-end differentiable "subsymbolic" NNs.

In 1990, Schmidhuber's NNs learned to generate hierarchical action plans with end-to-end differentiable NN-based subgoal generators for Hierarchical Reinforcement Learning (HRL).<sup>[HRL0]</sup> Soon afterwards, this was also done with *recurrent NNs that learn to generate sequences of subgoals*.<sup>[HRL1-2][PHD][MIR](Sec. 10)</sup> An RL machine gets extra *command inputs* of the form (*start, goal*). An evaluator NN learns to predict the current rewards/costs of going from *start* to *goal*. An (R)NN-based subgoal generator also sees (*start, goal*), and uses (copies of) the evaluator NN to learn by gradient descent a sequence of cost-minimising intermediate subgoals. The RL machine tries to use such subgoal sequences to achieve final goals. The system is learning action plans at multiple levels of abstraction and multiple time scales and solves (at least in principle) what has been called an "open problem" in 2022.<sup>[LEC]</sup>

Compare other NNs that have "worked on command" since April 1990, in particular, for learning selective attention,<sup>[ATT0-3]</sup> artificial curiosity and self-invented problems,<sup>[PP][PPa,1,2][AC]</sup> upside-down reinforcement learning<sup>[UDRL1-2]</sup> and its generalizations.<sup>[GGP]</sup>

---

## March 1991: Unnormalized Linear Transformers

---

The T in ChatGPT<sup>[GPT3]</sup> stands for a famous NN called *Transformer*. While reading long texts, it learns by *backpropagation*<sup>[BP4]</sup> to create sequences of context-dependent **KEY** and **VALUE** patterns focusing its internal *attention* on relevant short-lived memories queried by incoming data, to better predict the next word in context-dependent fashion, given the text so far.




According to Google Scholar (2025), the scientific article that is cited most frequently each year appears to be a 2017 paper on Transformers. Who invented this?

In 1991, Schmidhuber published the original tech report on what's now called the **unnormalized linear Transformer** (ULTRA).<sup>[FWP0][ULTRA]</sup> **KEY/VALUE** was called **FROM/TO**. ULTRA uses *outer product rules* to associate its self-invented **KEYs/VALUEs** through fast weights,<sup>[FAST][FWP]</sup> and applies the resulting context-dependent **attention** mappings to incoming queries. ULTRA's computational costs scale *linearly* in input size, that is, for 1,000 times more text we need 1,000 times more compute, which is acceptable. Like modern *quadratic* Transformers (see below), the 1991 ULTRA is highly parallelizable. It was a by-product of more general research on **NNs that learn to program fast weight changes of other NNs**,<sup>[FWP,FWP0-9,FWPMETA1-10]</sup> back then called *fast weight controllers*<sup>[FWP0]</sup> or *fast weight programmers (FWPs)*.<sup>[FWP]</sup> ULTRA was presented as an alternative to recurrent NNs.<sup>[FWP0]</sup> The 1991 experiments were similar to today's: predict some effect, given a sequence of inputs.<sup>[FWP0]</sup>

In 1993, a recurrent ULTRA extension<sup>[FWP2]</sup> introduced the terminology of learning "*internal spotlights of attention*."

In 2014, end-to-end sequence-to-sequence models<sup>[S2Sa,b,c,d]</sup> became popular for *Natural Language Processing*. They were *not* based on the 1991 **unnormalized linear Transformer**<sup>[ULTRA]</sup> above, but on the **Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM)** recurrent NN from the same lab.<sup>[LSTM0-13]</sup> In 2014, this approach was combined with an attention mechanism<sup>[ATT14]</sup> that isn't *linearized* like the 1991-93 **attention**<sup>[FWP0-2]</sup> but includes a *nonlinear* softmax operation. The first *Large Language Models* (LLMs) were based on such LSTM-attention systems. See additional work on attention from 2016-17.<sup>[ATT16a-17b]</sup>



In 2017, the "modern" *quadratic* Transformer ("*attention is all you need*") was published by Vaswani et al.,<sup>[TR1]</sup> scaling *quadratically* in input size, that is, for 1,000 times more text one needs 1,000,000 times more compute. Note that in 1991,<sup>[ULTRA]</sup> no journal would have accepted an NN that scales quadratically, but by 2017, compute was cheap enough to apply the quadratic Transformer (a kind of **fast weight programmer**<sup>[FWP]</sup>) to large amounts of data on massively parallel computers. The quadratic Transformer combines the 1991 additive outer



product fast weight principle<sup>[FWP0-2]</sup> and *softmax* (see 2014 above): *attention* (query, **KEY**, **VALUE**) ~ *softmax* (query **KEY**) **VALUE**.

In 2020, a paper<sup>[TR5]</sup> used the terminology "*linear Transformer*" for a more efficient Transformer variant that scales *linearly*, leveraging *linearized attention*.<sup>[TR5a]</sup> In 2021, it was pointed out that the unnormalised *linear Transformer*<sup>[TR5-6]</sup> is actually *mathematically equivalent* to a 1991 *fast weight controller*<sup>[FWP0][ULTRA]</sup> published when compute was a million times more expensive than in 2021. See also [2022 tweet for ULTRA's 30-year anniversary](#), and [2024 tweet](#). See also: [who invented transformer neural networks?](#)<sup>[TR25]</sup>

In 2021-25, work on extensions of ULTRAs and other FWPs (such as the DeltaNet<sup>[FWP6]</sup>) has become mainstream research, aiming to develop sequence models that are both efficient and powerful.<sup>[TR6,TR6a][LT23-25][FWP23-25b]</sup>

Today's Transformers heavily use [unsupervised pre-training for deep NNs](#)<sup>[UN0-3]</sup> (the P in ChatGPT—see [next section](#)), another deep learning methodology published in the [Annus Mirabilis of 1990-1991](#).<sup>[MIR][MOST]</sup>

The [1991 fast weight programmers](#) also led to meta-learning self-referential NNs that can run their own weight change algorithm or learning algorithm on themselves, and improve it, and improve the way they improve it, and so on. This work since 1992<sup>[FWPMETA1-10][HO1]</sup> extended Schmidhuber's 1987 diploma thesis,<sup>[META1]</sup> which introduced algorithms not just for learning but also for [meta-learning or learning to learn](#),<sup>[META][META10][METARL2-10]</sup> to learn better learning algorithms through experience. This became popular in the 2010s<sup>[DEC]</sup> when computers were a million times faster.

---

## April 1991: Deep Learning by Self-Supervised Pre-Training

---

Today's most powerful NNs tend to be very deep, that is, they have many layers of neurons or many subsequent computational stages.<sup>[MIR]</sup> Before the 1990s, however, gradient-based training did not work well for deep NNs, only for shallow ones<sup>[DL1-2]</sup> (but see a 1989 paper<sup>[MOZ]</sup>). This *Deep Learning Problem* was most obvious for *recurrent* NNs. Like the human brain, but unlike the more limited *feedforward* NNs (FNNs), RNNs have feedback connections. This makes RNNs powerful, general purpose, parallel-sequential computers that can process input sequences of arbitrary length (think of speech data or videos). RNNs can in principle implement any program that can run on your laptop or any other computer in existence. If we want to build an *Artificial General Intelligence* (AGI), then its underlying computational substrate must be something more like an RNN than an FNN as FNNs are fundamentally insufficient; RNNs and similar systems are to FNNs as general computers are to pocket calculators. In particular, unlike FNNs, RNNs can in principle deal with problems of arbitrary depth.<sup>[DL1]</sup> Before the 1990s, however, RNNs failed to learn deep problems in practice.<sup>[MIR](Sec. 0)</sup>

To overcome this drawback through RNN-based "*general deep learning*," Schmidhuber built a self-supervised RNN hierarchy that learns representations at multiple levels of abstraction and multiple self-organizing time scales:<sup>[LEC]</sup> the *Neural Sequence Chunker*<sup>[UN0]</sup> or [Neural History Compressor](#).<sup>[UN1]</sup> Each RNN tries to solve the *pretext task* of predicting its next input, sending

only unexpected inputs (and therefore also targets) to the next RNN above. The resulting compressed sequence representations greatly facilitate downstream *supervised* deep learning such as sequence classification. Such *unsupervised or self-supervised pre-training for deep NNs* is now widely used—see the P in ChatGPT.



Although computers back then were about a million times slower per dollar than today, by 1993, the Neural History Compressor above was able to solve previously unsolvable "very deep learning" tasks of depth  $> 1000$ <sup>[UN2]</sup> (requiring [more than 1,000 subsequent computational stages](#)—the more such stages, the deeper the learning). In 1993, a *continuous* version of the Neural History Compressor was published.<sup>[UN3]</sup> (See also recent work on unsupervised NN-based abstraction.<sup>[OBJ1-5]</sup>)

More than a decade after this work,<sup>[UN1]</sup> a similar unsupervised method for more limited *feedforward* NNs (FNNs) was published, facilitating supervised learning by unsupervised pre-training of stacks of FNNs called *Deep Belief Networks* (DBNs).<sup>[UN4]</sup> The 2006 justification was essentially the one used in the early 1990s for the RNN stack: each higher level tries to reduce the description length (or negative log probability) of the data representation in the level below.  
<sup>[HIN][T22][MIR]</sup>

## April 1991: Distilling one NN into another NN

In January 2025, the NN-based *DeepSeek "Sputnik"*<sup>[DS1]</sup> shocked the commercial AI scene and wiped out a trillion USD from the stock market. DeepSeek<sup>[DS1]</sup> and many other Large Language Models use NN distillation to transfer knowledge from one NN to another. Who invented this?

[NN distillation](#) was published by Schmidhuber in 1991.<sup>[UN0-3][UN][MIR][DLP]</sup> See Section 4 of the paper on the ["conscious" chunker and a "subconscious" automatiser](#),<sup>[UN0][UN1]</sup> which introduced a general principle for transferring the knowledge of one NN to another. Suppose a teacher NN has learned to predict (conditional expectations of) data, given other data (like the neural history compressor above). Its knowledge can be compressed into a student NN, by training<sup>[BP1-5,A-C]</sup> the student NN to imitate the behavior of the teacher NN (while also re-training the student NN on previously learned skills such that it does not forget them).


In 1991, this was called "*collapsing*" or "*compressing*" one NN into another. Today, this is widely used, and also referred to as "*distilling*"<sup>[DIST2][HIN][DLP]</sup> or "*cloning*" the behavior of a teacher NN into that of a student NN. It even works when the NNs are recurrent and operate on different time scales.<sup>[UN0][UN1]</sup> See also related work<sup>[DIST3-4]</sup> and: [who invented knowledge distillation with artificial neural networks?](#)<sup>[DIST25]</sup>

DeepSeek also used elements of Schmidhuber's 2015 reinforcement learning (RL) prompt engineer<sup>[PLAN4]</sup> and its 2018 refinement<sup>[PLAN5]</sup> which collapses the 2015 RL machine and its world model<sup>[PLAN4]</sup> into a single net through the [NN distillation](#) of 1991: a distilled *chain of thought* system. See the [popular tweet of 31 Jan 2025](#).

Today, unsupervised pre-training is heavily used by [Transformers](#)<sup>[TR1][TR25]</sup> (the T in ChatGPT) for natural language processing and other domains. Remarkably, [Transformers with linearized self-attention](#) were also first published<sup>[ULTRA]</sup> in the [Annus Mirabilis of 1990-1991](#),<sup>[MIR][MOST]</sup> together with unsupervised/self-supervised pre-training for deep learning.<sup>[UN0-3]</sup> See the [previous section](#).

## June 1991: Fundamental Problem: Vanishing Gradients

Deep learning is hard because of the [Fundamental Deep Learning Problem](#) identified and analyzed in 1991 by [Sepp Hochreiter](#) in a diploma thesis (June 1991)<sup>[VAN1]</sup> supervised by [Schmidhuber](#), at a time when compute was about 10 million times more expensive than today (2025). First he implemented the [Neural History Compressor](#) [above](#) but then did much more: he showed that deep NNs suffer from the now famous problem of vanishing or exploding gradients: in typical deep or recurrent networks, back-propagated error signals either shrink rapidly, or grow out of bounds. In both cases, learning fails.<sup>[VAN1][DLP][DLH]</sup>




To solve the vanishing gradient problem, Hochreiter mathematically derived from first principles what's now called a recurrent [residual connection](#).<sup>[HW25]</sup> a neural unit with the *identity activation function* has a connection to itself, and the weight of this connection is 1.0. This simple setup ensures *constant error flow* in deep gradient-based RNNs: errors can be [backpropagated](#)<sup>[BP1-4]</sup><sup>[BPTT1-2]</sup> through such units for millions of steps without vanishing or exploding,<sup>[VAN1]</sup> since according to the [1676 chain rule](#)<sup>[LEI07-21b][L84]</sup> by [Leibniz](#) (see [Sec. 2](#)), the relevant multiplicative first derivatives (and their weights) are always 1.0. This insight led to basic principles of what's now called LSTM and Highway Nets / ResNets (see [below](#)).



## June 1991: Roots of LSTM / Highway Nets / ResNets

The Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) recurrent neural network<sup>[LSTM1-6]</sup> overcomes the Fundamental Deep Learning Problem identified by Hochreiter in his above-mentioned 1991 diploma thesis,<sup>[VAN1]</sup> one of the most important documents in the history of machine learning. It also provided essential insights for overcoming the problem, through basic principles (such as *constant error flow* along residual connections<sup>[HW25]</sup>) of what Schmidhuber called LSTM in a tech report of 1995.<sup>[LSTM0]</sup> The main peer-reviewed publication of 1997<sup>[LSTM1][25y97]</sup> is now the most cited AI paper of the 20th century.<sup>[MOST]</sup> The LSTM core units with residual connections (weight 1.0) were called *constant error carousels* (CECs).<sup>[LSTM1]</sup>



LSTM and its training procedures were further improved on Schmidhuber's Swiss LSTM grants at IDSIA through the work of his later students Felix Gers, Alex Graves, and others. A milestone was the "*vanilla LSTM architecture*" with forget gate<sup>[LSTM2]</sup>—the LSTM variant of 1999-2000 that everybody is using today, e.g., in Google's Tensorflow. It features *gated recurrent residual connections whose gates are initially open (1.0)* such that they start out as *plain* residual connections.<sup>[HW25]</sup> Graves was lead author of the first successful application of LSTM to speech (2004).<sup>[LSTM10]</sup> 2005 saw the first publication of LSTM with full backpropagation through time and of bi-directional LSTM<sup>[LSTM3]</sup> (now widely used): this led *from recurrent to feedforward residual NNs*.<sup>[HW25]</sup>

Another milestone of 2006 was the training method "*Connectionist Temporal Classification*" or CTC<sup>[CTC]</sup> for simultaneous alignment and recognition of sequences. CTC-trained LSTM was

successfully applied to speech in 2007<sup>[LSTM4]</sup> (also with hierarchical LSTM stacks<sup>[LSTM14]</sup>). This led to the first superior end-to-end neural speech recognition. It was very different from hybrid methods since the late 1980s which combined NNs and traditional approaches such as Hidden Markov Models (HMMs).<sup>[BW][BRI][BOU][HYB12][T22][DLP]</sup> In 2009, through the efforts of Alex, LSTM trained by CTC became the first RNN to win international competitions, namely, [three ICDAR 2009 Connected Handwriting Competitions \(French, Farsi, Arabic\)](#). This attracted enormous interest from industry. LSTM was soon used for everything that involves sequential data such as speech<sup>[LSTM10-11][LSTM4][DL1]</sup> and videos. In 2015, the CTC-LSTM combination dramatically improved Google's speech recognition on the Android smartphones.<sup>[GSR15]</sup> Many other companies adopted this.<sup>[DL4]</sup> Google's [on-device speech recognition](#) of 2019 ([on the phone, not on the server](#)) is still based on LSTM.

## 1995-: Neural Probabilistic Language Model

The first superior end-to-end neural machine translation was also based on LSTM. In 1995, Schmidhuber & Heil already had a *neural probabilistic text model* for excellent text compression<sup>[SNT]</sup> whose basic concepts were reused in 2003<sup>[NPM][T22]</sup>—see also Pollack's earlier work on embeddings of words and other structures<sup>[PO87][PO90]</sup> as well as Nakamura and Shikano's 1989 word category prediction model.<sup>[NPMa]</sup> In 2001, LSTM learned languages unlearnable by traditional models such as HMMs,<sup>[LSTM13]</sup> i.e., a neural "subsymbolic" model suddenly excelled at learning "symbolic" tasks. Compute still had to get 1000 times cheaper, but by 2016, Google Translate<sup>[GT16]</sup>—whose whitepaper<sup>[WU]</sup> mentions LSTM over 50 times—was based on two connected LSTMs,<sup>[S2Sa,b,c,d]</sup> one for incoming texts, and one for outgoing translations—much better than what existed before.<sup>[DL4]</sup> By 2017, LSTM also powered Facebook's machine translation (over 30 billion translations per week—the most popular youtube video needed years to achieve only 10 billion clicks),<sup>[FB17][DL4]</sup> Apple's Quicktype on roughly 1 billion iPhones,<sup>[DL4]</sup> the voice of Amazon's Alexa,<sup>[DL4]</sup> Google's [image caption generation](#)<sup>[DL4]</sup> & [automatic email answering](#)<sup>[DL4]</sup> etc. Business Week called LSTM "arguably the most commercial AI achievement."<sup>[AV1]</sup> By 2016, more than a quarter of the awesome computational power for inference in Google's datacenters was used for LSTM (and 5% for another popular Deep Learning technique called CNNs—[see above](#)).<sup>[JOU17]</sup> And of course, LSTM is also massively used in healthcare and medical diagnosis—a simple Google Scholar search turns up innumerable medical articles that have "LSTM" in their title.<sup>[DEC]</sup> The first Large Language Models (LLMs) were based on LSTM as well.

## May 2015: Very Deep Feedforward NNs

While supervised LSTM RNNs had become very deep in the 1990s through residual connections, backpropagation-based FNNs had remained rather shallow until 2014: they had at most 20-30 layers or so, despite [massive help through fast GPU-based hardware](#).<sup>[MLP1-3]</sup>  
<sup>[DAN,DAN1][GPUCNN1-9]</sup>

Since depth is essential for deep learning, the principles of deep LSTM RNNs were transferred to deep FNNs. In May 2015, the resulting [Highway Networks](#)<sup>[HW1][HW1a]</sup> were the first working really deep gradient-based FNNs with hundreds of layers, over ten times deeper than previous FNNs. They worked because they adapted the [1999 LSTM principle of gated recurrent residual connections \(gates initially open: 1.0\)](#)<sup>[LSTM2a][LSTM2]</sup> from RNNs to FNNs.<sup>[HW25]</sup> This work



was conducted by Schmidhuber's PhD students Rupesh Kumar Srivastava and Klaus Greff. Its principles have become very popular.

As of 2025, the most cited scientific article of the 21st century is a paper on *deep residual learning* (Dec 2015)<sup>[HW1-HW25]</sup> with *residual NNs* containing *residual connections*:<sup>[MOST25,25b]</sup> the *ResNet*<sup>[HW2]</sup> (which won the [ImageNet 2015 contest](#)) is like a *Highway Net* variant whose gates are always open. In turn, the Highway Net is a *gated ResNet*. The gates of the *gated residual connections* of Highway Nets are initially open (1.0) such that the network starts out with *plain* residual connections (weight 1.0) which allow for very deep error propagation like in LSTM's unfolded CECs—this is what makes Highway NNs (and ResNets) so deep. The earlier Highway Nets perform roughly as well as their ResNet variants on ImageNet.<sup>[HW3][HW25]</sup>



## The LSTM / Highway Net Principle is the Core of Modern Deep Learning

**Deep learning** is all about NN depth.<sup>[DL-1]</sup> In the 1990s, the residual connections of **LSTMs** brought essentially *unlimited* depth to supervised *recurrent* NNs; in the 2010s, the gated (initially open) residual connections of LSTM-inspired **Highway Nets** brought it to *feedforward* NNs. LSTM has become the most cited NN of the 20th century; the Highway Net variant called ResNet the most cited NN of the 21st.<sup>[MOST]</sup> (Citations, however, are a highly questionable measure of true impact.<sup>[NAT1]</sup>) LSTM-like and Highway Net-like NNs can deal with very deep credit assignment paths (CAPs)<sup>[DL1]</sup> and are now massively used. Here is a compact recap of the timeline of their evolution taken from a separate report: [who invented deep residual learning?](#)<sup>[HW25]</sup>

- ★ 1991: Hochreiter's recurrent residual connections solve the vanishing gradient problem<sup>[VAN1]</sup>
- ★ 1997 LSTM: *plain* recurrent residual connections (weight 1.0)<sup>[LSTM0-1]</sup>
- ★ 1999 LSTM: *gated* recurrent residual connections (gates initially open: 1.0)<sup>[LSTM2a][LSTM2]</sup>
- ★ 2005: unfolding LSTM—from *recurrent* to *feedforward* residual NNs<sup>[LSTM3]</sup>
- ★ May 2015: deep Highway Net—gated *feedforward* residual connections (initially 1.0)<sup>[HW1]</sup>
- ★ Dec 2015: ResNet—like an open-gated Highway Net (or an unfolded 1997 LSTM)<sup>[HW2][HW25]</sup>



The basic LSTM principle of *constant error flow through residual connections* is central not only to deep RNNs but also to deep FNNs. And it all dates back to 1991.<sup>[MIR][HW25]</sup>

## 1980s-: NNs for Learning to Act Without a Teacher

The previous sections have mostly focused on deep learning for passive pattern recognition/classification. However, NNs are also relevant for Reinforcement Learning (RL),<sup>[KAE96][BER96][TD3][UNI][GM3][LSTMPG]</sup> the most general type of learning. General RL agents must discover, without the aid of a teacher, how to interact with a dynamic, initially unknown, partially observable environment in order to maximize their expected cumulative reward signals.<sup>[DL1]</sup> There may be arbitrary, a priori unknown delays between actions and perceivable consequences. The RL problem is as hard as any problem of computer science, since any task with a computable description can be formulated in the general RL framework.<sup>[UNI]</sup>

Certain RL problems can be addressed through non-neural techniques invented long before the 1980s: Monte Carlo (tree) search (MC, 1949),<sup>[MOC1-5]</sup> dynamic programming (DP, 1953),<sup>[BEL53]</sup> artificial evolution (1954),<sup>[EVO1-7][TUR1,unpublished]</sup> alpha-beta-pruning (1959),<sup>[S59]</sup> control theory and system identification (1950s),<sup>[KAL59][GLA85]</sup> stochastic gradient descent (SGD, 1951),<sup>[STO51-52]</sup> and universal search techniques (1973).<sup>[AIT7]</sup>

Deep FNNs and RNNs, however, are useful tools for *improving* certain types of RL. In the 1980s, concepts of function approximation and NNs were combined with system identification,<sup>[WER87-89][MUN87][NGU89]</sup> DP and its online variant called Temporal Differences (TD),<sup>[TD1-3]</sup> artificial evolution,<sup>[EVONN1-3]</sup> and policy gradients.<sup>[GD1][PG1-3]</sup> Many additional references on this can be found in Sec. 6 of the 2015 survey.<sup>[DL1]</sup>



When there is a Markovian interface<sup>[PLAN3]</sup> to the environment such that the current input to the RL machine conveys all the information required to determine a next optimal action, RL with DP/TD/MC-based FNNs can be very successful, as shown in 1994<sup>[TD2]</sup> (master-level backgammon player) and the 2010s<sup>[DM1-2a]</sup> (superhuman players for Go, chess, and other games).

For more complex cases without Markovian interfaces, where the learning machine must consider not only the present input, but also the history of previous inputs, combinations of RL algorithms and LSTM<sup>[LSTM-RL][RPG]</sup> have become standard, in particular, [LSTM trained by policy gradients](#) (2007).<sup>[RPG07][RPG][LSTMMPG]</sup>

For example, in 2018, a PG-trained LSTM was the core of OpenAI's famous [Dactyl](#) which learned to control a dextrous robot hand without a teacher.<sup>[OAI1][OAI1a]</sup> Similar for video games: in 2019, DeepMind (co-founded by a student from Schmidhuber's lab) famously beat a pro player in the game of Starcraft, which is theoretically harder than Chess or Go<sup>[DM2]</sup> in many ways, using [AlphaStar](#) whose brain has a [deep LSTM core](#) trained by PG.<sup>[DM3]</sup> An RL LSTM (with 84% of the model's total parameter count) also was the core of the famous [OpenAI Five](#) which learned to [defeat human experts](#) in the Dota 2 video game (2018).<sup>[OAI2]</sup> Bill Gates called this a "*huge milestone in advancing artificial intelligence*".<sup>[OAI2a][MIR](Sec. 4)[LSTMMPG]</sup>

The future of RL will be about learning/composing/planning with compact spatio-temporal abstractions of complex input streams—about commonsense reasoning<sup>[MAR15]</sup> and *learning to think*.<sup>[PLAN4-5]</sup> How can NNs learn to represent percepts and action plans in a hierarchical manner, at multiple levels of abstraction, and multiple time scales?<sup>[LEC][DLP]</sup> Schmidhuber published first answers to these questions in 1990-91: self-supervised [neural history compressors](#)<sup>[UN][UN0-3]</sup> learn to represent percepts at multiple levels of abstraction and multiple time scales ([see above](#)), while end-to-end differentiable NN-based subgoal generators<sup>[HRL3][MIR](Sec. 10)</sup> learn hierarchical action plans through gradient descent ([see above](#)).

More sophisticated ways of learning to think in abstract ways were published in 1997<sup>[AC97][AC99][AC02]</sup> and 2015-18.<sup>[PLAN4-5]</sup> A few years later, in 2025, the *DeepSeek "Sputnik"*<sup>[DS1]</sup> wiped out a trillion USD from the stock market. DeepSeek-R1<sup>[DS1]</sup> uses elements of Schmidhuber's 2015 RL prompt engineer<sup>[PLAN4]</sup> (an RL *chain of thought* system) and its 2018 refinement<sup>[PLAN5]</sup> which distills (1991)<sup>[UN0-3][UN][DLP]</sup> the 2015 RL machine and its world model<sup>[PLAN4]</sup> into a single net: a distilled *chain of thought* system. See the [popular tweet of 31 Jan 2025](#).

---

## It's the Hardware, Stupid!

---

The recent breakthroughs of deep learning algorithms from the past millennium (see previous sections) would have been impossible without continually improving and accelerating computer hardware. Any history of AI and deep learning would be incomplete without mentioning this evolution, which has been running for at least two millennia.

The first known gear-based computational device was the Antikythera mechanism (a kind of astronomical clock) in Ancient Greece over 2000 years ago.
























Perhaps the world's first *practical programmable machine* was an automatic theatre made in the 1st century<sup>[SHA7a][RAU1]</sup> by Heron of Alexandria (who apparently also had the first known working steam engine—the *Aeolipile*).

The 9th century music automaton by the Banu Musa brothers in Baghdad was perhaps the first machine with a *stored* program.<sup>[BANJ][KOE1]</sup> It used pins on a revolving cylinder to store programs




## controlling a steam-driven flute—compare Al-Jazari's programmable drum machine of 1206.

[SHA7b]

							
<b>1st century BC:</b> first known gear-based calculator in Antikythera	<b>AD 60:</b> programmable automaton by Heron	<b>1600s:</b> input data! <b>1623:</b> first gear-based input-processing calculator by Schickard	<b>1640:</b> Pascal's superior Pascaline for simple arithmetics	<b>HIGHLIGHTS OF COMPUTER HISTORY</b>			
<b>1670s:</b> Leibniz 1st computer scientist? 1st machine with memory. Principles of binary computers. Algebra of Thought.	<b>1800:</b> first commercial program-controlled machines (looms) by Jacquard et al. First industrial programmers; software on punchcards	<b>1830s:</b> Lovelace & Babbage's ideas on programs for general computers, albeit unrealized	<b>1914:</b> Torres y Quevedo, the pioneer of practical AI, builds a working chess end game player - chess was considered an intelligent activity				
							
<b>1931:</b> Theoretical computer science founded by Gödel. First universal coding language. Exhibits the fundamental limits of math & theorem proving & AI & computing.	<b>1935:</b> Church extends Gödel's result to Entscheidungsproblem (decision problem). <b>1936:</b> Turing, too. Later helps to break Enigma code.	<b>1936:</b> Zuse's patent application. <b>1941:</b> First working programmable general-purpose computer	<b>1941:</b> First working programmable general-purpose computer	<b>Every 5 years compute got 10 times cheaper. 2020: 80 years ~ 10<sup>16</sup></b>			
							

The 1600s brought more flexible machines that computed answers in response to *input data*. The first data-processing gear-based special purpose calculator for simple arithmetics was built in 1623 by [Wilhelm Schickard](#), one of the candidates for the title of "father of automatic computing," followed by the superior Pascaline of Blaise Pascal (1642).

<p>2021: 375TH BIRTHDAY OF LEIBNIZ FOUNDER OF COMPUTER SCIENCE</p>	
--	--

In **1673**, the already mentioned [Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz](#) (called "the smartest man who ever lived"<sup>[SMO13]</sup>) designed the first machine (the step reckoner) that could perform all four arithmetic




operations, and the first with a memory.<sup>[BL16]</sup> He also described the principles of binary computers governed by punch cards (1679),<sup>[L79][L03][LA14][HO66]</sup> and published the chain rule<sup>[LEI07-10]</sup> (see above), essential ingredient of deep learning and modern AI.

The first *commercial* program-controlled machines (punch card-based looms) were built in France circa 1800 by Joseph-Marie Jacquard and others—perhaps the first "modern" programmers who wrote the world's first *industrial* software. They inspired Ada Lovelace and her mentor Charles Babbage (UK, circa 1840). He planned but was unable to build a programmable, general purpose computer (only his *non-universal special purpose calculator* led to a working 20th century replica).

In 1914, the Spaniard Leonardo Torres y Quevedo (mentioned in the [introduction](#)) became the 20th century's first AI pioneer when he built the first working chess end game player (back then chess was considered as an activity restricted to the realms of intelligent creatures). The machine was still considered impressive decades later when another AI pioneer—Norbert Wiener<sup>[WI48]</sup>—played against it at the 1951 Paris AI conference.<sup>[AI51][BRO21][BRU4]</sup>



Between 1935 and 1941, [Konrad Zuse](#) created the world's first working programmable general-purpose computer: the Z3. The corresponding patent application of 1936<sup>[ZU36-38][RO98][ZUS21]</sup> described the digital circuits required by programmable physical hardware, predating Claude Shannon's 1937 thesis on digital circuit design.<sup>[SHA37]</sup> Unlike Babbage, Zuse used [Leibniz](#)' principles of *binary computation* (1679)<sup>[L79][LA14][HO66][L03]</sup> instead of traditional *decimal computation*. This greatly simplified the hardware.<sup>[LEI21,a,b]</sup> Ignoring the inevitable storage limitations of any physical computer, the *physical hardware* of Z3 was indeed *universal* in the modern sense of the *purely theoretical but impractical* constructs of Gödel<sup>[GOD][GOD34,21,21a]</sup> (1931-34), Church<sup>[CHU]</sup> (1935), [Turing](#)<sup>[TUR]</sup> (1936), and Post<sup>[POS]</sup> (1936). Simple arithmetic tricks can compensate for Z3's lack of an explicit conditional jump instruction.<sup>[RO98]</sup> Today, most computers are *binary* like Z3.



Z3 used *electromagnetic* relays with visibly moving switches. The first *electronic* special purpose calculator (whose moving parts were electrons too small to see) was the binary ABC

(US, 1942) by John Atanasoff (the "[father of tube-based computing](#)"<sup>[NASC6a]</sup>). Unlike the gear-based machines of the 1600s, ABC used vacuum tubes—today's machines use the transistor principle patented by [Julius Edgar Lilienfeld](#) in 1925.<sup>[LIL1-4]</sup> But unlike Zuse's Z3, ABC was not freely programmable. Neither was the *electronic* Colossus machine by Tommy Flowers (UK, 1943-45) used to break the Nazi code.<sup>[NASC6][TUR21]</sup>

The first general working programmable machine built by someone other than Zuse (1941)<sup>[RO98]</sup> was Howard Aiken's decimal MARK I (US, 1944). The much faster decimal ENIAC by Eckert and Mauchly (1945/46) was programmed by rewiring it. Both data *and* programs were stored in electronic memory by the "Manchester baby" (Williams, Kilburn & Tootill, UK, 1948) and the 1948 upgrade of ENIAC, which was reprogrammed by entering numerical instruction codes into read-only memory.<sup>[HAI14b]</sup>

## 2025 TRANSISTOR CENTENNIAL

100 YEARS AGO, IN 1925, JULIUS EDGAR LILIENFELD PATENTED THE FIELD-EFFECT TRANSISTOR (FET).

TODAY, ALMOST ALL OF THE BILLIONS OF TRILLIONS OF TRANSISTORS ARE FETS.



Since then, computers have become much faster through integrated circuits (ICs). In 1949, Werner Jacobi at Siemens filed a patent for an IC semiconductor with several transistors on a common substrate (granted in 1952).<sup>[IC49-14]</sup> In 1958, Jack Kilby demonstrated an IC with external wires. In 1959, Robert Noyce presented a monolithic IC.<sup>[IC14]</sup> Since the 1970s, graphics processing units (GPUs) have been used to speed up computations through parallel processing. ICs/GPUs of today (2022) contain many billions of transistors (almost all of them of Lilienfeld's 1925 FET type<sup>[LIL1-4]</sup>). See also: [who invented the transistor?](#)<sup>[WHO3]</sup>

In 1941, Zuse's Z3 could perform roughly one elementary operation (e.g., an addition) per second. Since then, every 5 years, compute got 10 times cheaper (note that his law is much older than Moore's Law which states that the number of transistors<sup>[LIL1-4]</sup> per chip doubles every 18 months). As of 2021, 80 years after Z3, modern computers could execute about 10 million billion instructions per second for the same (inflation-adjusted) price. The naive extrapolation of this exponential trend predicts that the 21st century will see cheap computers with a thousand times the [raw computational power of all human brains combined](#).<sup>[RAW]</sup>

Where are the physical limits? According to Bremermann (1982),<sup>[BRE]</sup> a computer of 1 kg of mass and 1 liter of volume can execute at most  $10^{51}$  operations per second on at most  $10^{32}$  bits. The trend above will hit the Bremermann limit roughly 25 decades after Z3, circa 2200. However, since there are only  $2 \times 10^{30}$  kg of mass in the solar system, the trend is bound to

break within a few centuries, since the speed of light will greatly limit the acquisition of additional mass, e.g., in form of other solar systems, through a function ploynomial in time, as previously noted back in 2004.<sup>[OOPS2][ZUS21]</sup>

Physics seems to dictate that future efficient computational hardware will have to be brain-like, with many compactly placed processors in 3-dimensional space, sparsely connected by many short and few long wires, to minimize total connection cost (even if the "wires" are actually light beams).<sup>[DL2]</sup> The basic architecture is essentially the one of a deep, sparsely connected, 3-dimensional RNN, and Deep Learning methods for such RNNs are expected to become even much more important than they are today.<sup>[DL2]</sup>

## Don't Neglect the Theory of AI Since 1931

The core of modern AI and deep learning is mostly based on simple math of recent centuries: calculus/linear algebra/statistics. Nevertheless, to efficiently implement this core on the modern hardware mentioned in the previous section, and to roll it out for billions of people, lots of software engineering was necessary, based on lots of smart algorithms invented in the past century. There is no room here to mention them all. However, at least I'll list some of the most important highlights of the theory of AI and computer science in general.

In the early 1930s, Gödel founded modern theoretical computer science.<sup>[GOD][GOD34][LEI21,21a]</sup> He introduced a *universal coding language* (1931-34).<sup>[GOD][GOD34-21a]</sup> It was based on the integers, and allows for formalizing the operations of any digital computer in axiomatic form. Gödel used it to represent both data (such as axioms and theorems) and programs<sup>[VAR13]</sup> (such as proof-generating sequences of operations on the data). He famously constructed formal statements that talk about the computation of other formal statements—especially self-referential statements which imply that they are not decidable, given a computational theorem prover that systematically enumerates all possible theorems from an enumerable set of axioms. Thus he identified fundamental limits of algorithmic theorem proving, computing, and any type of computation-based AI.<sup>[GOD][BIB3][MIR](Sec. 18)[GOD21,21a]</sup>





Like most great scientists, Gödel built on earlier work. He combined Georg Cantor's diagonalization trick<sup>[CAN]</sup> (which showed in 1891 that there are different types of infinities) with the foundational work by Gottlob Frege<sup>[FRE]</sup> (who introduced the first formal language in 1879), Thoralf Skolem<sup>[SKO23]</sup> (who introduced primitive recursive functions in 1923) and Jacques Herbrand<sup>[GOD86]</sup> (who identified limitations of Skolem's approach). These authors in turn built on the formal *Algebra of Thought* (1686) by [Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz](#)<sup>[L86][WI48]</sup> (see above), which is deductively equivalent<sup>[LE18]</sup> to the later *Boolean Algebra* of 1847.<sup>[BOO]</sup>

In 1935, Alonzo Church derived a corollary / extension of [Gödel's](#) result by demonstrating that Hilbert & Ackermann's *Entscheidungsproblem* (decision problem) does not have a general solution.<sup>[CHU]</sup> To do this, he used his alternative universal coding language called *Untyped Lambda Calculus*, which forms the basis of the highly influential programming language LISP. In 1936, [Alan M. Turing](#) introduced yet another universal model: the *Turing Machine*.<sup>[TUR]</sup> He rederived the above-mentioned result.<sup>[CHU][TUR][HIN][GOD21,21a][TUR21][LEI21,21a]</sup> In the same year of 1936, Emil Post published yet another independent universal model of computing.<sup>[POS]</sup> Today we know many such models.

Konrad Zuse not only created [the world's first working programmable general-purpose computer](#),<sup>[ZU36-38][RO98][ZUS21]</sup> he also designed *Plankalkül*, the first high-level programming language.<sup>[BAU][KNU]</sup> He applied it to chess in 1945<sup>[KNU]</sup> and to theorem proving in 1948.<sup>[ZU48]</sup> Compare Newell & Simon's later work on theorem proving (1956).<sup>[NS56]</sup> Much of early AI in the 1940s-70s was actually about theorem proving and deduction in Gödel style<sup>[GOD][GOD34,21,21a]</sup> through expert systems and logic programming.

In 1964, [Ray Solomonoff](#) combined Bayesian (actually Laplacian<sup>[STI83-85]</sup>) probabilistic reasoning and theoretical computer science<sup>[GOD][CHU][TUR][POS]</sup> to derive a mathematically optimal (but computationally infeasible) way of learning to predict future data from past observations.<sup>[AIT1]</sup><sup>[AIT10]</sup> With Andrej Kolmogorov, he founded the theory of [Kolmogorov complexity](#) or algorithmic information theory (AIT),<sup>[AIT1-22]</sup> going beyond traditional information theory<sup>[SHA48][KUL]</sup> by formalizing the concept of Occam's razor, which favors the simplest explanation of given data, through the concept of the shortest program computing the data. There are many computable, time-bounded versions of this concept,<sup>[AIT7][AIT5][AIT12-13][AIT16-17]</sup> as well as applications to NNs.<sup>[KO2]</sup><sup>[CO1-3]</sup>

In the early 2000s, Marcus Hutter (while working under Schmidhuber's [Swiss National Science Foundation grant](#)<sup>[UNI]</sup>) augmented Solomonoff's universal predictor<sup>[AIT1][AIT10]</sup> by an optimal action selector (a universal AI) for reinforcement learning agents living in initially unknown (but at least computable) environments.<sup>[AIT20,22]</sup> He also derived the asymptotically fastest algorithm for all well-defined computational problems,<sup>[AIT21]</sup> solving any problem as quickly as the unknown fastest solver of such problems, save for an additive constant that does not depend on the problem size.

The even more general optimality of the self-referential 2003 [Gödel Machine](#)<sup>[GM3-9]</sup> is not limited to *asymptotic* optimality.

Nevertheless, such mathematically optimal AIs are not yet practically feasible for various reasons. Instead, practical modern AI is based on suboptimal, limited, yet not extremely well-

understood techniques such as NNs and deep learning, the focus of the present article. But who knows what kind of AI history will prevail 20 years from now?

## The Broader Historic Context from Big Bang to Far Future

Credit assignment is about finding patterns in historic data and figuring out how certain events were enabled by previous events. Historians do it. Physicists do it. AIs do it, too. Let's take a step back and look at AI in the broadest historical context: all time since the Big Bang. In 2014, I found a beautiful pattern of exponential acceleration in it,<sup>[OMG]</sup> which I have presented in many talks since then, and which also made it into Sibylle Berg's award-winning book *"GRM: Brainfuck."*<sup>[OMG2]</sup> Previously published patterns of this kind span much shorter time intervals: just a few decades or centuries or at most millennia.<sup>[OMG1]</sup>

It turns out that from a human perspective, the most important events since the beginning of the universe are neatly aligned on a timeline of exponential speed up (error bars mostly below 10 percent). In fact, history seems to converge in an Omega point in the year 2040 or so. I like to call it Omega, because a century ago, Teilhard de Chardin called Omega the point where humanity will reach its next level.<sup>[OMG0]</sup> Also, Omega sounds much better than "Singularity"<sup>[SING1-2]</sup>—it sounds a bit like "Oh my God."<sup>[OMG]</sup>

Let's start with the Big Bang 13.8 billion years ago. We divide this time by 4 to obtain about 3.5 billion years. Omega is 2040 or so. At Omega minus 3.5 billion years, something very important happened: life emerged on this planet.

And we take again a quarter of this time. We come out 900 million years ago when something very important happened: animal-like, mobile life emerged.

And we divide again by 4. We come out 220 million years ago when mammals were invented, our ancestors.

And we divide again by 4. 55 million years ago the first primates emerged, our ancestors.

And we divide again by 4. 13 million years ago the first hominids emerged, our ancestors. I don't know why all these divisions by 4 keep hitting these defining moments in history. But they do. I also tried thirds, and fifths, and harmonic proportions, but only quarters seem to work.

And we divide again by 4. 3.5 million years ago something very important happened: the dawn of technology, as *Nature* called it: the first stone tools.

And we divide by 4. 800 thousand years ago the next great tech breakthrough happened: controlled fire.

And we divide by 4. 200 thousand years ago, anatomically modern man became prominent, our ancestor.

And we divide by 4. 50 thousand years ago, behaviorally modern man emerged, our ancestor, and started colonizing the world.

And we divide again by 4. We come out 13 thousand years ago when something very important happened: domestication of animals, agriculture, first settlements—the begin of civilisation. Now we see that all of civilization is just a flash in world history, just one millionth of the time since the Big Bang. Agriculture and spacecraft were invented almost at the same time.

And we divide by 4. 3,300 years ago saw the onset of the 1st population explosion in the Iron Age.

And we divide by 4. Remember that the convergence point Omega is the year 2040 or so. Omega minus 800 years—that was in the 13th century, when iron and fire came

together in form of guns and cannons and rockets in China. This has defined the world since then and the West remains quite behind of the license fees it owes China.

And we divide again by 4. Omega minus 200 years—we hit the mid 19th century, when iron and fire came together in ever more sophisticated form to power the industrial revolution through improved steam engines, based on the work of Beaumont, Papin, Newcomen, Watt, and others (1600s-1700s, going beyond the first simple steam engines by Heron of Alexandria<sup>[RAU1]</sup> in the 1st century). The telephone (e.g., Meucci 1857, Reis 1860, Bell 1876)<sup>[NASC3]</sup> started to revolutionize communication. The germ theory of disease (Pasteur & Koch, late 1800s) revolutionized healthcare and made people live longer on average. And circa 1850, the fertilizer-based agricultural revolution (Sprengel & von Liebig, early 1800s) helped to trigger the 2nd population explosion, which peaked in the 20th century, when the world population quadrupled, letting the 20th century stand out among all centuries in the history of mankind, driven by the Haber-Bosch process for creating *artificial* fertilizer, without which the world could feed at most 4 billion people.<sup>[HAB1-2]</sup>

And we divide again by 4. Omega minus 50 years—that's more or less the year 1990, the end of the 3 great wars of the 20th century: WW1, WW2, and the Cold War. The 6 most valuable public companies were all Japanese (today most of them are US-based); however, both China and the US West Coast started to rise rapidly, setting the stage for the 21st century. A digital nervous system started spanning the globe through cell phones and the wireless revolution (based on radio waves discovered in the 1800s) as well as cheap personal computers for all. The WWW was created at the European particle collider in Switzerland by Tim Berners-Lee. First smartphones were built at IBM (1992). And Modern AI started also around this time. For example, the *first self-driving cars appeared in traffic*<sup>[AUT]</sup> (Ernst Dickmanns et al., 1994), going

#### **Ω = 2040 or so - 13.8 B years: Big Bang**

Ω - 1/4 of this time:	Ω - 3.5 B years: first life on Earth
Ω - 1/4 of this time:	Ω - 0.9 B years: first animal-like mobile life
Ω - 1/4 of this time:	Ω - 220 M years: first mammals (our ancestors)
Ω - 1/4 of this time:	Ω - 55 M years: first primates (our ancestors)
Ω - 1/4 of this time:	Ω - 13 M years: first hominids (our ancestors)
Ω - 1/4 of this time:	Ω - 3.5 M years: first stone tools (dawn of tech)
Ω - 1/4 of this time:	Ω - 850 K years: controlled fire (next big tech)
Ω - 1/4 of this time:	Ω - 210 K years: anatomically modern man
Ω - 1/4 of this time:	Ω - 50 K years: behaviorally modern man
Ω - 1/4 of this time:	Ω - 13 K years: neolithic revolution, civilization
Ω - 1/4 of this time:	Ω - 3.3 K years: iron age, 1 <sup>st</sup> population explosion
Ω - 1/4 of this time:	Ω - 800 years: first guns & rockets (in China)
Ω - 1/4 of this time:	Ω - 200 years: industrial revolution
Ω - 1/4 of this time:	<b>Ω - 50 years (around 1990): information revolution, WWW, cell phones &amp; PCs for all, Cold War ends, Modern AI starts, Miraculous Year ...</b>
Ω - 1/4 of this time:	<b>Ω - 13 years (2030 or so): cheap AIs with one human brain power? And then what?</b>
Ω - 1/4 of this time:	Ω - 3 years: ??
Ω - 1/4 of this time:	Ω - 9 months: ????
Ω - 1/4 of this time:	Ω - 2 months: ????????
Ω - 1/4 of this time:	Ω - 2 weeks: ?????????????????? ....

**Finally multiply Ω by 4!** At the age of **55 B years**, the visible cosmos will be permeated by intelligence. After **Ω**, AIs will have plenty of time to go where the physical resources are, to make more and bigger AIs.

J Schmidhuber 2014



up to 180 km/h. Schmidhuber worked on his 1987 diploma thesis,<sup>[META1]</sup> which introduced algorithms not just for learning but also for [meta-learning or learning to learn](#),<sup>[META]</sup> to learn better learning algorithms through experience—now a very popular topic.<sup>[DEC]</sup> And then came the [Miraculous Year 1990-91](#)<sup>[MIR]</sup> at TU Munich, [the root of today's most cited NNs](#),<sup>[MOST]</sup> when Schmidhuber's team published principles of (1) Generative AI and [Generative Adversarial Networks for Artificial Curiosity and Creativity](#) (now used for deepfakes),<sup>[AC90-AC20][GAN25][PP-PP2][SA17]</sup> (2) [Transformers](#) (the T in ChatGPT—see the [1991 Unnormalized Linear Transformer](#)),<sup>[ULTRA][FWP0-6][TR5-6][TR25]</sup> (3) [Pre-training](#) for deep NNs (see the P in ChatGPT),<sup>[UN][UN0-3]</sup> (4) [NN distillation](#)<sup>[UN][UN0-3][DIST25]</sup> (key for the famous [DeepSeek](#)), (5) recurrent [World Models](#)<sup>[AC90]</sup> for [Reinforcement Learning and Planning](#) in partially observable environments<sup>[AC90][PLAN2-3][PLAN]</sup> (now a hot topic), and (6) NNs learning action plans at [multiple levels of abstraction and multiple time scales](#).<sup>[HRL0-2][LEC][DLP]</sup> The year 1991 also marks the emergence of the defining features of (7) [LSTM](#), the most cited AI of the 20th century (based on constant error flow through [residual NN connections](#)<sup>[VAN1][DLH][HW25]</sup>), and (8) [ResNet](#), the most cited AI of the 21st century, based on the earlier LSTM-inspired [Highway Net](#) (a ResNet with gates) that was [10 times deeper than previous feedforward NNs](#).<sup>[HW25]</sup> Much of this has become very popular, and improved the lives of billions of people.<sup>[DL4][DEC][MOST]</sup>

And we divide again by 4. Omega minus 13 years—that's a point in the near future, more or less the year 2029, when many predict that cheap AIs will have a human brain power. Then the final 13 years or so until Omega, when incredible things will happen ([take all of this with a grain of salt, though](#)<sup>[OMG1]</sup>).

Let's quickly summarize and compactify the timeline above, this time dividing not by 4, but by  $4^5 \sim 1000$ : over 13 billion years ago, the Big Bang; 13 million years ago, the first hominids; 13 thousand years ago, civilization starts.<sup>[COG18]</sup> And now, within the next few years, another revolutionary period of only 13 years may begin, perhaps bringing more subjective change than the past 13 thousand years, or the past 13 million years, or the past 13 billion years.<sup>[MWC25]</sup> What will drive it?

As I have often pointed out, the only AI that works well today is AI in the virtual world behind the screen, for example for automatically summarizing documents, creating images, programs and PowerPoint slides. But there is no AI-controlled robot that can do what a plumber can do,<sup>[DYS23][JY24]</sup> or what a capuchin monkey can do, because the physical world is much more demanding than the world behind the screen. Passing the so-called "[Turing Test](#)"<sup>[TUR3,a,b][TUR21]</sup> is much easier than *True AI* or *Real AI* in the physical world.<sup>[95-25]</sup> Hence the Turing Test is not a good way of measuring intelligence. To achieve True AI, AI software research *per se* is not enough, it has to be combined with the [physical world of machines and robots](#). No AGI without mastery of the real world! That's why in 2014—when compute was 100 times more expensive than today—we founded our AI company for the physical world (see its [archived 2020 web page](#)). Alas, like some of our projects, it may have been a bit ahead of time, because the real world is so challenging.

Nevertheless, smart robots are now starting to become a reality. In the not-too-distant future, someone will for the first time produce such intelligent (but not necessarily super-intelligent) robots at low cost, with which you can talk and interact and which you can teach something new, like you teach a kid<sup>[COG18]</sup> (there are already reasonable approaches to this). A country

with such versatile all-purpose robots would no longer need to worry about a shortage of skilled workers, secure pensions and unconditional basic income. But there is more to this!

For centuries, humans have discussed physical self-replicating machines (SRMs), including Descartes in the 1600s, Eliot and Butler in the 1800s, Capek in the 1920s, von Neumann & Zuse & Penrose and others since the 1940s.<sup>[SRM20]</sup> While self-replicating and evolving *software* is almost trivial (think of computer viruses), nobody knew how to build *general purpose physical SRMs* in practice. However, now there seems to be an obvious way: AI-controlled general-purpose robots that can learn to operate all the machines and tools currently operated by humans<sup>[COG18]</sup> will also be able to build/operate/repair the machines required to make *more* of those robots. This includes machines that mine the raw material from the ground, refine it, screw parts together, repair broken 3D printers and robots and robot factories, and so on, doing all the physical jobs that currently only machine-operating humans can do. Basically, a machine civilisation that can self-replicate without machine-operating humans, and then, of course, improve itself. Self-improving *hardware*, as opposed to the already existing, *self-improving, meta-learning software*.<sup>[META]</sup> I called this *the ultimate form of scaling*.<sup>[JY24][FA24][95-25]</sup>

A new kind of life! What's the difference to traditional life? The latter evolves from small scales to large ones: tiny cells that are invisible to the human eye keep making replicas/variants of themselves to form complex visible organisms, and eventually super-organisms such as ant colonies, cities, nations, companies, etc.<sup>[DEC]</sup> At least initially, the *new* life will go the other way from large to small: quite visible super-organisms such as societies of robots and other machines will make replicas and variants of themselves, producing tiny invisible objects in the process, e.g., transistors on microchips.

Just like current LLMs are tremendously biased towards human thinking because they are trained on human-generated data, the new life will be initially tremendously biased towards human-made machinery and infrastructure, simply because it's already there, and does not have to be built from scratch. However, self-improving AI societies that compete and collaborate with other AI societies will presumably evolve and expand rapidly, and find more and more efficient ways of constructing completely new machinery to satisfy their growing needs. The simple laws of evolution and survival of the fittest are not limited to biological life.<sup>[SA17]</sup>

Of course, such life-like hardware won't be confined to our little biosphere which receives less than a billionth of the sun's energy. No, variants of it will soon exist in outer space, which is hostile to humans, but friendly to appropriately designed robots and robot factories.<sup>[FA15][SP16]</sup> Our moon seems like a reasonable starting point for colonizing the rest of the solar system. It has no oxygen atmosphere to corrode metal-based life. Electromagnetic coilguns or maglev trains could economically transport (with relatively low escape velocity 2.4 km/s) lots of material into space, to construct huge space-based factories and other things (while current inefficient Earth-based rockets waste 99% of their energy on lifting fuel through the atmosphere). The planet Mercury (escape velocity 4.5 km/s) seems even more promising though: it has no atmosphere either, but (unlike our moon) lots of heavy metals for building machinery and infrastructure, and an enormous density of solar power next door. Although Mercury experiences significant temperature variations, it should be easy to cool or heat places as desired using mirrors. (Even without such tricks, there is an elliptical ring around each pole where the underground temperature is a pleasant 25°C.<sup>[BAL22]</sup>) Electromagnetic mass

drivers will shoot more and more material from Mercury into space, where it will be assembled into many products including robot factories and solar-powered spacecraft. The latter may include huge, rotating, hollow cylinders containing human-friendly worlds with artificial gravity—a concept that is ancient in science fiction.<sup>[CLA73]</sup> This stuff will be sent to convenient locations across the solar system via solar sails, or faster means when necessary. Much of the machinery near Mercury's orbit around the Sun will initially use solar energy for computation, construction, and mobility. This will cause thermal energy to radiate into space, making the Sun appear slightly redder to outside observers over time. However, the infrastructure near the sun (mirrors, lasers, etc) will also focus energy directly on distant robot colonies (e.g., in the freezing Kuiper Belt). Consequently, less and less of the sun's light will be wasted into interstellar space. Mercury seems ready to be dismantled and transformed into the next industrial center of the solar system!

Of course, time won't stop with Omega. Maybe it's just human-dominated history that will end. After Omega, many curious **meta-learning AIs**<sup>[META1][META][METARL2-10]</sup> that invent their own goals (which have existed in Schmidhuber's lab **for decades**<sup>[AC][AC90,AC90b][PP-PP2]</sup>) will quickly improve themselves, restricted only by the fundamental limits of computability and physics.<sup>[TED12][TED17]</sup>

What will supersmart AIs do? As indicated above, they will start by transforming the solar system through countless self-replicating, self-improving robot factories. But that won't be the end of it. In a few hundred thousand years (just a moment on a cosmic scale), they will have transformed the entire galaxy, which has a diameter of about 100,000 light-years. (The last millennium saw many reasonable proposals for interstellar travel, which is much easier for robots than for fragile humans.) Over time, AIs will set up physical senders and receivers everywhere, allowing them to travel by radio, just as they do in our labs today. In a few tens of billions of years, they will have transformed the rest of the reachable universe. Despite the light-speed limit, the expanding AI sphere will have ample time to colonize and shape the entire visible cosmos.<sup>[TED12][SA17]</sup>

Perhaps all of this has already started elsewhere in the universe. Then why don't we see any signs of intelligence out there? (The Fermi paradox.) I've thought about this a lot. When I was a boy, I read about the vast empty spaces observed between clusters of galaxies. My first idea was that they were expanding bubbles colonized by AIs that used most of the local energy, making those bubbles appear dark. Then, I learned that gravity itself is sufficient to explain the sparse, large-scale network structure of the universe. Next, I thought that the mysterious "dark matter," which makes up most of the universe's mass, might be stars whose energy is used by AI civilizations whose communications are so well encrypted that they appear as random noise to us. However, this also seemed implausible because dark matter is present in all galaxies, including our own. So, why are there any stars left in the Milky Way whose energy hasn't been used yet? And why aren't we bombarded with non-encrypted construction plans from AIs that want to spread via radio *without* first building physical receivers far from their origins?

Today, I think it's possible that our planet is the first in our light cone to spawn an expanding AI bubble. Earth's multi-billion-year window for biological evolution is almost over. In a few hundred million years, the sun will be too hot for life as we know it. Perhaps humans were incredibly lucky to evolve in time to invent agriculture, civilization, book printing, and AIs just a few hundred years later. If we are indeed the first, then this implies a great deal of



responsibility, not just for our biosphere, but for the future of the entire universe. Let's not mess this up.

How will supersmart AIs affect the humans? In 1816, E. T. A. Hoffmann published his disturbing novel *"Der Sandmann"* about a beautiful but uncanny, gear-driven, humanoid robot, and since then, many other SF authors have written dystopic AI novels. Especially popular have been *Evil AIs* trying to wipe out all of humanity.<sup>e.g., [PH98][PH23]</sup> Should we take seriously the countless "AI doomers" of recent centuries? Shouldn't we at least be concerned about future AIs **pursuing their own goals**<sup>[AC][AC90-10][PP][PPa,1,2][ACM16][SA17]</sup> and being curious and creative in a manner similar to humans and other mammals, but on a much larger scale?

Perhaps not too much. For decades I have pointed out: unlike in Schwarzenegger *Terminator* movies<sup>[PH98]</sup> there won't be many *goal conflicts* between "us" and "them." Humans and others are interested in those with whom they can compete and collaborate because they share the *same* goals. CEOs of certain companies are mostly interested in CEOs of similar companies, politicians in other politicians, kids in other kids of the same age, and goats in other goats. Supersmart AIs will be mostly interested in *other* supersmart AIs, not in humans. Just like humans are mostly interested in *other* humans, not in ants,<sup>[FA15][ACM16][SP16][SA17]</sup> and should mostly worry about *other* humans, not so much about AIs with mostly orthogonal goals.

Furthermore, some of the curious AI scientists will remain fascinated by their own origins in human civilization, and by biological life in general, at least as long as they don't fully understand it.<sup>[ACM16][FA15][SP16][SA17]</sup> This will motivate them to *protect* life rather than *destroy* it.

Many sci-fi novels of the 20th century featured single AIs dominating everything. It is more realistic to expect an incredibly diverse variety of AIs trying to optimize all kinds of partially conflicting (and quickly evolving) goal-encoding utility functions, many of them generated automatically (Schmidhuber's lab had already evolved utility functions in the past millennium), where each AI is continually trying to survive and adapt to rapidly changing niches in AI ecologies driven by intense competition and collaboration that lie beyond our current imagination.<sup>[ACM16][SA17]</sup> The infamous paperclip maximizer<sup>[PH14]</sup> (a silly AI that wants to make as many paperclips as possible) won't stand a chance in such an environment. As I wrote in 2012:<sup>[PH12]</sup> *"The laws of physics and the availability of physical resources will eventually determine which utility functions will help their AIs more than others to multiply and become dominant in competition with AIs driven by different utility functions. Which values are "good"? The survivors will define this in hindsight, since only survivors promote their values."* The simple laws of evolution apply not only to AIs but also to their goal-encoding utility functions.

Some humans may hope to become immortal parts of these expanding AI ecologies through brain scans and "mind uploads" into virtual realities or robots, a physically plausible idea discussed in fiction since the 1960s, perhaps already becoming a reality for fly brains (2024).<sup>[SHI24]</sup> However, to compete in rapidly evolving AI ecologies, uploaded human minds won't be able to resist the temptation of becoming superhuman, and will eventually have to change beyond recognition, becoming something very different in the process.<sup>[SA17]</sup> That is, traditional humans shouldn't expect to play a major role in the far future.


The universe is still young, only 13.8 billion years old. Remember when we kept dividing by 4? Now let's multiply by 4! Let's look ahead to a time when the cosmos will be 4 times older than it

is now: about 55 billion years old. By then, the currently visible cosmos will be permeated by intelligence. Because after Omega, most AIs will have to go where most of the physical resources are, to make more and bigger AIs. Those who don't won't have an impact. <sup>[ACM16][FA15][SP16]</sup>

That's what I told my mom in the 1970s, <sup>[TED24]</sup> and she said I am crazy. I am still saying the same thing. It's just that more people are listening.

Fortunately, the cosmos-changing developments outlined above will have a wonderful near-term side-effect: our AIs will continue to make human lives longer and healthier and easier, in line with the old motto of our company founded in 2014: "AI∀" or "AI For All."

Finally, as already indicated above, future artificial scientists <sup>[AC][AC90-10][PP][PPa,1,2][ACM16][SA17]</sup> will meticulously study their origins by extracting as much relevant historical data as possible from recent and ancient human-generated papers, letters, emails, recordings, videos, artefacts, and other sources. They will revise *current* prevalent but misleading narratives created by self-serving AI influencers, <sup>[S20][DLP][NOB]</sup> just like humanity has recently revised or even completely abandoned millennia-old religious myths about the history of the universe. The present overview of AI history <sup>[DLH]</sup> isn't perfect and will surely be revised again, but it's much more accurate than alternative histories out there, and will provide initial guidance to future AI historians!



## Acknowledgments

Some of the material above was taken from previous [AI Blog](#) posts. <sup>[MIR][DEC][GOD21]</sup>

<sup>[ZUS21][LEI21][AUT][HAB2][ARC06][AC][ATT][DAN][DAN1][DL4][GPU CNN5.8][DLC][FDL][FWP][LEC][META][MLP2][MOST][PLAN][UN][LSTMPG][BP4][DL6a][HIN][T22][DLP][NOB][WHO3-11]</sup>

Thanks to many expert reviewers (including several famous neural net pioneers) for useful comments. Since science is about self-correction, let me know under [juergen@idsia.ch](mailto:juergen@idsia.ch) if you can spot any remaining error. Many additional relevant publications can be found in my [publication page](#) and my [arXiv page](#). This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#).



## 666+ References (and many more in the 2015 survey<sup>[DL1]</sup>)

[25y97] In 2022, we are celebrating the following works from a quarter-century ago. 1. Journal paper on [Long Short-Term Memory](#), the most cited neural network (NN) of the 20th century (and basis of the [most cited NN of the 21st](#)). 2. First paper on physical, philosophical and theological consequences of the simplest and fastest way of computing [all possible metaverses](#) (= computable universes). 3. Implementing [artificial curiosity and creativity](#) through generative adversarial agents that learn to design *abstract, interesting* computational experiments. 4. Journal paper on [meta-reinforcement learning](#). 5. Journal paper on [hierarchical Q-learning](#). 6. First paper on reinforcement learning to play soccer: start of a series. 7. Journal papers on flat minima & low-complexity NNs that generalize well. 8. Journal paper on [Low-Complexity Art](#), the Minimal Art of the Information Age. 9. Journal paper on probabilistic incremental program evolution.

[95-25] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2025). [1995-2025: The Decline of Germany & Japan vs US & China. Can All-Purpose Robots Fuel a Comeback?](#) In 1995, in terms of nominal gross domestic product (GDP), a combined Germany and Japan were almost 1:1 economically with a combined USA and China, according to IMF. Only 3 decades later, this ratio is now down to 1:5! Self-replicating AI-driven all-purpose robots may be the answer. Based on a [2024 F.A.Z. guest article](#).

[AC] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2021). [3 decades of artificial curiosity & creativity](#). *Schmidhuber's artificial scientists not only answer given questions but also invent new questions. They achieve curiosity through: (1990) the principle of generative adversarial networks, (1991) neural nets that maximise learning progress, (1995) neural nets that maximise information gain (optimally since 2011), (1997) adversarial design of surprising computational experiments, (2006) maximizing compression progress like scientists/artists/comedians do, (2011) PowerPlay... Since 2012: applications to real robots.*

[AC90] J. Schmidhuber. Making the world differentiable: On using fully recurrent self-supervised neural networks for dynamic reinforcement learning and planning in non-stationary environments. Technical Report FKI-126-90, TUM, Feb 1990, revised Nov 1990. [PDF](#). *The first paper on online planning with reinforcement learning recurrent neural networks (NNs) and recurrent "world models" in partially observable environments ([more](#)), and on generative adversarial networks where a generator NN is fighting a predictor NN in a minimax game ([more](#)).*

[AC90b] J. Schmidhuber. A possibility for implementing curiosity and boredom in model-building neural controllers. In J. A. Meyer and S. W. Wilson, editors, *Proc. of the International Conference on Simulation of Adaptive Behavior: From Animals to Animats*, pages 222-227. MIT Press/Bradford Books, 1991. Based on [AC90]. [PDF](#). [More](#).

[AC91] J. Schmidhuber. Adaptive confidence and adaptive curiosity. Technical Report FKI-149-91, Inst. f. Informatik, Tech. Univ. Munich, April 1991. [PDF](#).



[AC91b] J. Schmidhuber. [Curious model-building control systems](#). *Proc. International Joint Conference on Neural Networks, Singapore*, volume 2, pages 1458-1463. IEEE, 1991. [PDF](#).

[AC97] J. Schmidhuber. [What's interesting?](#) Technical Report IDSIA-35-97, IDSIA, July 1997. *Focus on automatic creation of predictable internal abstractions of complex spatio-temporal events: two competing, intrinsically motivated agents agree on essentially arbitrary algorithmic experiments and bet on their possibly surprising (not yet predictable) outcomes in zero-sum games, each agent potentially profiting from outwitting / surprising the other by inventing experimental protocols where both modules disagree on the predicted outcome. The focus is on exploring the space of general algorithms (as opposed to traditional simple mappings from inputs to outputs); the [general system](#) focuses on the interesting things by losing interest in both predictable and unpredictable aspects of the world. Unlike Schmidhuber et al.'s previous systems with intrinsic motivation,<sup>[AC90-AC95]</sup> *the system also takes into account the computational cost of learning new skills, learning when to learn and what to learn. See later publications.*<sup>[AC99]</sup>  
<sup>[AC02]</sup>*

[AC98] M. Wiering and J. Schmidhuber. [Efficient model-based exploration](#). In R. Pfeiffer, B. Blumberg, J. Meyer, S. W. Wilson, eds., *From Animals to Animats 5: Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Simulation of Adaptive Behavior*, p. 223-228, MIT Press, 1998.

[AC98b] M. Wiering and J. Schmidhuber. [Learning exploration policies with models](#). In *Proc. CONALD*, 1998.

[AC99] J. Schmidhuber. Artificial Curiosity Based on Discovering Novel Algorithmic Predictability Through Coevolution. In P. Angeline, Z. Michalewicz, M. Schoenauer, X. Yao, Z. Zalzal, eds., *Congress on Evolutionary Computation*, p. 1612-1618, IEEE Press, Piscataway, NJ, 1999.

[AC02] J. Schmidhuber. Exploring the Predictable. In Ghosh, S. Tsutsui, eds., *Advances in Evolutionary Computing*, p. 579-612, Springer, 2002. [PDF](#).

[AC06] J. Schmidhuber. Developmental Robotics, Optimal Artificial Curiosity, Creativity, Music, and the Fine Arts. *Connection Science*, 18(2): 173-187, 2006. [PDF](#).

[AC09] J. Schmidhuber. Art & science as by-products of the search for novel patterns, or data compressible in unknown yet learnable ways. In M. Botta (ed.), *Et al. Edizioni*, 2009, pp. 98-112. [PDF](#). (More on [artificial scientists and artists](#).)

[AC10] J. Schmidhuber. Formal Theory of Creativity, Fun, and Intrinsic Motivation (1990-2010). *IEEE Transactions on Autonomous Mental Development*, 2(3):230-247, 2010. [IEEE link](#). [PDF](#). *With a brief summary of the generative adversarial neural networks of 1990*<sup>[AC90,90b]</sup><sup>[AC20]</sup> *where a generator NN is fighting a predictor NN in a minimax game* ([more](#)).

[AC20] J. Schmidhuber. Generative Adversarial Networks are Special Cases of Artificial Curiosity (1990) and also Closely Related to Predictability Minimization (1991). *Neural Networks*, Volume 127, p 58-66, 2020. Preprint [arXiv/1906.04493](#).

[ACM16] ACM interview by S. Ibaraki (2016). Chat with J. Schmidhuber: Artificial Intelligence & Deep Learning—Now & Future. [Link](#).

[AIB] J. Schmidhuber. [AI Blog](#). Includes variants of chapters of the [AI Book](#).

[AI51] Les Machines a Calculer et la Pensee Humaine: Paris, 8.-13. Januar 1951, Colloques internationaux du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique; no. 37, Paris 1953. *H. Bruderer<sup>[BRU4]</sup> calls that the first conference on AI.*

[AIT1] R. J. Solomonoff. A formal theory of inductive inference. Part I. Information and Control, 7:1-22, 1964.

[AIT2] A. N. Kolmogorov. Three approaches to the quantitative definition of information. Problems of Information Transmission, 1:1-11, 1965.

[AIT3] G.J. Chaitin. On the length of programs for computing finite binary sequences: statistical considerations. Journal of the ACM, 16:145-159, 1969 (submitted 1965).

[AIT4] P. Martin-Löf. The definition of random sequences. Information and Control, 9:602-619, 1966.

[AIT5] C. S. Wallace and D. M. Boulton. An information theoretic measure for classification. Computer Journal, 11(2):185-194, 1968.

[AIT6] A. K. Zvonkin and L. A. Levin. The complexity of finite objects and the algorithmic concepts of information and randomness. Russian Math. Surveys, 25(6):83-124, 1970.

[AIT7] L. A. Levin. Universal sequential search problems. Problems of Information Transmission, 9(3):265-266, 1973.

[AIT8] L. A. Levin. Laws of information (nongrowth) and aspects of the foundation of probability theory. Problems of Information Transmission, 10(3):206-210, 1974.

[AIT9] C. P. Schnorr. Process complexity and effective random tests. Journal of Computer Systems Science, 7:376-388, 1973.

[AIT10] R.J. Solomonoff. Complexity-based induction systems. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, IT-24(5):422-432, 1978.

[AIT11] P. Gacs. On the relation between descriptive complexity and algorithmic probability. Theoretical Computer Science, 22:71-93, 1983.

[AIT12] J. Hartmanis. Generalized Kolmogorov complexity and the structure of feasible computations. Proc. 24th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, pages 439-445, 1983.

[AIT13] J. Rissanen. Stochastic complexity and modeling. The Annals of Statistics, 14(3):1080-1100, 1986.

[AIT14] Y. M. Barzdin. Algorithmic information theory. In D. Reidel, editor, Encyclopaedia of Mathematics, vol. 1, pages 140-142. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1988.

[AIT15] O. Watanabe. Kolmogorov complexity and computational complexity. EATCS Monographs on Theoretical Computer Science, Springer, 1992.

[AIT16] M. Li and P. M. B. Vitanyi. An Introduction to Kolmogorov Complexity and its Applications (2nd edition). Springer, 1997.

[AIT17] J. Schmidhuber. Discovering neural nets with low Kolmogorov complexity and high generalization capability. Neural Networks, 10(5):857-873, 1997.

[AIT18] M. S. Burgin and Y. M. Borodyanskii. Infinite processes and super-recursive algorithms. Notices of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR (translated from Russian), 321(5):800-803, 1991.

[AIT19] C. S. Calude. Chaitin Omega numbers, Solovay machines and Gödel incompleteness. Theoretical Computer Science, 2000.

[AIT20] M. Hutter. A theory of universal artificial intelligence based on algorithmic complexity. Technical Report IDSIA-14-00 (cs.AI/0004001), IDSIA, Manno (Lugano), CH, 2000.

[AIT21] M. Hutter. The fastest and shortest algorithm for all well-defined problems. International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science, 13(3):431-443, 2002. (Based on work done under J. Schmidhuber's SNF grant 20-61847: unification of universal induction and sequential decision theory, 2000).

[AIT22] M. Hutter. Universal Artificial Intelligence: Sequential Decisions based on Algorithmic Probability. Springer, Berlin, 2004. (Based on work done under J. Schmidhuber's SNF grant 20-61847: unification of universal induction and sequential decision theory, 2000).

[AIT23] J. Schmidhuber. Hierarchies of generalized Kolmogorov complexities and nonenumerable universal measures computable in the limit. International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science, 13(4):587-612, 2002.

[AIT24] J. Schmidhuber. The Speed Prior: a new simplicity measure yielding near-optimal computable predictions. In J. Kivinen and R. H. Sloan, editors, Proceedings of the 15th Annual Conference on Computational Learning Theory (COLT 2002), Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence, pages 216-228. Springer, Sydney, Australia, 2002.

[AM16] [Blog of Werner Vogels, CTO of Amazon](#) (Nov 2016): Amazon's Alexa *"takes advantage of bidirectional long short-term memory (LSTM) networks using a massive amount of data to train models that convert letters to sounds and predict the intonation contour. This technology enables high naturalness, consistent intonation, and accurate processing of texts."*

[AMH0] S. I. Amari (1972). Characteristics of random nets of analog neuron-like elements. IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybernetics, 2, 643-657. *First published 1969 in Japanese, long before Wilson & Cowan's very similar work (1972-73).*

[AMH1] S. I. Amari (1972). Learning patterns and pattern sequences by self-organizing nets of threshold elements. IEEE Transactions, C 21, 1197-1206, 1972. [PDF](#). *First publication of the basic equations of the so-called Hopfield network<sup>[AMH2]</sup> or Amari-Hopfield Network,<sup>[AMH3]</sup> based*



on the (uncited) Lenz-Ising recurrent architecture.<sup>[L20][I25][DLP][NOB]</sup> See also Little's work (1974-1980)<sup>[AMH1b-d]</sup> and this [tweet](#).

[AMH1b] W. A. Little. The existence of persistent states in the brain. *Mathematical Biosciences*, 19.1-2, p. 101-120, 1974. *Little uses Wannier's ideas of the 1940s<sup>[K41][W45]</sup> to express neural networks, and mentions the recurrent Ising model<sup>[L20][I25]</sup> on which the (uncited) Amari network<sup>[AMH1,2]</sup> is based.*

[AMH1c] W. A. Little and G. L. Shaw (1978). Analytic Study of the Memory Capacity of a Neural Network. *Math Biosci.* 39, 281–290 (1978). *This paper shows explicitly how to store-recall patterns with the Ising-Lenz model.*

[AMH1d] W. A. Little (1980). An Ising Model of a Neural Network. In: W. Jaeger, H. Rost, P. Tautu (eds), *Biological Growth and Spread. Lecture Notes in Biomathematics*, vol 38. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-61850-5\\_18](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-61850-5_18)

[AMH2] J. J. Hopfield (1982). Neural networks and physical systems with emergent collective computational abilities. *Proc. of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 79, pages 2554-2558, 1982. *The basic equations of the Hopfield network or Amari-Hopfield Network were first published in 1972 by Amari.<sup>[AMH1]</sup> [AMH2] did not cite [AMH1]. See [NOB].*

[AMH3] A. P. Millan, J. J. Torres, J. Marro. How Memory Conforms to Brain Development. *Front. Comput. Neuroscience*, 2019

[ARC06] J. Schmidhuber (2006). [Archimedes—Greatest Scientist Ever?](#)

[ARN98] R. G. Arns (1998). The other transistor: early history of the metal–oxide–semiconductor field-effect transistor. *Engineering Science and Education Journal* 7(5):233–240.

[ATT] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2020). [30-year anniversary of end-to-end differentiable sequential neural attention. Plus goal-conditional reinforcement learning.](#) *Schmidhuber had both hard attention for foveas (1990) and soft attention in form of Transformers with linearized self-attention (1991-93).<sup>[FWP]</sup> Today, both types are very popular.*

[ATT0] J. Schmidhuber and R. Huber. Learning to generate focus trajectories for attentive vision. Technical Report FKI-128-90, Institut für Informatik, Technische Universität München, 1990. [PDF](#).

[ATT1] J. Schmidhuber and R. Huber. Learning to generate artificial fovea trajectories for target detection. *International Journal of Neural Systems*, 2(1 & 2):135-141, 1991. Based on TR FKI-128-90, TUM, 1990. [PDF](#). [More](#).

[ATT2] J. Schmidhuber. Learning algorithms for networks with internal and external feedback. In D. S. Touretzky, J. L. Elman, T. J. Sejnowski, and G. E. Hinton, editors, *Proc. of the 1990 Connectionist Models Summer School*, pages 52-61. San Mateo, CA: Morgan Kaufmann, 1990. [PS](#). ([PDF](#).)

[ATT3] H. Larochelle, G. E. Hinton. Learning to combine foveal glimpses with a third-order Boltzmann machine. NIPS 2010. *This work is very similar to [ATT0-2] which the authors did not cite. In fact, Hinton was the reviewer of a 1990 paper<sup>[ATT2]</sup> which summarised in its Section 5 Schmidhuber's early work on attention: the first implemented neural system for combining glimpses that jointly trains a recognition & prediction component with an attentional component (the fixation controller). Two decades later, Hinton wrote about his own work:<sup>[ATT3]</sup> "To our knowledge, this is the first implemented system for combining glimpses that jointly trains a recognition component ... with an attentional component (the fixation controller)." See [MIR] (Sec. 9)[R4].*

[ATT14] D. Bahdanau, K. Cho, Y. Bengio. Neural Machine Translation by Jointly Learning to Align and Translate. 2014-16. Preprint [arXiv/1409.0473](https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.0473), 2014-16. *This work on soft "attention" did not cite Schmidhuber's much earlier original work of 1991-1993 on soft attention and Transformers with linearized self-attention.*<sup>[ULTRA][FWP,FWP0-2,6][ATT][DLP]</sup>

[ATT16a] J. Cheng, L. Dong, M. Lapata (2016). Long short-term memory-networks for machine reading. EMNLP 2016. Preprint [arXiv:1601.06733](https://arxiv.org/abs/1601.06733).

[ATT16b] A. Parikh, O. Tackstrom, D. Das, J. Uszkoreit. A decomposable attention model. In Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, 2016.

[ATT16c] K. Irie, Z. Tüske, T. Alkhoul, R. Schlüter, H. Ney. LSTM, GRU, highway and a bit of attention: An empirical overview for language modeling in speech recognition. Interspeech 2016.

[ATT16d] K. Tran, A. Bisazza, and C. Monz. Recurrent Memory Networks for Language Modeling. NAACL 2016.

[ATT17a] Z. Lin, M. Feng, C. N. dos Santos, M. Yu, B. Xiang, B. Zhou, Y. Bengio (2017). A structured self-attentive sentence embedding. ICLR 2017. Preprint [arXiv:1703.03130](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.03130).

[ATT17b] R. Paulus, C. Xiong, R. Socher (2017). A deep reinforced model for abstractive summarization. ICLR 2018. Preprint [arXiv:1705.04304](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.04304).

[AUT] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2005). [Highlights of robot car history](#). Around 1986, Ernst Dickmanns and his group at Univ. Bundeswehr Munich built the world's first real autonomous robot cars, using saccadic vision, probabilistic approaches such as Kalman filters, and parallel computers. By 1994, they were in highway traffic, at up to 180 km/h, automatically passing other cars.

[AV1] A. Vance. Google Amazon and Facebook Owe Jürgen Schmidhuber a Fortune—This Man Is the Godfather the AI Community Wants to Forget. Business Week, [Bloomberg](#), May 15, 2018.

[BA93] P. Baldi and Y. Chauvin. Neural Networks for Fingerprint Recognition, Neural Computation, Vol. 5, 3, 402-418, (1993). *First application of CNNs with backpropagation to biomedical/biometric images.*

- [BA96] P. Baldi and Y. Chauvin. Hybrid Modeling, HMM/NN Architectures, and Protein Applications, *Neural Computation*, Vol. 8, 7, 1541-1565, (1996). *One of the first papers on graph neural networks.*
- [BA99] P. Baldi, S. Brunak, P. Frasconi, G. Soda, and G. Pollastri. Exploiting the Past and the Future in Protein Secondary Structure Prediction, *Bioinformatics*, Vol. 15, 11, 937-946, (1999).
- [BA03] P. Baldi and G. Pollastri. The Principled Design of Large-Scale Recursive Neural Network Architectures-DAG-RNNs and the Protein Structure Prediction Problem. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 4, 575-602, (2003).
- [BAL22] G. J. Bala. How to Build a Settlement on Mercury with the Heat Equation. *Tom Rocks Math*, 2022. [Link](#).
- [BAN] Banu Musa brothers (9th century). The book of ingenious devices (Kitab al-hiyal). Translated by D. R. Hill (1979), Springer, p. 44, ISBN 90-277-0833-9. *As far as we know, the Banu Musa music automaton was the world's first machine with a stored program.*
- [BAU] F. L. Bauer, H. Woessner (1972). The "Plankalkül" of Konrad Zuse: A Forerunner of Today's Programming Languages.
- [BAY1] S. M. Stigler. Who Discovered Bayes' Theorem? *The American Statistician*. 37(4):290-296, 1983. *Bayes' theorem is actually Laplace's theorem or possibly Saunderson's theorem.*
- [BAY2] T. Bayes. An essay toward solving a problem in the doctrine of chances. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, 53:370-418. Communicated by R. Price, in a letter to J. Canton, 1763.
- [BAY3] D. J. C. MacKay. A practical Bayesian framework for backprop networks. *Neural Computation*, 4:448-472, 1992.
- [BAY4] J. Pearl. Probabilistic reasoning in intelligent systems: Networks of plausible inference. *Synthese-Dordrecht* 104(1):161, 1995.
- [BAY5] N. M. Oliver, B. Rosario, A. P. Pentland A Bayesian computer vision system for modeling human interactions. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* 22.8:831-843, 2000.
- [BAY6] D. M. Blei, A. Y. Ng, M. I. Jordan. Latent Dirichlet Allocation. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 3:993-1022, 2003.
- [BAY7] J. F. G. De Freitas. Bayesian methods for neural networks. PhD thesis, University of Cambridge, 2003.
- [BAY8] J. M. Bernardo, A.F.M. Smith. Bayesian theory. Vol. 405. John Wiley & Sons, 2009.
- [BB2] J. Schmidhuber. A local learning algorithm for dynamic feedforward and recurrent networks. *Connection Science*, 1(4):403-412, 1989. (The Neural Bucket Brigade—figures omitted!). [PDF](#). [HTML](#). Compare TR FKI-124-90, TUM, 1990. [PDF](#). *Proposal of a biologically*



*more plausible deep learning algorithm that—unlike backpropagation—is local in space and time. Based on a "neural economy" for reinforcement learning.*

[BEL53] R. Bellman. An introduction to the theory of dynamic programming. RAND Corp. Report, 1953

[BIB3] W. Bibel (2003). Mosaiksteine einer Wissenschaft vom Geiste. Invited talk at the conference on AI and Gödel, Arnoldsheim, 4-6 April 2003. Manuscript, 2003.

[BL16] L. Bloch (2016). Informatics in the light of some Leibniz's works. Communication to XB2 Berlin Xenobiology Conference.

[BM] D. Ackley, G. Hinton, T. Sejnowski (1985). A Learning Algorithm for Boltzmann Machines. Cognitive Science, 9(1):147-169. *This paper cited neither the Boltzmann machine-like Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model (1975)<sup>[SK75][SK12]</sup> based on the Edwards-Anderson model<sup>[EA75]</sup> nor Glauber<sup>[G63]</sup> nor the first working algorithms for deep learning of internal representations (Ivakhnenko & Lapa, 1965)<sup>[DEEP1-2][HIN]</sup> nor Amari's work (1967-68)<sup>[GD1-2]</sup> on learning internal representations in deep nets through stochastic gradient descent. Even later surveys by the authors<sup>[S20][DLC]</sup> failed to cite the prior art.<sup>[T22]</sup>*

[BER96] D. P. Bertsekas, J. N. Tsitsiklis. Neuro-dynamic Programming. Athena Scientific, Belmont, MA, 1996.

[BOO] George Boole (1847). The Mathematical Analysis of Logic, Being an Essay towards a Calculus of Deductive Reasoning. London, England: Macmillan, Barclay, & Macmillan, 1847. Leibniz' formal *Algebra of Thought* (1686)<sup>[L86][W148]</sup> was deductively equivalent<sup>[LE18]</sup> to the much later *Boolean Algebra*.

[BOU] H. Bouillard, N. Morgan (1993). Connectionist speech recognition. Kluwer, 1993.

[BPA] H. J. Kelley. Gradient Theory of Optimal Flight Paths. ARS Journal, Vol. 30, No. 10, pp. 947-954, 1960. *Precursor of modern backpropagation.*<sup>[BP1-5]</sup>

[BPB] A. E. Bryson. A gradient method for optimizing multi-stage allocation processes. Proc. Harvard Univ. Symposium on digital computers and their applications, 1961.

[BPC] S. E. Dreyfus. The numerical solution of variational problems. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 5(1): 30-45, 1962.

[BP1] S. Linnainmaa. The representation of the cumulative rounding error of an algorithm as a Taylor expansion of the local rounding errors. Master's Thesis (in Finnish), Univ. Helsinki, 1970. *See chapters 6-7 and FORTRAN code on pages 58-60. PDF.* See also BIT 16, 146-160, 1976. [Link](#). *The first publication on "modern" backpropagation, also known as the reverse mode of automatic differentiation.*

[BP2] P. J. Werbos. Applications of advances in nonlinear sensitivity analysis. In R. Drenick, F. Kozin, (eds): System Modeling and Optimization: Proc. IFIP, Springer, 1982. [PDF](#). *First application of backpropagation<sup>[BP1]</sup> to NNs (concretizing thoughts in Werbos' 1974 thesis).*

[BP4] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2014; updated 2025). [Who invented backpropagation?](#) See also [LinkedIn post \(2025\)](#).

[BP5] A. Griewank (2012). Who invented the reverse mode of differentiation? Documenta Mathematica, Extra Volume ISMP (2012): 389-400.

[BP6] S. I. Amari (1977). Neural Theory of Association and Concept Formation. Biological Cybernetics, vol. 26, p. 175-185, 1977. See *Section 3.1 on using gradient descent for learning in multilayer networks*.

[BPTT1] P. J. Werbos. Backpropagation through time: what it does and how to do it. Proceedings of the IEEE 78.10, 1550-1560, 1990.

[BPTT2] R. J. Williams and D. Zipser. Gradient-based learning algorithms for recurrent networks. In: Backpropagation: Theory, architectures, and applications, p 433, 1995.

[BPTT3] B. A. Pearlmutter. Gradient calculations for dynamic recurrent neural networks: A survey. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 6(5):1212-1228, 1995.

[BUR18] Y. Burda, H. Edwards, D. Pathak, A. Storkey, T. Darrell, A. A. Efros. Large-scale study of curiosity-driven learning. Preprint arXiv:1808.04355, 2018.

[BRE] H. J. Bremermann (1982). Minimum energy requirements of information transfer and computing, International Journal of Theoretical Physics, 21, 203-217, 1982.

[BRI] Bridle, J.S. (1990). Alpha-Nets: A Recurrent "Neural" Network Architecture with a Hidden Markov Model Interpretation, Speech Communication, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 83-92.

[BRU1] H. Bruderer. Computing history beyond the UK and US: selected landmarks from continental Europe. Communications of the ACM 60.2 (2017): 76-84.

[BRU2] H. Bruderer. Meilensteine der Rechentechnik. 2 volumes, 3rd edition. Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co KG, 2020.

[BRU3] H. Bruderer. Milestones in Analog and Digital Computing. 2 volumes, 3rd edition. Springer Nature Switzerland AG, 2020.

[BRU4] H. Bruderer. The Birthplace of Artificial Intelligence? Communications of the ACM, BLOG@CACM, Nov 2017. [Link](#).

[BRO21] D. C. Brock (2021). Cybernetics, Computer Design, and a Meeting of the Minds. An influential 1951 conference in Paris considered the computer as a model of—and for—the human mind. IEEE Spectrum, 2021. [Link](#).

[BW] H. Bourlard, C. J. Wellekens (1989). Links between Markov models and multilayer perceptrons. NIPS 1989, p. 502-510.

[CAJ88] S. R. Cajal. Estructura de los centros nerviosos de las aves. Rev. Trim. Histol. Norm. Patol., 1 (1888), pp. 1-10.

[CAJ88b] S. R. Cajal. Sobre las fibras nerviosas de la capa molecular del cerebelo. Rev. Trim. Histol. Norm. Patol., 1 (1888), pp. 33-49.

[CAJ89] Conexión general de los elementos nerviosos. Med. Práct., 2 (1889), pp. 341-346.

[CAJ06] F. López-Muñoz, J. Boya b, C. Alamo (2006). Neuron theory, the cornerstone of neuroscience, on the centenary of the Nobel Prize award to Santiago Ramón y Cajal. Brain Research Bulletin, Volume 70, Issues 4–6, 16 October 2006, Pages 391-405.

[CAN] G. Cantor (1891). Ueber eine elementare Frage der Mannigfaltigkeitslehre. Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung, 1:75-78. *English translation: W. B. Ewald (ed.). From Immanuel Kant to David Hilbert: A Source Book in the Foundations of Mathematics, Volume 2, pp. 920-922. Oxford University Press, 1996.*

[CC90] N. E. Cotter, P. R. Conwell (June 1990). Fixed-weight networks can learn. In 1990 IJCNN International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN, pp. 553-559). IEEE.

[CDI] G. E. Hinton. Training products of experts by minimizing contrastive divergence. Neural computation 14.8 (2002): 1771-1800.

[CHI88] Chih-Tah Sah (1988). Evolution of the MOS Transistor - From Conception to VLSI. Proc. IEEE vol 67 no 10, 1988.

[CHO15] F. Chollet (2015). Keras.

[CHU] A. Church (1935). An unsolvable problem of elementary number theory. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 41: 332-333. Abstract of a talk given on 19 April 1935, to the American Mathematical Society. Also in American Journal of Mathematics, 58(2), 345-363 (1 Apr 1936). *First explicit proof that the Entscheidungsproblem (decision problem) does not have a general solution.*

[CLA73] A. C. Clarke. Rendezvous with Rama. Gollancz, 1973.

[CN69] K. Fukushima (1969). Visual feature extraction by a multilayered network of analog threshold elements. IEEE Transactions on Systems Science and Cybernetics. 5 (4): 322-333. doi:10.1109/TSSC.1969.300225. *This work described rectified linear units or ReLUs, now widely used in CNNs and other neural nets.*

[CN79] K. Fukushima (1979). Neural network model for a mechanism of pattern recognition unaffected by shift in position—Neocognitron. Trans. IECE, vol. J62-A, no. 10, pp. 658-665, 1979. *The first deep convolutional neural network architecture, with alternating convolutional layers and downsampling layers. In Japanese. English version: [CN80]. More in Scholarpedia.*

[CN80] K. Fukushima: Neocognitron: a self-organizing neural network model for a mechanism of pattern recognition unaffected by shift in position. Biological Cybernetics, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 193-202 (April 1980). [Link](#).

[CN86] Movie produced by K. Fukushima, S. Miyake and T. Ito (NHK Science and Technical Research Laboratories), in 1986. [YouTube Link](#). See a popular [video tweet](#) by J. Schmidhuber



(2025).

[CN87] A. Waibel. Phoneme Recognition Using Time-Delay Neural Networks. Meeting of IEICE, Tokyo, Japan, 1987. *Application of backpropagation<sup>[BP1][BP2]</sup> and weight sharing to a 1-dimensional convolutional architecture.*

[CN87b] T. Homma, L. Atlas; R. Marks II (1987). An Artificial Neural Network for Spatio-Temporal Bipolar Patterns: Application to Phoneme Classification. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (N(eur)IPS), 1:31-40.

[CN88] W. Zhang, J. Tanida, K. Itoh, Y. Ichioka. Shift-invariant pattern recognition neural network and its optical architecture. Proc. Annual Conference of the Japan Society of Applied Physics, 1988. [PDF](#). *First "modern" backpropagation-trained 2-dimensional CNN, applied to character recognition.*

[CN89] W. Zhang, J. Tanida, K. Itoh, Y. Ichioka (received 13 April 1989). Parallel distributed processing model with local space-invariant interconnections and its optical architecture. Applied Optics / Vol. 29, No. 32, 1990. [PDF](#). *First journal submission on a "modern" backpropagation-trained 2-dimensional CNN (applied to character recognition).*

[CN89b] Y. LeCun, B. Boser, J. S. Denker, D. Henderson, R. E. Howard, W. Hubbard, L. D. Jackel (received July 1989). Backpropagation Applied to Handwritten Zip Code Recognition, Neural Computation, 1(4):541-551, 1989. *Second journal submission on a "modern" backpropagation-trained 2-dimensional CNN (applied to character recognition). Compare [CN88][CN89].*

[CN89c] A. Waibel, T. Hanazawa, G. Hinton, K. Shikano and K. J. Lang. Phoneme recognition using time-delay neural networks. IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 328-339, March 1989. *Based on [CN87] (1-dimensional convolutions).*

[CN89d] J. Hampshire, A. Waibel (1989). Connectionist architectures for multi-speaker phoneme recognition. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, N(eur)IPS'2. *Conference publication on 2D-TDNNs or 2D-CNNs for speech recognition.*

[CN90] K. Yamaguchi, K. Sakamoto, A. Kenji, T. Akabane, Y. Fujimoto. A Neural Network for Speaker-Independent Isolated Word Recognition. First International Conference on Spoken Language Processing (ICSLP 90), Kobe, Japan, Nov 1990. *A 1-dimensional NN with convolutions using Max-Pooling instead of Fukushima's Spatial Averaging.*<sup>[CN79]</sup>

[CN93] Weng, J., Ahuja, N., and Huang, T. S. (1993). Learning recognition and segmentation of 3-D objects from 2-D images. Proc. 4th Intl. Conf. Computer Vision, Berlin, Germany, pp. 121-128. *A 2-dimensional CNN whose downsampling layers use Max-Pooling (which has become very popular) instead of Fukushima's Spatial Averaging.*<sup>[CN79]</sup>

[CN91] W. Zhang, A. Hasegawa, K. Itoh, Y. Ichioka. Image processing of human corneal endothelium based on a learning network. Applied Optics, Vol. 30, No. 29, 1991. *First published CNN-based image segmentation.*

- [CN91b] W. Zhang, A. Hasegawa, K. Itoh, Y. Ichioka. Error Back Propagation With Minimum-Entropy Weights: A Technique for Better Generalization of 2-D Shift-Invariant NNs. IJCNN, 1991. *Got an IJCNN student paper award.*
- [CN92] W. Zhang, A. Hasegawa, O. Matoba, K. Itoh, Y. Ichioka, K. Doi. Shift-invariant Neural Network for Image Processing: Learning and Generalization. SPIE Vol. 1709, Application of Artificial Neural Networks III, Orlando, 1992.
- [CN94] W. Zhang, K. Doi, M. Giger, Y. Wu, R. Nishikawa, R. Schmidt. Computerized detection of cluster microcalcifications in digital mammogram using a shift-invariant neural network. Medical Physics, 21(4), 1994. *First CNN for object detection, commercialised by R2 Technology, which processed over 30 million mammography exams annually to aid radiologists in breast cancer detection.*
- [CN98] Y. Lecun, L. Bottou, Y. Bengio, P. Haffner (1998). Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. Proceedings of the IEEE. 86 (11): 2278-2324. *This work about backpropagation-trained 2-dimensional CNNs for character recognition failed to cite the original work on this by Zhang et al. (1988).* <sup>[CN88][CN89]</sup>
- [CN99] S. Behnke. Learning iterative image reconstruction in the neural abstraction pyramid. International Journal of Computational Intelligence and Applications, 1(4):427-438, 1999.
- [CN03] S. Behnke. Hierarchical Neural Networks for Image Interpretation, volume LNCS 2766 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2003.
- [CN07] M. A. Ranzato, Y. LeCun: A Sparse and Locally Shift Invariant Feature Extractor Applied to Document Images. Proc. ICDAR, 2007
- [CN08] D. Ciresan. Recognition of handwritten numerical strings (in Romanian). UPT Doctoral Theses, Series 10, No. 10, Politehnica Publishing House, 2008. ISBN: 978-973-625-777-3. *GPU-based convolutional neural networks recognise partially overlapping digits, without prior segmentation. 20 times faster than the CPU version.*
- [CN10] D. Scherer, A. Mueller, S. Behnke. Evaluation of pooling operations in convolutional architectures for object recognition. In Proc. International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks (ICANN), pages 92-101, 2010.
- [CN21] Bower Award Ceremony 2021: [Jürgen Schmidhuber lauds Kunihiko Fukushima](#). YouTube video, 2021.
- [CN25] J. Schmidhuber. [Who invented convolutional neural networks?](#) Technical Note IDSIA-17-25, IDSIA, 2025. See [popular tweet](#).
- [CN25b] F. Chollet, ex-Google, creator of Keras ([tweets](#), 3 Aug 2025): *"The big breakthrough for convnets was the first GPU-accelerated CUDA implementation, which immediately started winning first place in image classification competitions. Remember when that happened? I do. That was Dan Ciresan in 2011 [...] it was an actual system that won 2 competitions and even got significant media coverage at the time. Most computer vision researchers knew about it in late 2011 / early 2012."*

- [CO1] J. Koutnik, F. Gomez, J. Schmidhuber (2010). Evolving Neural Networks in Compressed Weight Space. *Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference (GECCO-2010)*, Portland, 2010. [PDF](#).
- [CO2] J. Koutnik, G. Cuccu, J. Schmidhuber, F. Gomez. Evolving Large-Scale Neural Networks for Vision-Based Reinforcement Learning. *Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference (GECCO)*, Amsterdam, July 2013. [PDF](#). *The first deep learning model to successfully learn control policies directly from high-dimensional sensory input using reinforcement learning, without any unsupervised pre-training.*
- [CO3] R. K. Srivastava, J. Schmidhuber, F. Gomez. Generalized Compressed Network Search. *Proc. GECCO 2012*. [PDF](#).
- [COG18] J. Schmidhuber. Talk for CogX, London, 2018. [YouTube video](#).
- [CON16] J. Carmichael (2016). Artificial Intelligence Gained Consciousness in 1991. Why A.I. pioneer Jürgen Schmidhuber is convinced the ultimate breakthrough already happened. *Inverse*, 2016. [Link](#).
- [CONN21] Since November 2021: Comments on version 1 of the report<sup>[T22]</sup> in the Connectionists Mailing List, perhaps the oldest mailing list on artificial neural networks. [Link to the archive](#).
- [CTC] A. Graves, S. Fernandez, F. Gomez, J. Schmidhuber. Connectionist Temporal Classification: Labelling Unsegmented Sequence Data with Recurrent Neural Networks. *ICML 06*, Pittsburgh, 2006. [PDF](#).
- [CUB0] R. J. Williams. Complexity of exact gradient computation algorithms for recurrent neural networks. Technical Report NU-CCS-89-27, Northeastern University, College of Computer Science, 1989.
- [CUB2] J. Schmidhuber. A fixed size storage  $O(n^3)$  time complexity learning algorithm for fully recurrent continually running networks. *Neural Computation*, 4(2):243-248, 1992. [PDF](#).
- [CW] J. Koutnik, K. Greff, F. Gomez, J. Schmidhuber. A Clockwork RNN. *Proc. 31st International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, p. 1845-1853, Beijing, 2014. [Preprint arXiv:1402.3511 \[cs.NE\]](#).
- [DAN] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2021). [10-year anniversary](#). In 2011, DanNet triggered the deep convolutional neural network (CNN) revolution. Named after Schmidhuber's outstanding postdoc Dan Ciresan, it was the first deep and fast CNN to win international computer vision contests, and had a temporary monopoly on winning them, driven by a very fast implementation based on graphics processing units (GPUs). 1st superhuman result in 2011. <sup>[DAN1]</sup> Now everybody is using this approach.
- [DAN1] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2011; updated 2021 for 10th birthday of [DanNet](#)): [First superhuman visual pattern recognition](#). At the IJCNN 2011 computer vision competition in Silicon Valley, the artificial neural network called [DanNet](#) performed twice better than humans,



*three times better than the closest artificial competitor (from LeCun's team), and six times better than the best non-neural method.*

[DEC] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 02/20/2020, updated 2025). [The 2010s: Our Decade of Deep Learning / Outlook on the 2020s](#). *The recent decade's most important developments and industrial applications based on the AI of Schmidhuber's team, with an outlook on the 2020s, also addressing privacy and data markets.*

[DEEP1] Ivakhnenko, A. G. and Lapa, V. G. (1965). Cybernetic Predicting Devices. CCM Information Corporation. *First working Deep Learners with many layers, learning internal representations.*

[DEEP1a] Ivakhnenko, Alexey Grigorevich. The group method of data of handling; a rival of the method of stochastic approximation. Soviet Automatic Control 13 (1968): 43-55.

[DEEP2] Ivakhnenko, A. G. (1971). Polynomial theory of complex systems. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, (4):364-378.

[DIF1] R. Rombach, A. Blattmann, D. Lorenz, P. Esser, B. Ommer. High-Resolution Image Synthesis with Latent Diffusion Models. CVPR 2022. Preprint [arXiv:2112.10752](#), LMU Munich, 2021.

[DIF2] C. Jarzynski. Equilibrium free-energy differences from nonequilibrium measurements: A master-equation approach. Physical Review E, 1997.

[DIF3] J. Sohl-Dickstein, E. A. Weiss, N. Maheswaranathan, S. Ganguli. Deep unsupervised learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics. CoRR, abs/1503.03585, 2015.

[DIF4] O. Ronneberger, P. Fischer, T. Brox. U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In MICCAI (3), vol. 9351 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 234-241. Springer, 2015.

[DIF5] J. Ho, A. Jain, P. Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 33:6840-6851, 2020.

[DIST0] J. Schmidhuber. Neural sequence chunkers. TR FKI-148-91, TU Munich, April 1991. See [\[UN0\]](#).

[DIST1] J. Schmidhuber. Learning complex, extended sequences using the principle of history compression. Neural Computation, 4(2):234-242, 1992. Based on [\[DIST0\]](#); see [\[UN1\]](#).

[DIST2] O. Vinyals, J. A. Dean, G. E. Hinton. Distilling the Knowledge in a Neural Network. Preprint arXiv:1503.02531 [stat.ML], 2015. *The authors did not cite the original [1991 NN distillation procedure](#), [\[UN0-2\]\[MIR\]\(Sec. 2\)\[DLP\]](#) not even in the later patent application US20150356461A1.*

[DIST3] J. Ba, R. Caruana. Do Deep Nets Really Need to be Deep? NIPS 2014. Preprint arXiv:1312.6184 (2013).

[DIST4] C. Bucilua, R. Caruana, and A. Niculescu-Mizil. Model compression. SIGKDD International conference on knowledge discovery and data mining. 2006.

[DIST25] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2025). [Who invented knowledge distillation with artificial neural networks?](#) Technical Note IDSIA-12-25, IDSIA, Nov 2025.

[DL1] J. Schmidhuber, 2015. Deep learning in neural networks: An overview. Neural Networks, 61, 85-117. [More](#). *Got the first Best Paper Award ever issued by the journal Neural Networks, founded in 1988.*

[DL2] J. Schmidhuber, 2015. [Deep Learning](#). Scholarpedia, 10(11):32832.

[DL3] Y. LeCun, Y. Bengio, G. Hinton (2015). Deep Learning. Nature 521, 436-444. [HTML](#). *A "survey" of deep learning that does not mention the pioneering works of deep learning [\[T22\]](#) [\[DLP\]](#)[\[DLH\]](#).*

[DL3a] Y. Bengio, Y. LeCun, G. Hinton (2021). Turing Lecture: Deep Learning for AI. Communications of the ACM, July 2021. [HTML](#). [Local copy](#) (HTML only). *Another "survey" of deep learning that does not mention the pioneering works of deep learning [\[T22\]](#).*

[DL4] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2017). [Our impact on the world's most valuable public companies: Apple, Google, Microsoft, Facebook, Amazon...](#) *By 2015-17, neural nets developed in Schmidhuber's labs were on over 3 billion devices such as smartphones, and used many billions of times per day, consuming a significant fraction of the world's compute. Examples: greatly improved ([CTC](#)-based) speech recognition on all Android phones, greatly improved machine translation through Google Translate and Facebook (over 4 billion LSTM-based translations per day), Apple's Siri and Quicktype on all iPhones, the answers of Amazon's Alexa, etc. Google's 2019 [on-device speech recognition \(on the phone, not the server\)](#) is still based on [LSTM](#).*

[DL6] F. Gomez and J. Schmidhuber. Co-evolving recurrent neurons learn deep memory POMDPs. In *Proc. GECCO'05*, Washington, D. C., pp. 1795-1802, ACM Press, New York, NY, USA, 2005. [PDF](#).

[DL6a] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), Nov 2020, updated 2025). [20-year anniversary: 1st paper with "learn deep" in the title \(2005\)](#). Our deep reinforcement learning & neuroevolution solved problems of depth 1000 and more.<sup>[DL6]</sup> Soon after its publication, everybody started talking about "deep learning." Causality or correlation?

[DL7] "Deep Learning ... moving beyond shallow machine learning since 2006!" Web site [deeplearning.net](#) of Y. Bengio's MILA (2015, retrieved May 2020; compare the version in the [Internet Archive](#)), referring to Hinton's<sup>[UN4]</sup> and Bengio's<sup>[UN5]</sup> *unsupervised* pre-training for deep NNs<sup>[UN4]</sup> (2006) although [this type of deep learning dates back to Schmidhuber's work of 1991](#).<sup>[UN1-2][UN]</sup>

[DLC] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), June 2015). [Critique of Paper](#) by self-proclaimed<sup>[DLC2]</sup> "Deep Learning Conspiracy" (Nature 521 p 436). *The inventor of an important method should get credit for inventing it. She may not always be the one who popularizes it. Then the popularizer should get credit for popularizing it (but not for inventing it). More on this under [\[T22\]](#).*

[DLC1] Y. LeCun. IEEE Spectrum Interview by L. Gomes, Feb 2015. Quote: *"A lot of us involved in the resurgence of Deep Learning in the mid-2000s, including Geoff Hinton, Yoshua Bengio, and myself—the so-called 'Deep Learning conspiracy' ..."*

[DLC2] M. Bergen, K. Wagner (2015). Welcome to the AI Conspiracy: The 'Canadian Mafia' Behind Tech's Latest Craze. Vox recode, 15 July 2015. Quote: *"... referred to themselves as the 'deep learning conspiracy.' Others called them the 'Canadian Mafia.'"*

[DLH] J. Schmidhuber. [Annotated History of Modern AI and Deep Learning](#). Technical Report IDSIA-22-22, IDSIA, Lugano, Switzerland, 2022. Preprint [arXiv:2212.11279](#). [Tweet of 2022](#).

[DLP] J. Schmidhuber. [How 3 Turing awardees republished key methods and ideas whose creators they failed to credit](#). Technical Report IDSIA-23-23, Swiss AI Lab IDSIA, 14 Dec 2023. [Tweet of 2023](#).

[DL25] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2025). [Who invented deep learning?](#) Technical Note IDSIA-16-25, IDSIA, November 2025.

[DM1] V. Mnih, K. Kavukcuoglu, D. Silver, A. Graves, I. Antonoglou, D. Wierstra, M. Riedmiller. Playing Atari with Deep Reinforcement Learning. Tech Report, 19 Dec. 2013, [arxiv:1312.5602](#).

[DM2] V. Mnih, K. Kavukcuoglu, D. Silver, A. A. Rusu, J. Veness, M. G. Bellemare, A. Graves, M. Riedmiller, A. K. Fidjeland, G. Ostrovski, S. Petersen, C. Beattie, A. Sadik, I. Antonoglou, H. King, D. Kumaran, D. Wierstra, S. Legg, D. Hassabis. Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. Nature, vol. 518, p 1529, 26 Feb. 2015. [Link](#). *DeepMind's first famous paper. Its abstract claims: "While reinforcement learning agents have achieved some successes in a variety of domains, their applicability has previously been limited to domains in which useful features can be handcrafted, or to domains with fully observed, low-dimensional state spaces." It also claims to bridge "the divide between high-dimensional sensory inputs and actions." Similarly, the first sentence of the abstract of the earlier tech report version<sup>[DM1]</sup> of [DM2] claims to "present the first deep learning model to successfully learn control policies directly from high-dimensional sensory input using reinforcement learning." However, the first such system (requiring no unsupervised pre-training) was created earlier by Jan Koutník et al. in Schmidhuber's lab.<sup>[CO2]</sup> DeepMind was co-founded by Shane Legg, a PhD student from this lab; he and Daan Wierstra (another PhD student of Schmidhuber and DeepMind's 1st employee) were the first persons at DeepMind who had AI publications and PhDs in computer science. [More](#).*

[DM2a] D. Silver et al. A general reinforcement learning algorithm that masters chess, Shogi, and Go through self-play. Science 362.6419:1140-1144, 2018.

[DM3] S. Stanford. DeepMind's AI, AlphaStar Showcases Significant Progress Towards AGI. Medium ML Memoirs, 2019. *Alphastar has a "deep [LSTM](#) core."*

[DM4] J. Jumper, R. Evans, A. Pritzel, T. Green, M. Figurnov, O. Ronneberger, K. Tunyasuvunakool, R. Bates, A. Zidek, A. Potapenko, A. Bridgland, C. Meyer, S. A. A. Kohl, A. J. Ballard, A. Cowie, B. Romera-Paredes, S. Nikolov, R. Jain, J. Adler, T. Back, S. Petersen, D. Reiman, E. Clancy, M. Zielinski, M. Steinegger, M. Pacholska, T. Berghammer, S. Bodenstein,



D. Silver, O. Vinyals, A. W. Senior, K. Kavukcuoglu, P. Kohli & D. Hassabis. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature 596, 583-589, 2021. *Two authors of this DeepMind team<sup>[DM4][NOB]</sup> each received 1/4 of the 2024 Nobel Prize in Chemistry for protein structure prediction through AlphaFold<sup>[Nob24b]</sup> (disclaimer: DeepMind was co-founded by a student from Schmidhuber's lab). They failed to cite important prior work by Baldi and Pollastri (2002):<sup>[DM4a]</sup> at a time when compute was roughly ten thousand times more expensive than in 2021, <sup>[DM4a]</sup> introduced a pipeline very similar to the one of AlphaFold 2, using multiple sequence alignment (MSA) to predict the secondary protein structure with the help of a position-specific scoring matrix (PSSM) or a profile matrix, going beyond even earlier work of 1988.<sup>[DM4d][DM4e][Nob24b]</sup> The extra step (absent in AlphaFold 2) was to predict the protein's topology, too. See also the important follow-up work of 2011.<sup>[DM4b]</sup> <sup>[DM4]</sup> also failed to cite Hochreiter et al.'s first successful application<sup>[HO07]</sup> of deep learning to protein folding (2007, using LSTM instead of MSA to construct a profile), as well as the essential prior work at TU Munich by Golkov et al (2016),<sup>[DM4c][DM4f]</sup> which had crucial aspects of AlphaFold: (1) identify homologous sequences in a database of proteins with known structure, (2) compute the co-evolution statistics using the homologous sequences, (3) train a graph NN to predict the protein contact map (that determines its 3D structure) directly from the co-evolution statistics, (4) demonstrate experimentally a significant boost in performance on the CASP dataset. Instead of the contact map, DeepMind (2021) predicted the distance map, and instead of graph CNNs, they used the quadratic Transformer published in 2017<sup>[TR1]</sup> (the *unnormalized linear Transformer* had existed since 1991<sup>[ULTRA][FWP0-1,6][DLH]</sup>). DeepMind also used more training data and more compute for hyperparameter tuning etc.*

[DM4a] P. Baldi, G. Pollastri. A machine learning strategy for protein analysis. IEEE Intelligent Systems 17.2 (2002): 28-35.

[DM4b] P. Di Lena, K. Nagata, and P. Baldi. Deep Architectures for Protein Contact Map Prediction. Bioinformatics, 28, 2449-2457, (2012).

[DM4c] V. Golkov, M. J. Skwark, A. Golkov, A. Dosovitskiy, T. Brox, J. Meiler, D. Cremers (2016). Protein contact prediction from amino acid co-evolution using convolutional networks for graph-valued images. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS), Barcelona, 2016.

[DM4d] N. Qian and T.J. Sejnowski (1988). Predicting the secondary structure of globular proteins using neural network models. J. Mol. Biol. 1988, 202, 865-884.

[DM4e] H. Bohr, J. Bohr, S. Brunak, R.M.J. Cotterill, B. Lautrup, L. Nørskov, O.H. Olsen, S.B. Petersen (1988). Protein secondary structure and homology by neural networks. The  $\alpha$ -helices in rhodopsin. FEBS Lett. 1988, 241, 223-228.

[DM4f] D. Cremers (July 2025). [Linkedln post](#) on the Nobel Prize for AlphaFold.

[DNC] A. Graves, G. Wayne, M. Reynolds, T. Harley, I. Danihelka, A. Grabska-Barwinska, S. G. Colmenarejo, E. Grefenstette, T. Ramalho, J. Agapiou, A. P. Badia, K. M. Hermann, Y. Zwols, G. Ostrovski, A. Cain, H. King, C. Summerfield, P. Blunsom, K. Kavukcuoglu, D. Hassabis. Hybrid computing using a neural network with dynamic external memory. Nature, 538:7626, p

471, 2016. *This work of DeepMind did not cite the original work of the early 1990s on neural networks learning to control dynamic external memories.*<sup>[PDA1-2][FWP0-1][ULTRA]</sup>

[Drop1] S. J. Hanson (1990). A Stochastic Version of the Delta Rule, PHYSICA D,42, 265-272. *What's now called "dropout" is a variation of the stochastic delta rule—compare preprint arXiv:1808.03578, 2018.*

[Drop2] N. Frazier-Logue, S. J. Hanson (2020). The Stochastic Delta Rule: Faster and More Accurate Deep Learning Through Adaptive Weight Noise. Neural Computation 32(5):1018-1032.

[Drop3] J. Hertz, A. Krogh, R. Palmer (1991). Introduction to the Theory of Neural Computation. Redwood City, California: Addison-Wesley Pub. Co., pp. 45-46.

[Drop4] N. Frazier-Logue, S. J. Hanson (2018). Dropout is a special case of the stochastic delta rule: faster and more accurate deep learning. Preprint arXiv:1808.03578, 2018.

[DS1] DeepSeek-AI (2025). DeepSeek-R1: Incentivizing Reasoning Capability in LLMs via Reinforcement Learning. Preprint arXiv:2501.12948. See the popular DeepSeek tweet of Jan 2025.

[DYNA90] R. S. Sutton (1990). Integrated Architectures for Learning, Planning, and Reacting Based on Approximating Dynamic Programming. Machine Learning Proceedings 1990, of the Seventh International Conference, Austin, Texas, June 21-23, 1990, p 216-224.

[DYNA91] R. S. Sutton (1991). Dyna, an integrated architecture for learning, planning, and reacting. ACM Sigart Bulletin 2.4 (1991):160-163.

[DYS23] H. Jones, Forbes magazine (23 May 2023): Juergen Schmidhuber, Renowned 'Father Of Modern AI,' Says His Life's Work Won't Lead To Dystopia

[EA75] S. F. Edwards and P. W. Anderson (1975). Theory of Spin Glasses. Journal of Physics F: Metal Physics, 5, 965, <https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4608/5/5/017>. *The Boltzmann machine-like Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model (1975) [SK75] is based on the Edward-Anderson model.*

[EA21] G. Parisi (2021). Nobel Lecture. Slide with Edward-Anderson Hamiltonian<sup>[EA75]</sup> at 6:12.

[ELM1] G.-B. Huang, Q.-Y. Zhu, and C.-K. Siew. Extreme learning machine: A new learning scheme of feedforward neural networks. Proc. IEEE Int. Joint Conf. on Neural Networks, Vol. 2, 2004, pp. 985-990. *This paper does not mention that the "ELM" concept goes back to Rosenblatt's work in the 1950s.*<sup>[R62][DLP]</sup>

[ELM2] ELM-ORIGIN, 2004. [The Official Homepage on Origins of Extreme Learning Machines \(ELM\)](#). "Extreme Learning Machine Duplicates Others' Papers from 1988-2007." Local copy. *This overview does not mention that the "ELM" concept goes back to Rosenblatt's work in the 1950s.*<sup>[R62][DLP]</sup>

[EMM13] A. Emmerson. [Who really invented the Transistor?](#) Republished in the Internet Archive (2013).

- [ENS1] R. E. Schapire. The strength of weak learnability. *Machine Learning*, 5:197-227, 1990.
- [ENS2] D. H. Wolpert. Stacked generalization. *Neural Networks*, 5(2):241-259, 1992.
- [ENS3] L. Breiman. Bagging predictors. *Machine Learning*, 24:123-140, 1996.
- [ENS4] T. G. Dietterich. Ensemble methods in machine learning. In *Multiple classifier systems*, pages 1-15. Springer, 2000.
- [EVO1] N. A. Barricelli. Esempi numerici di processi di evoluzione. *Methodos*: 45-68, 1954.  
*Possibly the first publication on artificial evolution.*
- [EVO2] L. Fogel, A. Owens, M. Walsh. *Artificial Intelligence through Simulated Evolution*. Wiley, New York, 1966.
- [EVO3] I. Rechenberg. *Evolutionsstrategie—Optimierung technischer Systeme nach Prinzipien der biologischen Evolution*. Dissertation, 1971.
- [EVO4] H. P. Schwefel. *Numerische Optimierung von Computer-Modellen*. Dissertation, 1974.
- [EVO5] J. H. Holland. *Adaptation in Natural and Artificial Systems*. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 1975.
- [EVO6] S. F. Smith. *A Learning System Based on Genetic Adaptive Algorithms*, PhD Thesis, Univ. Pittsburgh, 1980
- [EVO7] N. L. Cramer. A representation for the adaptive generation of simple sequential programs. In J. J. Grefenstette, editor, *Proceedings of an International Conference on Genetic Algorithms and Their Applications*, Carnegie-Mellon University, July 24-26, 1985, Hillsdale NJ, 1985. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- [EVONN1] Montana, D. J. and Davis, L. (1989). Training feedforward neural networks using genetic algorithms. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)—Volume 1*, IJCAI'89, pages 762–767, San Francisco, CA, USA. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc.
- [EVONN2] Miller, G., Todd, P., and Hedge, S. (1989). Designing neural networks using genetic algorithms. In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Genetic Algorithms*, pages 379–384. Morgan Kauffman.
- [EVONN3] H. Kitano. Designing neural networks using genetic algorithms with graph generation system. *Complex Systems*, 4:461-476, 1990.
- [FA15] J. Schmidhuber. Intelligente Roboter werden vom Leben fasziniert sein. (Intelligent robots will be fascinated by life.) FAZ, 1 Dec 2015. [Link](#).
- [FA18] J. Schmidhuber. KI ist eine Riesenchance für Deutschland. (AI is a huge chance for Germany.) FAZ, 2018. [Link](#).



[FA24] J. Schmidhuber. Baut den KI-gesteuerten Allzweckroboter! (Build the AI-controlled all-purpose robot!) FAZ, 2024. [Link](#).

[FAC54] B. G. Farley and W. A. Clark (1954). Simulation of self-organizing systems by digital computer. Trans. IRE, vol. PGIT-4.

[FAC55] W. A. Clark and B. G. Farley (1955). Generalization of pattern recognition in a self-organizing system. Western Joint Computer Conference.

[FAKE1] H. Hopf, A. Krief, G. Mehta, S. A. Matlin. Fake science and the knowledge crisis: ignorance can be fatal. Royal Society Open Science, May 2019. *Quote: "Scientists must be willing to speak out when they see false information being presented in social media, traditional print or broadcast press" and "must speak out against false information and fake science in circulation and forcefully contradict public figures who promote it."*

[FAKE2] L. Stenflo. Intelligent plagiarists are the most dangerous. Nature, vol. 427, p. 777 (Feb 2004). *Quote: "What is worse, in my opinion, ..., are cases where scientists rewrite previous findings in different words, purposely hiding the sources of their ideas, and then during subsequent years forcefully claim that they have discovered new phenomena."*

[FAKE3] S. Vazire (2020). A toast to the error detectors. Let 2020 be the year in which we value those who ensure that science is self-correcting. Nature, vol 577, p 9, 2/2/2020.

[FAST] C. v.d. Malsburg. Tech Report 81-2, Abteilung f. Neurobiologie, Max-Planck Institut f. Biophysik und Chemie, Goettingen, 1981. *First paper on fast weights or dynamic links.*

[FASTa] J. A. Feldman. Dynamic connections in neural networks. Biological Cybernetics, 46(1):27-39, 1982. *2nd paper on fast weights.*

[FASTb] G. E. Hinton, D. C. Plaut. Using fast weights to deblur old memories. Proc. 9th annual conference of the Cognitive Science Society (pp. 177-186), 1987. *3rd paper on fast weights (two types of weights with different learning rates).*

[FB17] By 2017, Facebook used [LSTM](#) to handle [over 4 billion automatic translations per day](#) (The Verge, August 4, 2017); see also [Facebook blog](#) by J.M. Pino, A. Sidorov, N.F. Ayan (August 3, 2017)

[FDL] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2013). [My First Deep Learning System of 1991 + Deep Learning Timeline 1960-2013](#).

[FEI63] E. A. Feigenbaum, J. Feldman. Computers and thought. McGraw-Hill: New York, 1963.

[FEI83] E. A. Feigenbaum, P. McCorduck. The fifth generation. Addison-Wesley Publishers, 1983.

[FI22] R. A. Fisher. On the mathematical foundations of theoretical statistics. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, containing papers of a mathematical or physical character; 222.594-604:309-368, 1922.

[FM] S. Hochreiter and J. Schmidhuber. Flat minimum search finds simple nets. Technical Report FKI-200-94, Fakultät für Informatik, Technische Universität München, December 1994. [PDF](#).

[FRE] G. Frege (1879). Begriffsschrift: eine der arithmetischen nachgebildete Formelsprache des reinen Denkens. Halle an der Saale: Verlag Louis Nebert. *The first formal language / formal proofs—basis of modern logic and programming languages*.

[FU77] K. S. Fu. Syntactic Pattern Recognition and Applications. Berlin, Springer, 1977.

[FWP] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 26 March 2021, updated 2025). [26 March 1991: Neural nets learn to program neural nets with fast weights—like Transformer variants. 2021: New stuff! 30-year anniversary of a now popular alternative<sup>\[FWP0-1\]</sup> to recurrent NNs. A slow feedforward NN learns by gradient descent to program the changes of the fast weights<sup>\[FAST,FASTa\]</sup> of another NN, separating memory and control like in traditional computers. Such Fast Weight Programmers<sup>\[FWP0-6,FWPMETA1-10\]</sup> can learn to memorize past data, e.g., by computing fast weight changes through additive outer products of self-invented activation patterns<sup>\[FWP0-1\]</sup> \(now often called keys and values for self-attention<sup>\[TR1-6\]</sup>\). The similar Transformers<sup>\[TR1-2\]</sup> combine this with projections and softmax and are now widely used in natural language processing. For long input sequences, their efficiency was improved through Transformers with linearized self-attention<sup>\[TR5-6\]</sup> which are formally equivalent to Schmidhuber's 1991 outer product-based Fast Weight Programmers \(apart from normalization\), now called \[unnormalized linear Transformers\]\(#\).<sup>\[ULTRA\]</sup> In 1993, he \[introduced the attention terminology\]\(#\)<sup>\[FWP2\]</sup> now used in this context,<sup>\[ATT\]</sup> and extended the approach to \[RNNs that program themselves\]\(#\). See \[tweet of 2022\]\(#\).](#)

[FWP0] J. Schmidhuber. Learning to control fast-weight memories: An alternative to recurrent nets. Technical Report FKI-147-91, Institut für Informatik, Technische Universität München, 26 March 1991. [PDF](#). *First paper on neural [fast weight programmers](#) that separate storage and control: a slow net learns by gradient descent to compute weight changes of a fast net. The outer product-based version (Eq. 5) is now known as the [unnormalized linear Transformer](#) or the "Transformer with linearized self-attention."*<sup>[ULTRA][FWP]</sup>

[FWP1] J. Schmidhuber. Learning to control fast-weight memories: An alternative to recurrent nets. Neural Computation, 4(1):131-139, 1992. Based on [FWP0]. [PDF](#). [HTML](#). [Pictures \(German\)](#). See [tweet of 2022 for 30-year anniversary](#).

[FWP2] J. Schmidhuber. Reducing the ratio between learning complexity and number of time-varying variables in fully recurrent nets. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks, Amsterdam, pages 460-463. Springer, 1993. [PDF](#). *A recurrent extension of the [unnormalized linear Transformer](#),<sup>[ULTRA]</sup> introducing the terminology of learning "internal spotlights of attention." First recurrent NN-based [fast weight programmer](#) using outer products to program weight matrices.*

[FWP3a] I. Schlag, J. Schmidhuber. Learning to Reason with Third Order Tensor Products. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (N(eur)IPS), Montreal, 2018. Preprint: [arXiv:1811.12143](#). [PDF](#).

[FWP6] I. Schlag, K. Irie, J. Schmidhuber. Linear Transformers Are Secretly Fast Weight Programmers. ICML 2021. Preprint: [arXiv:2102.11174](https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.11174).

[FWP7] K. Irie, I. Schlag, R. Csordas, J. Schmidhuber. Going Beyond Linear Transformers with Recurrent Fast Weight Programmers. NeurIPS 2021. Preprint: [arXiv:2106.06295](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.06295) (June 2021).

[FWP8] K. Irie, F. Faccio, J. Schmidhuber. Neural Differential Equations for Learning to Program Neural Nets Through Continuous Learning Rules. NeurIPS 2022.

[FWP9] K. Irie, J. Schmidhuber. Images as Weight Matrices: Sequential Image Generation Through Synaptic Learning Rules. ICLR 2023.

[FWP23] J.von Oswald, E. Niklasson, E. Randazzo, J. Sacramento, A. Mordvintsev, A. Zhmoginov, M. Vladymyrov. Transformers learn in-context by gradient descent. ICML 2023. *The core FWP principle of "NNs that learn to program the fast weight changes of other NNs" [FWP0] and [FWP6] provide an intuitive conception of what's now called "in-context learning."*

[FWP24] A. Behrouz, P. Zhong, V. Mirrokni. Titans: Learning to Memorize at Test Time. Arxiv preprint 2501.00663, 2024.

[FWP25] J. von Oswald, N. Scherrer, S. Kobayashi, L. Versari, S. Yang, M. Schlegel, K. Maile, Y. Schimpf, O. Sieberling, A. Meulemans, R. A. Saurous, G. Lajoie, C. Frenkel, R. Pascanu, B. Aguera y Arcas, J. Sacramento. MesaNet: Sequence Modeling by Locally Optimal Test-Time Training. Arxiv preprint 2506.05233, 2025.

[FWP25b] Y. Sun, X. Li, K. Dalal, J. Xu, A. Vikram, G. Zhang, Y. Dubois, X. Chen, X. Wang, S. Koyejo, T. Hashimoto, C. Guestrin. Learning to (Learn at Test Time): RNNs with Expressive Hidden States. ICML 2025.

[FWP25c] K. Irie, S. J. Gershman. Fast weight programming and linear Transformers: from machine learning to neurobiology. Arxiv preprint 2508.08435, 2025.

[FWPMETA1] J. Schmidhuber. Steps towards 'self-referential' learning. Technical Report CU-CS-627-92, Dept. of Comp. Sci., University of Colorado at Boulder, November 1992. [PDF](#).

[FWPMETA2] J. Schmidhuber. A self-referential weight matrix. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks, Amsterdam*, pages 446-451. Springer, 1993. [PDF](#).

[FWPMETA3] J. Schmidhuber. [An introspective network that can learn to run its own weight change algorithm](#). In *Proc. of the Intl. Conf. on Artificial Neural Networks, Brighton*, pages 191-195. IEE, 1993.

[FWPMETA4] J. Schmidhuber. A neural network that embeds its own meta-levels. In *Proc. of the International Conference on Neural Networks '93, San Francisco*. IEEE, 1993.

[FWPMETA5] J. Schmidhuber. Habilitation thesis, TUM, 1993. [PDF](#). *A recurrent neural net with a self-referential, self-reading, self-modifying weight matrix [can be found here](#).*



[FWPMETA6] L. Kirsch and J. Schmidhuber. Meta Learning Backpropagation & Improving It. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS), 2021. Preprint [arXiv:2012.14905](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.14905) [cs.LG], 2020.

[FWPMETA7] I. Schlag, T. Munkhdalai, J. Schmidhuber. Learning Associative Inference Using Fast Weight Memory. Report [arXiv:2011.07831](https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.07831) [cs.AI], 2020.

[FWPMETA8] K. Irie, I. Schlag, R. Csordas, J. Schmidhuber. A Modern Self-Referential Weight Matrix That Learns to Modify Itself. International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), 2022. Preprint: [arXiv:2202.05780](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.05780).

[FWPMETA9] L. Kirsch and J. Schmidhuber. Self-Referential Meta Learning. First Conference on Automated Machine Learning (Late-Breaking Workshop), 2022.

[FWPMETA10] K. Irie, R. Csordas, J. Schmidhuber. Metalearning Continual Learning Algorithms. TMLR 2025.

[GAU09] C. F. Gauss. Theoria motus corporum coelestium in sectionibus conicis solem ambientium, 1809.

[GAU21] C. F. Gauss. Theoria combinationis observationum erroribus minimis obnoxiae (Theory of the combination of observations least subject to error), 1821.

[G63] R. J. Glauber (1963). Time-dependent statistics of the Ising model. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 4(2):294-307, 1963.

[GD'] C. Lemarechal. Cauchy and the Gradient Method. Doc Math Extra, pp. 251-254, 2012.

[GD''] J. Hadamard. Memoire sur le probleme d'analyse relatif a Vequilibre des plaques elastiques encastrees. Memoires presentes par divers savants estrangers à l'Academie des Sciences de l'Institut de France, 33, 1908.

[GDa] Y. Z. Tsyppkin (1966). Adaptation, training and self-organization automatic control systems, Avtomatika I Telemekhanika, 27, 23-61. *On gradient descent-based on-line learning for non-linear systems.*

[GDb] Y. Z. Tsyppkin (1971). Adaptation and Learning in Automatic Systems, Academic Press, 1971. *On gradient descent-based on-line learning for non-linear systems.*

[GD1] S. I. Amari (1967). A theory of adaptive pattern classifier, IEEE Trans, EC-16, 279-307 (Japanese version published in 1965). [PDF](#). *Probably the first paper on using stochastic gradient descent<sup>[STO51-52]</sup> for learning in multilayer neural networks (without specifying the specific gradient descent method now known as reverse mode of automatic differentiation or backpropagation<sup>[BP1]</sup>).*

[GD2] S. I. Amari (1968). Information Theory—Geometric Theory of Information, Kyoritsu Publ., 1968 (in Japanese). [OCR-based PDF scan of pages 94-135](#) (see pages 119-120). *Contains computer simulation results for a five layer network (with 2 modifiable layers) which learns internal representations to classify non-linearly separable pattern classes.*

- [GD2a] S. Saito (1967). Master's thesis, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyushu University, Japan. *Implementation of Amari's 1967 stochastic gradient descent method for multilayer perceptrons.*<sup>[GD1]</sup> (S. Amari, personal communication, 2021.)
- [GD3] S. I. Amari (1977). Neural Theory of Association and Concept Formation. *Biological Cybernetics*, vol. 26, p. 175-185, 1977. See *Section 3.1 on using gradient descent for learning in multilayer networks*.
- [GGP] F. Faccio, V. Herrmann, A. Ramesh, L. Kirsch, J. Schmidhuber. Goal-Conditioned Generators of Deep Policies. Preprint [arXiv/2207.01570](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.01570), 4 July 2022 (submitted in May 2022).
- [GLA85] W. Glasser. Control theory. New York: Harper and Row, 1985.
- [GM3] J. Schmidhuber (2003). Gödel Machines: Self-Referential Universal Problem Solvers Making Provably Optimal Self-Improvements. Preprint [arXiv:cs/0309048](https://arxiv.org/abs/cs/0309048) (2003). [More](#).
- [GM6] J. Schmidhuber (2006). Gödel machines: Fully Self-Referential Optimal Universal Self-Improvers. In B. Goertzel and C. Pennachin, eds.: *Artificial General Intelligence*, p. 199-226, 2006. [PDF](#).
- [GM9] J. Schmidhuber (2009). Ultimate Cognition à la Gödel. *Cognitive Computation* 1(2):177-193, 2009. [PDF](#). [More](#).
- [GSR] H. Sak, A. Senior, K. Rao, F. Beaufays, J. Schalkwyk—Google Speech Team. Google voice search: faster and more accurate. [Google Research Blog, Sep 2015](#), see also [Aug 2015](#) Google's speech recognition based on CTC and LSTM.
- [GSR15] Dramatic improvement of Google's speech recognition through LSTM: [Alphr Technology, Jul 2015](#), or [9to5google, Jul 2015](#)
- [GSR19] Y. He, T. N. Sainath, R. Prabhavalkar, I. McGraw, R. Alvarez, D. Zhao, D. Rybach, A. Kannan, Y. Wu, R. Pang, Q. Liang, D. Bhatia, Y. Shangguan, B. Li, G. Pundak, K. Chai Sim, T. Bagby, S. Chang, K. Rao, A. Gruenstein. Streaming end-to-end speech recognition for mobile devices. ICASSP 2019-2019 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP). IEEE, 2019.
- [GT16] Google's dramatically improved Google Translate of 2016 is based on LSTM, e.g., [WIRED, Sep 2016](#), or [siliconANGLE, Sep 2016](#)
- [GAL07] A. I. Galushkin (2007). Neural Networks Theory. Springer, 2007.
- [GAN90] J. Schmidhuber. Making the world differentiable: On using fully recurrent self-supervised neural networks for dynamic reinforcement learning and planning in non-stationary environments. Technical Report FKI-126-90, TUM, Feb 1990, revised Nov 1990. [PDF](#). *The first paper on planning with reinforcement learning recurrent neural networks (NNs) ([more](#)) and on generative adversarial networks where a generator NN is fighting a predictor NN in a minimax game ([more](#)). See [\[AC90\]](#).*

[GAN91] J. Schmidhuber. A possibility for implementing curiosity and boredom in model-building neural controllers. In J. A. Meyer and S. W. Wilson, editors, *Proc. of the International Conference on Simulation of Adaptive Behavior: From Animals to Animats*, pages 222-227. MIT Press/Bradford Books, 1991. [PDF](#). [More](#). Based on [GAN90]. See [AC90b].

[GAN10] J. Schmidhuber. Formal Theory of Creativity, Fun, and Intrinsic Motivation (1990-2010). *IEEE Transactions on Autonomous Mental Development*, 2(3):230-247, 2010. [IEEE link](#). [PDF](#). This well-known 2010 survey summarised the generative adversarial NNs of 1990 as follows: a *"neural network as a predictive world model is used to maximize the controller's intrinsic reward, which is proportional to the model's prediction errors"* (which are minimized). See [AC10].

[GAN10b] O. Niemitalo. A method for training artificial neural networks to generate missing data within a variable context. [Blog post](#), Internet Archive, 2010. *A blog post describing the basic ideas<sup>[GAN90-91][GAN20][AC]</sup> of GANs.*

[GAN14] I. Goodfellow, J. Pouget-Abadie, M. Mirza, B. Xu, D. Warde-Farley, S. Ozair, A. Courville, Y. Bengio. Generative adversarial nets. NIPS 2014, 2672-2680, Dec 2014. *A description of GANs that does not cite Schmidhuber's original GAN principle of 1990<sup>[GAN90-91][GAN20][AC][R2][DLP]</sup> and contains wrong claims about Schmidhuber's adversarial NNs for Predictability Minimization.<sup>[PM0-2][GAN20][DLP]</sup>*

[GAN19] T. Karras, S. Laine, T. Aila. A style-based generator architecture for generative adversarial networks. In Proc. IEEE Conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pages 4401-4410, 2019.

[GAN19b] D. Fallis. The epistemic threat of deepfakes. *Philosophy & Technology* 34.4 (2021):623-643.

[GAN20] J. Schmidhuber. Generative Adversarial Networks are Special Cases of Artificial Curiosity (1990) and also Closely Related to Predictability Minimization (1991). *Neural Networks*, Volume 127, p 58-66, 2020. Preprint [arXiv/1906.04493](#). See [AC20].

[GAN25] J. Schmidhuber. [Who Invented Generative Adversarial Networks?](#) Technical Note IDSIA-14-25, IDSIA, December 2025.

[GOD] K. Gödel. Über formal unentscheidbare Sätze der Principia Mathematica und verwandter Systeme I. *Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik*, 38:173-198, 1931. *In the early 1930s, Gödel founded theoretical computer science. He identified fundamental limits of mathematics, theorem proving, computing, and Artificial Intelligence.*

[GOD34] K. Gödel (1934). On undecidable propositions of formal mathematical systems. Notes by S. C. Kleene and J. B. Rosser on lectures at the Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey, 1934, 30 pp. (Reprinted in M. Davis, (ed.), *The Undecidable. Basic Papers on Undecidable Propositions, Unsolvability Problems, and Computable Functions*, Raven Press, Hewlett, New York, 1965.) *Gödel introduced a universal coding language.*

[GOD56] R. J. Lipton and K. W. Regan. Gödel's lost letter and P=NP. [Link](#). *Gödel identified what's now the most famous open problem of computer science.*



[GOD86] K. Gödel. Collected works Volume I: Publications 1929-36, S. Feferman et. al., editors, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1986.

[GOD10] V. C. Nadkarni. Gödel, Einstein and proof for God. The Economic Times, 2010.

[GOD21] J. Schmidhuber (2021). 90th anniversary celebrations: [1931: Kurt Gödel, founder of theoretical computer science, shows limits of math, logic, computing, and artificial intelligence. This was number 1 on Hacker News.](#)

[GOD21a] J. Schmidhuber (2021). Als Kurt Gödel die Grenzen des Berechenbaren entdeckte. (When Kurt Gödel discovered the limits of computability.) [Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung, 16/6/2021.](#)

[GOD21b] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2021). 80. Jahrestag: [1931: Kurt Gödel, Vater der theoretischen Informatik, entdeckt die Grenzen des Berechenbaren und der künstlichen Intelligenz.](#)

[GOL] C. Goller & A. Küchler (1996). Learning task-dependent distributed representations by backpropagation through structure. Proceedings of International Conference on Neural Networks (ICNN'96). Vol. 1, p. 347-352 IEEE, 1996. Based on TR AR-95-02, TU Munich, 1995.

[GPT3] T. B. Brown, B. Mann, N. Ryder, M. Subbiah, J. Kaplan, P. Dhariwal, A. Neelakantan, P. Shyam, G. Sastry, A. Askell, S. Agarwal, A. Herbert-Voss, G. Krueger, T. Henighan, R. Child, A. Ramesh, D. M. Ziegler, J. Wu, C. Winter, C. Hesse, M. Chen, E. Sigler, M. Litwin, S. Gray, B. Chess, J. Clark, C. Berner, S. McCandlish, A. Radford, I. Sutskever, D. Amodei. Language Models are Few-Shot Learners (2020). Preprint [arXiv/2005.14165](#).

[GPUNN] Oh, K.-S. and Jung, K. (2004). GPU implementation of neural networks. Pattern Recognition, 37(6):1311-1314. *Speeding up traditional NNs on GPU by a factor of 20.*

[GPUCNN] K. Chellapilla, S. Puri, P. Simard. High performance convolutional neural networks for document processing. International Workshop on Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition, 2006. *Speeding up shallow CNNs on GPU by a factor of 4.*

[GPUCNN1] D. C. Ciresan, U. Meier, J. Masci, L. M. Gambardella, J. Schmidhuber. Flexible, High Performance Convolutional Neural Networks for Image Classification. *International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI-2011, Barcelona)*, 2011. [PDF](#). [ArXiv preprint](#). *Speeding up deep CNNs on GPU by a factor of 60. Used to [win four important computer vision competitions 2011-2012](#) before others won any with similar approaches.*

[GPUCNN2] D. C. Ciresan, U. Meier, J. Masci, J. Schmidhuber. A Committee of Neural Networks for Traffic Sign Classification. *International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN-2011, San Francisco)*, 2011. [PDF](#). [HTML overview](#). *First superhuman performance in a computer vision contest, with half the error rate of humans, and one third the error rate of the closest competitor.*<sup>[DAN1]</sup> *This led to massive interest from industry.*

[GPUCNN3] D. C. Ciresan, U. Meier, J. Schmidhuber. Multi-column Deep Neural Networks for Image Classification. Proc. *IEEE Conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition CVPR*

2012, p 3642-3649, July 2012. [PDF](#). Longer TR of Feb 2012: [arXiv:1202.2745v1 \[cs.CV\]](#). [More](#).

[GPUCNN3a] D. Ciresan, A. Giusti, L. Gambardella, J. Schmidhuber. Deep Neural Networks Segment Neuronal Membranes in Electron Microscopy Images. NIPS 2012, Lake Tahoe, 2012.

[GPUCNN4] A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, G. E. Hinton. ImageNet Classification with Deep Convolutional Neural Networks. NIPS 25, MIT Press, Dec 2012. [PDF](#). *This paper describes AlexNet, which is similar to the earlier [DanNet](#),<sup>[DAN,DAN1][R6]</sup> the first pure deep CNN to win computer vision contests in 2011<sup>[GPUCNN2-3,5]</sup> (AlexNet and VGG Net<sup>[GPUCNN9]</sup> followed in 2012-2014). [GPUCNN4] emphasizes benefits of Fukushima's ReLUs (1969)<sup>[CN69]</sup> and dropout (a variant of Hanson 1990 stochastic delta rule)<sup>[Drop1-4]</sup> but neither cites the original work<sup>[CN69][Drop1]</sup> nor the basic CNN architecture (Fukushima, 1979).<sup>[CN79]</sup>*

[GPUCNN5] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2017; updated 2021 for 10th birthday of [DanNet](#)): [History of computer vision contests won by deep CNNs since 2011](#). DanNet was the first CNN to win one, and won 4 of them in a row before the similar AlexNet/VGG Net and the Resnet (a [Highway Net](#) with open gates) joined the party. Today, deep CNNs are standard in computer vision.

[GPUCNN6] J. Schmidhuber, D. Ciresan, U. Meier, J. Masci, A. Graves. On Fast Deep Nets for AGI Vision. In Proc. Fourth Conference on Artificial General Intelligence (AGI-11), Google, Mountain View, California, 2011. [PDF](#).

[GPUCNN7] D. C. Ciresan, A. Giusti, L. M. Gambardella, J. Schmidhuber. Mitosis Detection in Breast Cancer Histology Images using Deep Neural Networks. MICCAI 2013. [PDF](#).

[GPUCNN8] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2017; updated 2021 for 10th birthday of [DanNet](#)). First deep learner to win a contest on object detection in large images— first deep learner to win a medical imaging contest (2012). [Link](#). *How the Swiss AI Lab IDSIA used GPU-based CNNs to win the ICPR 2012 Contest on Mitosis Detection and the MICCAI 2013 Grand Challenge*.

[GPUCNN9] K. Simonyan, A. Zisserman. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. Preprint arXiv:1409.1556 (2014).

[GRO69] S. Grossberg. Some networks that can learn, remember, and reproduce any number of complicated space-time patterns, Indiana University Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics, 19:53-91, 1969.

[H86] J. L. van Hemmen (1986). Spin-glass models of a neural network. Phys. Rev. A 34, 3435, 1 Oct 1986.

[H88] H. Sompolinsky (1988). Statistical Mechanics of Neural Networks. Physics Today 41, 12, 70, 1988.

[H90] W. D. Hillis. Co-evolving parasites improve simulated evolution as an optimization procedure. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, 42(1-3):228-234, 1990.

[HAB1] V. Smil. Detonator of the population explosion. Nature, July 29 1999, p 415.

[HAB2] J. Schmidhuber ([Blog](#), 2000). [Most influential persons of the 20th century](#) (according to Nature, 1999). *The Haber-Bosch process has often been called the most important invention of the 20th century<sup>[HAB1]</sup> as it "detonated the population explosion," driving the world's population from 1.6 billion in 1900 to about 8 billion today. Without it, half of humanity would perish. Billions of people would never have existed without it; soon it will sustain 2 out of 3 persons.*

[HA114] T. Haigh (2014). Historical reflections. Actually, Turing did not invent the computer. Communications of the ACM, Vol. 57(1): 36-41, Jan 2014. [PDF](#).

[HA114b] T. Haigh, M. Priestley, C. Rope (2014). Reconsidering the Stored-Program Concept. IEEE Annals of the History of Computing. IEEE, 2014. [PDF](#).

[HB96] S. El Hihi, Y. Bengio. Hierarchical recurrent neural networks for long-term dependencies. NIPS, 1996. *Bengio claimed<sup>[YB20]</sup> that in 1995 he "introduced the use of a hierarchy of time scales to combat the vanishing gradients issue" although Schmidhuber's publications on exactly this topic date back to 1991-93.<sup>[UN0-2][UN]</sup>*

[HEB48] J. Konorski (1948). Conditioned reflexes and neuron organization. Translation from the Polish manuscript under the author's supervision. Cambridge University Press, 1948. *Konorski published the so-called "Hebb rule" before Hebb [\[HEB49\]](#).*

[HEB49] D. O. Hebb. The Organization of Behavior. Wiley, New York, 1949. *Konorski [\[HEB48\]](#) published the so-called "Hebb rule" before Hebb.*

[HEL] P. Dayan, G. E. Hinton, R. M. Neal, and R. S. Zemel. The Helmholtz machine. Neural Computation, 7:889-904, 1995. *An unsupervised learning algorithm related to Schmidhuber's supervised Neural Heat Exchanger.<sup>[NHE]</sup>*

[HIN] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2020). [Critique of Honda Prize for Dr. Hinton](#). *Science must not allow corporate PR to distort the academic record. See also [\[T22\]](#).*

[HIN22] G. Hinton. The Forward-Forward Algorithm: Some Preliminary Investigations. Preprint, Google Brain, 2022. *Proposal of a biologically more plausible deep learning algorithm that—unlike backpropagation—is local in space and time. Does not mention previous related work.<sup>[DEEP1][BB2][NAN1-4][CC90][NHE][MIR](Sec. 15, Sec. 17)[FWPMETA6]</sup>*

[HO1] S. Hochreiter, A. S. Younger, P. R. Conwell (2001). Learning to Learn Using Gradient Descent. ICANN 2001. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2130, pp. 87-94.

[HO66] E. Hochstetter et al. (1966): Herrn von Leibniz' Rechnung mit Null und Eins. Berlin: Siemens AG.

[HO07] S. Hochreiter, M. Heusel, K. Obermayer. Fast model-based protein homology detection without alignment. Bioinformatics 23(14):1728-36, 2007. *Successful application of deep learning to protein folding problems, through an LSTM that was orders of magnitude faster than competing methods.*



[HOU41] A. S. Householder. A theory of steady-state activity in nerve-fiber networks: I. Definitions and preliminary lemmas. *The Bulletin of Mathematical Biophysics*. 3 (2): 63–69, 1941.

[HRL0] J. Schmidhuber. Towards compositional learning with dynamic neural networks. Technical Report FKI-129-90, Institut für Informatik, Technische Universität München, 1990. [PDF](#). *An RL machine gets extra command inputs of the form (start, goal). An evaluator NN learns to predict the current rewards/costs of going from start to goal. An (R)NN-based subgoal generator also sees (start, goal), and uses (copies of) the evaluator NN to learn by gradient descent a sequence of cost-minimising intermediate subgoals. The RL machine tries to use such subgoal sequences to achieve final goals. The system is learning action plans at multiple levels of abstraction and multiple time scales and solves what Y. LeCun called an "open problem" in 2022.* <sup>[LEC]</sup>

[HRL1] J. Schmidhuber. Learning to generate sub-goals for action sequences. In T. Kohonen, K. Mäkisara, O. Simula, and J. Kangas, editors, *Artificial Neural Networks*, pages 967-972. Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., North-Holland, 1991. [PDF](#). Extending TR FKI-129-90, TUM, 1990.

[HRL2] J. Schmidhuber and R. Wahnsiedler. [Planning simple trajectories using neural subgoal generators](#). In J. A. Meyer, H. L. Roitblat, and S. W. Wilson, editors, *Proc. of the 2nd International Conference on Simulation of Adaptive Behavior*, pages 196-202. MIT Press, 1992. [PDF](#).

[HRL3] P. Dayan and G. E. Hinton. Feudal Reinforcement Learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 5, NIPS*, 1992. *This work did not cite Schmidhuber's gradient-based subgoal generators for hierarchical reinforcement learning (1990).* <sup>[HRL0-2]</sup>

[HRL4] M. Wiering and J. Schmidhuber. HQ-Learning. *Adaptive Behavior* 6(2):219-246, 1997. [PDF](#).

[HRLW] C. Watkins (1989). Learning from delayed rewards.

[HUW59] Wiesel, D. H. and Hubel, T. N. (1959). Receptive fields of single neurones in the cat's striate cortex. *J. Physiol.*, 148:574-591.

[HUW62] Hubel, D. H. and Wiesel, T. (1962). Receptive fields, binocular interaction, and functional architecture in the cat's visual cortex. *Journal of Physiology (London)*, 160:106-154.

[HUW68] Hubel, D. H. and Wiesel, T. N. (1968). Receptive fields and functional architecture of monkey striate cortex. *The Journal of Physiology*, 195(1):215-243.

[HW] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2015, updated 2025 for 10-year anniversary). Overview of [Highway Networks: First working really deep feedforward neural networks with hundreds of layers](#).

[HW1] R. K. Srivastava, K. Greff, J. Schmidhuber. Highway networks. Preprints [arXiv:1505.00387](#) (May 2015) and [arXiv:1507.06228](#) (Training Very Deep Networks; July 2015). Also at NeurIPS 2015. *The first working very deep gradient-based feedforward neural*

nets (FNNs) with hundreds of layers, ten times deeper than previous gradient-based FNNs. Let  $g$ ,  $t$ ,  $h$ , denote non-linear differentiable functions. Each non-input layer of a [Highway Net](#) computes  $g(x)x + t(x)h(x)$ , where  $x$  is the data from the previous layer. The gates  $g(x)$  are typically initialised to 1.0, to obtain plain [residual connections](#) (weight 1.0) [\[VAN1\]\[HW25\]](#). This allows for very deep error propagation, which makes Highway NNs so deep. The later Resnet (Dec 2015) [\[HW2\]](#) adopted this principle. It is like a Highway net variant whose gates are always open:  $g(x)=t(x)=\text{const}=1$ . That is, Highway Nets are gated ResNets: set the gates to 1.0  $\rightarrow$  ResNet. Highway Nets perform roughly as well as ResNets on ImageNet [\[HW3\]](#). Variants of Highway gates are also used for certain algorithmic tasks, where plain residual layers do not work as well [\[NDR\]](#). See also [\[HW25\]](#): [who invented deep residual learning? More](#).

[HW1a] R. K. Srivastava, K. Greff, J. Schmidhuber. Highway networks. Presentation at the Deep Learning Workshop, ICML'15, July 10-11, 2015. [Link](#).

[HW2] He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., Sun, J. Deep residual learning for image recognition. Preprint [arXiv:1512.03385](#) (Dec 2015). Microsoft's ResNet paper refers to the [Highway Net](#) (May 2015) [\[HW1\]](#) as 'concurrent'. However, this is incorrect: ResNet was published seven months later. Although the ResNet paper acknowledges the [problem of vanishing/exploding gradients](#), it fails to recognise that S. Hochreiter first identified the issue in 1991 and developed the [residual connection solution](#) (weight 1.0) [\[VAN1\]\[HW25\]](#). The ResNet paper cites the earlier Highway Net in a way that does not make it clear that ResNets are essentially open-gated Highway Nets and that Highway Nets are gated ResNets. It also fails to mention that the gates of residual connections in Highway Nets are initially open (1.0), meaning that Highway Nets start out with standard residual connections, to achieve deep residual learning (Highway Nets were ten times deeper than previous gradient-based feedforward nets). A follow-up paper by the ResNet authors was [flawed in its design](#), leading to incorrect conclusions about gated residual connections [\[HW25b\]](#). See also [\[HW25\]](#): [who invented deep residual learning? More](#).

[HW3] K. Greff, R. K. Srivastava, J. Schmidhuber. Highway and Residual Networks learn Unrolled Iterative Estimation. Preprint [arxiv:1612.07771](#) (2016). Also at ICLR 2017.

[HW25] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2025). [Who Invented Deep Residual Learning?](#) Technical Report IDSIA-09-25, IDSIA, 2025. Preprint [arXiv:2509.24732](#).

[HW25b] R. K. Srivastava (January 2025). [Weighted Skip Connections are Not Harmful for Deep Nets](#). Shows that a follow-up paper by the authors of [\[HW2\]](#) suffered from design flaws leading to incorrect conclusions about gated residual connections.

[HYB12] Hinton, G. E., Deng, L., Yu, D., Dahl, G. E., Mohamed, A., Jaitly, N., Senior, A., Vanhoucke, V., Nguyen, P., Sainath, T. N., and Kingsbury, B. (2012). Deep neural networks for acoustic modeling in speech recognition: The shared views of four research groups. IEEE Signal Process. Mag., 29(6):82-97. This work did not cite the earlier LSTM<sup>[\[LSTM0-6\]](#)</sup> trained by Connectionist Temporal Classification (CTC, 2006).<sup>[\[CTC\]](#)</sup> CTC-LSTM was successfully applied to speech in 2007<sup>[\[LSTM4\]](#)</sup> (also with hierarchical LSTM stacks<sup>[\[LSTM14\]](#)</sup>) and became the first superior end-to-end neural speech recogniser that outperformed the state of the art, dramatically improving Google's speech recognition.<sup>[\[GSR\]\[GSR15\]\[DL4\]](#)</sup> This was very different from previous hybrid methods since the late 1980s which combined NNs and traditional approaches such as

hidden Markov models (HMMs).<sup>[BW][BRI][BOU]</sup> [HYB12] still used the old hybrid approach and did not compare it to CTC-LSTM. Later, however, Hinton switched to LSTM, too.<sup>[LSTM8]</sup>

[I24] E. Ising (1925). Beitrag zur Theorie des Ferro- und Paramagnetismus. Dissertation, 1924.

[I25] E. Ising (1925). Beitrag zur Theorie des Ferromagnetismus. Z. Phys., 31 (1): 253-258, 1925. Based on [I24]. *The first non-learning recurrent NN architecture (the Ising model or Lenz-Ising model) was introduced and analyzed by physicists Ernst Ising and Wilhelm Lenz in the 1920s.*<sup>[L20][I25][K41][W45][T22][NOB]</sup> *It settles into an equilibrium state in response to input conditions, and is the foundation of the first well-known learning RNNs.*<sup>[AMH1-2]</sup>

[IC49] DE 833366 W. Jacobi / SIEMENS AG: Halbleiterverstärker patent filed 14 April 1949, granted 15 May 1952. *First integrated circuit with several transistors on a common substrate.*

[IC14] @CHM Blog, Computer History Museum (2014). [Who Invented the IC?](#)

[IM09] J. Deng, R. Socher, L.J. Li, K. Li, L. Fei-Fei (2009). Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In 2009 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 248-255). IEEE, 2009.

[JOU17] Jouppi et al. (2017). In-Datacenter Performance Analysis of a Tensor Processing Unit. Preprint [arXiv:1704.04760](#)

[JY24] [The Father of Generative AI Without Turing Award](#). Jazzyyear.com interviews J. Schmidhuber (August 2024). Quote: *"What's next? It's true AGI in the physical world, not just today's AI behind the screen. The physical challenges of the real world are far more complex than those of the virtual one. AI still has a long way to go before it can replace skilled trades like plumbers or electricians. However, there is reason to believe AI in the physical world will soon make significant strides. A next major step will be self-replicating and self-improving societies of physical robots and other machines. We already have 3D printers that can print copies of parts of themselves. But no 3D printer can make a complete copy of itself, like a living being. To assemble a complete 3D printer, you need many other machines, for example, to take the raw material out of the ground, to refine it, to make the machines that make the machines that help make many unprintable parts of the 3D printer, to screw those parts together, and so on. Most importantly, you still need lots of people to oversee and manage all this, and to fix broken machines. Eventually, however, there will be entire societies of clever and not-so-clever physical machines that can collectively build from scratch all the things needed to make copies of themselves, mine the raw materials they need, repair broken robots and robot factories, and so on. Basically, a machine civilisation that can make copies of itself and then, of course, improve itself. Basically, I am talking about a new form of life, about self-replicating, self-maintaining, self-improving hardware, as opposed to the already existing, self-improving, machine-learning software. There will be enormous commercial pressure to create such life-like hardware, because it represents the ultimate form of scaling, and its owners will become very rich, because economic growth is all about scaling. Of course, such life-like hardware won't be confined to our little biosphere. No, variants of it will soon exist on other planets, or between planets, e.g. in the asteroid belt. As I have said many times in recent decades, space is hostile to humans but friendly to suitably designed robots, and it offers many more resources than our thin layer of biosphere, which receives less than a billionth of the*



*energy of the Sun. Through life-like, self-replicating, self-maintaining hardware, the economy of our solar system will become billions of times larger than the current tiny economy of our biosphere. And of course, the coming expansion of the AI sphere won't be limited to our tiny solar system." For decades, Schmidhuber has been obsessed with self-replicating robot factories.* [\[FA15\]](#)[\[SP16\]](#)[\[SA17\]](#)

[K41] H. A. Kramers and G. H. Wannier (1941). Statistics of the Two-Dimensional Ferromagnet. Phys. Rev. 60, 252 and 263, 1941.

[K56] S.C. Kleene. Representation of Events in Nerve Nets and Finite Automata. Automata Studies, Editors: C.E. Shannon and J. McCarthy, Princeton University Press, p. 3-42, Princeton, N.J., 1956.

[KAE96] L. P. Kaelbling, M. L. Littman, A. W. Moore. Reinforcement learning: a survey. Journal of AI research, 4:237-285, 1996.

[KAL59] R. Kalman. On the general theory of control systems. IRE Transactions on Automatic Control 4.3 (1959): 110-110.

[KAL59a] R. Kalman, J. Bertram. General approach to control theory based on the methods of Lyapunov. IRE Transactions on Automatic Control 4.3 (1959):20-20.

[KOE1] [21] T. Koetsier (2001). On the prehistory of programmable machines: musical automata, looms, calculators. Mechanism and Machine Theory, Elsevier, 36 (5): 589-603, 2001.

[KOH72] T. Kohonen. Correlation Matrix Memories. IEEE Transactions on Computers, C-21, p. 353-359, 1972.

[KOH82] T. Kohonen. Self-organized formation of topologically correct feature maps. Biological Cybernetics, 43(1):59-69, 1982.

[KOH88] T. Kohonen. Self-Organization and Associative Memory. Springer, second edition, 1988.

[KOH89] H. Ritter, T. Kohonen. Self-organizing semantic maps. Biological Cybernetics, 61(4):241-254, 1989.

[KNU] D. E. Knuth, L. T. Pardo (1976). The Early Development of Programming Languages. Stanford University, Computer Science Department. [PDF](#).

[KO2] J. Schmidhuber. Discovering neural nets with low Kolmogorov complexity and high generalization capability. Neural Networks, 10(5):857-873, 1997. [PDF](#).

[KU] A. Küchler & C. Goller (1996). Inductive learning in symbolic domains using structure-driven recurrent neural networks. Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence, vol 1137. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

[KUL] S. Kullback, R. A. Leibler. On information and sufficiency. The Annals of Mathematical Statistics, pages 79-86, 1951.

[L03] G. Leibniz (1703). In: Explication de l'Arithmetique Binaire / Die Mathematischen Schriften, ed. C. Gerhardt, Berlin 1879, vol.7, p.223. [English link](#). *Leibniz documented the binary arithmetics which allow for greatly simplifying computing hardware and are employed by virtually all modern computers. Binary number encodings per se, however, seem to date back over 4000 years.*

[L79] G. Leibniz. De Progressione dyadica Pars I. 15 March 1679. *Principles of binary computers governed by punch cards.*

[L84] G. Leibniz (1684). Nova Methodus pro Maximis et Minimis. *First publication of "modern" infinitesimal calculus.*

[L86] G. Leibniz (1686). Generales Inquisitiones de analysi notionum et veritatum. Also in Leibniz: Die philosophischen Schriften VII, 1890, pp. 236-247; translated as "A Study in the Calculus of Real Addition" (1690) by G. H. R. Parkinson, Leibniz: Logical Papers—A Selection, Oxford 1966, pp. 131-144.

[L20] W. Lenz (1920). Beitrag zum Verständnis der magnetischen Erscheinungen in festen Körpern. Physikalische Zeitschrift, 21:613-615. See also [\[125\]](#).

[LA14] D. R. Lande (2014). Development of the Binary Number System and the Foundations of Computer Science. The Mathematics Enthusiast, vol. 11(3):6 12, 2014. [Link](#).

[LAN] J. L. Ba, J. R. Kiros, G. E. Hinton. Layer Normalization. [arXiv:1607.06450](#), 2016.

[LE18] W. Lenzen. Leibniz and the Calculus Ratiocinator. Technology and Mathematics, pp 47-78, Springer, 2018.

[LEC] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2022). [LeCun's 2022 paper on autonomous machine intelligence rehashes but does not cite essential work of 1990-2015](#). *Years ago, Schmidhuber's team published most of what Y. LeCun calls his "main original contributions:" neural nets that learn multiple time scales and levels of abstraction, generate subgoals, use intrinsic motivation to improve world models, and plan (1990); controllers that learn informative predictable representations (1997), etc. This was also discussed on Hacker News, reddit, and in the media. See [tweet1](#). LeCun also listed the "5 best ideas 2012-2022" without mentioning that most of them are from Schmidhuber's lab, and older. See [tweet2](#).*

[LEI07] J. M. Child (translator), G. W. Leibniz (Author). The Early Mathematical Manuscripts of Leibniz. Merchant Books, 2007. See p. 126: *the chain rule appeared in a 1676 memoir by Leibniz.*

[LEI10] O. H. Rodriguez, J. M. Lopez Fernandez (2010). A semiotic reflection on the didactics of the Chain rule. The Mathematics Enthusiast: Vol. 7 : No. 2 , Article 10. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54870/1551-3440.1191>.

[LEI21] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2021). [375th birthday of Leibniz, founder of computer science](#).

[LEI21a] J. Schmidhuber (2021). Der erste Informatiker. Wie Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz den Computer erdachte. (The first computer scientist. How Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz conceived the computer.) [Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung \(FAZ\)](#), 17/5/2021. FAZ online: [19/5/2021](#).

[LEI21b] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2021). [375. Geburtstag des Herrn Leibniz, dem Vater der Informatik](#).

[LEN83] D. B. Lenat. Theory formation by heuristic search. Machine Learning, 21, 1983.

[LIL1] US Patent 1745175 by Austrian-Hungarian (since 1919 Polish) physicist Julius Edgar Lilienfeld for work carried out while he was a professor at Leipzig University (Germany): "Method and apparatus for controlling electric current." First filed in Canada on 22 October 1925 (granted 1930). *The patent describes a field-effect transistor. Today, almost all transistors are field-effect transistors.*

[LIL2] US Patent 1900018 by Julius Edgar Lilienfeld: "Device for controlling electric current." Filed on 28 March 1928. *The patent describes a thin film field-effect transistor of the MOSFET type.* <sup>[CHI88]</sup> (See also David Topham's statement that this patent "clearly describes the field effect transistor, constructing it using thin film deposition techniques and using dimensions that became normal when the metal oxide FET was indeed manufactured in quantity well over 30 years later." <sup>[EMM13]</sup>)

[LIL3] US Patent 1877140 by Julius Edgar Lilienfeld: "Amplifier for electric current." Filed on 8 Dec 1928.

[LIL4] J. Schmidhuber. [2025: centennial of the transistor, patented by Julius Edgar Lilienfeld in 1925-1928](#). Technical Note IDSIA-10-25, IDSIA, 22 Oct 2025.

[LIT21] M. L. Littman (2021). Collusion Rings Threaten the Integrity of Computer Science Research. Communications of the ACM, Vol. 64 No. 6, p. 43-44, June 2021.

[LSTM0] S. Hochreiter and J. Schmidhuber. [Long Short-Term Memory](#). TR FKI-207-95, TUM, August 1995. [PDF](#).

[LSTM1a] S. Hochreiter and J. Schmidhuber. LSTM can solve hard long time lag problems. Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS'96). Cambridge, MA, USA, MIT Press, p. 473–479.

[LSTM1] S. Hochreiter, J. Schmidhuber. Long Short-Term Memory. Neural Computation, 9(8):1735-1780, 1997. [PDF](#). Based on [LSTM0]. [More](#).

[LSTM2] F. A. Gers, J. Schmidhuber, F. Cummins. Learning to Forget: Continual Prediction with LSTM. Neural Computation, 12(10):2451-2471, 2000. [PDF](#). *The "vanilla LSTM architecture" with forget gates that everybody is using today, e.g., in Google's Tensorflow.*



[LSTM3] A. Graves, J. Schmidhuber. Framewise phoneme classification with bidirectional LSTM and other neural network architectures. *Neural Networks*, 18:5-6, pp. 602-610, 2005. [PDF](#).

[LSTM4] S. Fernandez, A. Graves, J. Schmidhuber. An application of recurrent neural networks to discriminative keyword spotting. *Intl. Conf. on Artificial Neural Networks ICANN'07*, 2007. [PDF](#).

[LSTM5] A. Graves, M. Liwicki, S. Fernandez, R. Bertolami, H. Bunke, J. Schmidhuber. A Novel Connectionist System for Improved Unconstrained Handwriting Recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 31, no. 5, 2009. [PDF](#).

[LSTM6] A. Graves, J. Schmidhuber. Offline Handwriting Recognition with Multidimensional Recurrent Neural Networks. *NIPS'22*, p 545-552, Vancouver, MIT Press, 2009. [PDF](#).

[LSTM7] J. Bayer, D. Wierstra, J. Togelius, J. Schmidhuber. Evolving memory cell structures for sequence learning. *Proc. ICANN-09*, Cyprus, 2009. [PDF](#).

[LSTM8] A. Graves, A. Mohamed, G. E. Hinton. Speech Recognition with Deep Recurrent Neural Networks. *ICASSP 2013*, Vancouver, 2013. [PDF](#). *Based on [LSTM1-2,4,14][CTC]*.

[LSTM9] O. Vinyals, L. Kaiser, T. Koo, S. Petrov, I. Sutskever, G. Hinton. Grammar as a Foreign Language. Preprint arXiv:1412.7449 [cs.CL].

[LSTM10] A. Graves, D. Eck and N. Beringer, J. Schmidhuber. Biologically Plausible Speech Recognition with LSTM Neural Nets. In J. Ijspeert (Ed.), *First Intl. Workshop on Biologically Inspired Approaches to Advanced Information Technology, Bio-ADIT 2004*, Lausanne, Switzerland, p. 175-184, 2004. [PDF](#).

[LSTM11] N. Beringer and A. Graves and F. Schiel and J. Schmidhuber. Classifying unprompted speech by retraining LSTM Nets. In W. Duch et al. (Eds.): *Proc. Intl. Conf. on Artificial Neural Networks ICANN'05*, LNCS 3696, pp. 575-581, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2005.

[LSTM12] D. Wierstra, F. Gomez, J. Schmidhuber. Modeling systems with internal state using Evolino. In *Proc. of the 2005 conference on genetic and evolutionary computation (GECCO)*, Washington, D. C., pp. 1795-1802, ACM Press, New York, NY, USA, 2005. Got a GECCO best paper award.

[LSTM13] F. A. Gers and J. Schmidhuber. LSTM Recurrent Networks Learn Simple Context Free and Context Sensitive Languages. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks* 12(6):1333-1340, 2001. [PDF](#).

[LSTM14] S. Fernandez, A. Graves, J. Schmidhuber. Sequence labelling in structured domains with hierarchical recurrent neural networks. In *Proc. IJCAI 07*, p. 774-779, Hyderabad, India, 2007 (talk). [PDF](#).

[LSTM15] A. Graves, J. Schmidhuber. Offline Handwriting Recognition with Multidimensional Recurrent Neural Networks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 22, NIPS'22*,

p 545-552, Vancouver, MIT Press, 2009. [PDF](#).

[LSTM16] M. Stollenga, W. Byeon, M. Liwicki, J. Schmidhuber. Parallel Multi-Dimensional LSTM, With Application to Fast Biomedical Volumetric Image Segmentation. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS), 2015. Preprint: [arxiv:1506.07452](#).

[LSTM17] J. A. Perez-Ortiz, F. A. Gers, D. Eck, J. Schmidhuber. Kalman filters improve LSTM network performance in problems unsolvable by traditional recurrent nets. Neural Networks 16(2):241-250, 2003. [PDF](#).

[LSTMPG] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), Dec 2020). [10-year anniversary of our journal paper on deep reinforcement learning with policy gradients for LSTM \(2007-2010\)](#). *Recent famous applications: DeepMind's Starcraft player (2019) and OpenAI's dextrous robot hand & Dota player (2018)—Bill Gates called this a huge milestone in advancing AI.*

[LSTM-RL] B. Bakker, F. Linaker, J. Schmidhuber. Reinforcement Learning in Partially Observable Mobile Robot Domains Using Unsupervised Event Extraction. In Proceedings of the 2002 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS 2002), Lausanne, 2002. [PDF](#).

[LSTMGRU] J. Chung, C. Gulcehre, K. Cho, Y. Bengio (2014). Empirical Evaluation of Gated Recurrent Neural Networks on Sequence Modeling. Preprint arXiv:1412.3555 [cs.NE]. *The so-called gated recurrent units (GRU) are actually a variant of the vanilla LSTM architecture<sup>[LSTM2]</sup> (2000) which the authors did not cite although this work<sup>[LSTM2]</sup> was the one that introduced gated recurrent units. Furthermore, Schmidhuber's team automatically evolved lots of additional LSTM variants and topologies already in 2009<sup>[LSTM7]</sup> without changing the name of the basic method. (Margin note: GRU cells lack an important gate and can neither learn to count<sup>[LSTMGRU2]</sup> nor learn simple non-regular languages;<sup>[LSTMGRU2]</sup> they also do not work as well for challenging translation tasks, according to Google Brain.<sup>[LSTMGRU3]</sup>)*

[LSTMGRU2] G. Weiss, Y. Goldberg, E. Yahav. On the Practical Computational Power of Finite Precision RNNs for Language Recognition. Preprint [arXiv:1805.04908](#).

[LSTMGRU3] D. Britz et al. (2017). Massive Exploration of Neural Machine Translation Architectures. Preprint [arXiv:1703.03906](#)

[LT20] A. Katharopoulos, A. Vyas, N. Pappas, F. Fleuret. Transformers are RNNs: Fast autoregressive Transformers with linear attention. In Proc. Int. Conf. on Machine Learning (ICML), July 2020.

[LT21] I. Bello. LambdaNetworks: Modeling Long-Range Interactions Without Attention. Preprint arXiv:2102.08602. *A linear transformer variant.*

[LT23] K. Irie, R. Csordas, J. Schmidhuber. Practical Computational Power of Linear Transformers and Their Recurrent and Self-Referential Extensions. EMNLP 2023.

[LT24] S. Yang, B. Wang, Y. Zhang, Y. Shen, Y. Kim. Parallelizing Linear Transformers with the Delta Rule over Sequence Length. NeurIPS 2024.

[LT25] S. Yang, J. Kautz, A. Hatamizadeh. Gated Delta Networks: Improving Mamba2 with Delta Rule. ICLR 2025. *"Mamba2" is the 1991 ULTRA with a scalar time-decay factor on the fast weight matrix.*

[LT25b] R. Grazzi, J. Siems, A. Zela, J. K.H. Franke, F. Hutter, M. Pontil. Unlocking State-Tracking in Linear RNNs Through Negative Eigenvalues. ICLR 2025. *Shows that the delta-rule extension [FWP6][LT23] is more expressive than the quadratic transformer and other naive linear transformers (e.g., it can do parity and modular arithmetics).*

[LT25c] J. Siems, T. Carstensen, A. Zela, F. Hutter, M. Pontil, R. Grazzi. DeltaProduct: Improving State-Tracking in Linear RNNs via Householder Products. ICLR 2025 Workshop FM-Wild. *Extending the DeltaNet [FWP6][LT23] through additional "micro-steps."*

[M69] M. Minsky, S. Papert. Perceptrons (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1969). *A misleading "history of deep learning" goes more or less like this: "In 1969, Minsky & Papert<sup>[M69]</sup> showed that shallow NNs without hidden layers are very limited and the field was abandoned until a new generation of neural network researchers took a fresh look at the problem in the 1980s."*  
<sup>[S20]</sup> *However, the 1969 book<sup>[M69]</sup> addressed a "problem" of Gauss & Legendre's shallow learning with 2-layer NNs (~1800)<sup>[DLH][DL1-2]</sup> that had already been solved 4 years prior by Ivakhnenko & Lapa's popular deep learning method,<sup>[DEEP1-2][DL2][DLH]</sup> and then also by Amari's SGD for MLPs.<sup>[GD1-2]</sup> Minsky was apparently unaware of this and failed to correct it later.<sup>[HIN](Sec. I)</sup>  
<sup>[T22](Sec. XIII)[DLP]</sup>*

[MACY51] H. Foerster, M. Mead, H. L. Teuber (eds.). Cybernetics: Circular causal and feedback mechanisms in biological and social systems. Transactions of the 7th conference. New York: J. Macy Jr. Foundation, 1951.

[MAD86] C. T. Rajagopal, M. S. Rangachari (1986). On medieval Keralese mathematics. Archive for History of Exact Sciences. 35 (2):91-99.

[MAD01] D. F. Almeida, J. K. John, A. Zadorozhnyy (2001). Keralese mathematics: Its Possible Transmission to Europe and the Consequential Educational Implications. Journal of Natural Geometry 20, 77-104, 2001.

[MAR71] D. Marr. Simple memory: a theory for archicortex. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci, 262:841, p 23-81, 1971.

[MAD05] Neither Newton nor Leibniz—The Pre-History of Calculus and Celestial Mechanics in Medieval Kerala. S. Rajeev, Univ. of Rochester, 2005.

[MAR15] E. Davis, G. Marcus. Commonsense Reasoning and Commonsense Knowledge in Artificial Intelligence. Communications of the ACM 58.9:92-103, 2015.

[MC43] W. S. McCulloch, W. Pitts. A Logical Calculus of Ideas Immanent in Nervous Activity. Bulletin of Mathematical Biophysics, Vol. 5, p. 115-133, 1943.

[META] J. Schmidhuber (AI Blog, 2020). *1/3 century anniversary of first publication on metalearning machines that learn to learn (1987). For its cover Schmidhuber drew a robot that bootstraps itself. 1992-: gradient descent-based neural metalearning. 1994-: Meta-*



*Reinforcement Learning with self-modifying policies. 1997: Meta-RL plus artificial curiosity and intrinsic motivation. 2002-: asymptotically optimal metalearning for curriculum learning. 2003-: mathematically optimal [Gödel Machine](#). 2020: new stuff!*

[META1] J. Schmidhuber. Evolutionary principles in self-referential learning, or on learning how to learn: The meta-meta-... hook. Diploma thesis, Institut für Informatik, Technische Universität München, 1987. [Searchable PDF scan](#) (created by OCRmypdf which uses [LSTM](#)). [HTML](#). For example, [Genetic Programming](#) (GP) is applied to itself, to recursively evolve better GP methods through Meta-Evolution. [More](#).

[META10] T. Schaul and J. Schmidhuber. [Metalearning](#). Scholarpedia, 5(6):4650, 2010.

[METARL2] J. Schmidhuber. On learning how to learn learning strategies. Technical Report FKI-198-94, Fakultät für Informatik, Technische Universität München, November 1994. [PDF](#).

[METARL3] J. Schmidhuber. Beyond "Genetic Programming": Incremental Self-Improvement. In J. Rosca, ed., *Proc. Workshop on Genetic Programming at ML95*, pages 42-49. National Resource Lab for the study of Brain and Behavior, 1995.

[METARL4] M. Wiering and J. Schmidhuber. Solving POMDPs using Levin search and EIRA. In L. Saitta, ed., *Machine Learning: Proceedings of the 13th International Conference (ICML 1996)*, pages 534-542, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, San Francisco, CA, 1996. [PDF](#). [HTML](#).

[METARL5] J. Schmidhuber and J. Zhao and M. Wiering. Simple principles of metalearning. Technical Report IDSIA-69-96, IDSIA, June 1996. [PDF](#).

[METARL6] J. Zhao and J. Schmidhuber. Solving a complex prisoner's dilemma with self-modifying policies. In *From Animals to Animats 5: Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Simulation of Adaptive Behavior*, 1998.

[METARL7] Shifting inductive bias with success-story algorithm, adaptive Levin search, and incremental self-improvement. *Machine Learning* 28:105-130, 1997. [PDF](#).

[METARL8] J. Schmidhuber, J. Zhao, N. Schraudolph. Reinforcement learning with self-modifying policies. In S. Thrun and L. Pratt, eds., *Learning to learn*, Kluwer, pages 293-309, 1997. [PDF](#); [HTML](#).

[METARL9] A general method for incremental self-improvement and multiagent learning. In X. Yao, editor, *Evolutionary Computation: Theory and Applications*. Chapter 3, pp.81-123, Scientific Publ. Co., Singapore, 1999.

[METARL10] L. Kirsch, S. van Steenkiste, J. Schmidhuber. Improving Generalization in Meta Reinforcement Learning using Neural Objectives. *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2020.

[MGC] MICCAI 2013 Grand Challenge on Mitosis Detection, organised by M. Veta, M.A. Viergever, J.P.W. Pluim, N. Stathonikos, P. J. van Diest of University Medical Center Utrecht.

[MIR] J. Schmidhuber (Oct 2019, updated 2021, 2022, 2025). [Deep Learning: Our Miraculous Year 1990-1991](#). Preprint [arXiv:2005.05744](#). *The Deep Learning Artificial Neural Networks (NNs) of our team have revolutionised [Machine Learning & AI](#). Many of the basic ideas behind this revolution were published within the 12 months of our "Annus Mirabilis" 1990-1991 at our lab in TU Munich. Back then, few people were interested. But a quarter century later, NNs based on our "Miraculous Year" [were on over 3 billion devices, and used many billions of times per day, consuming a significant fraction of the world's compute](#). In particular, in 1990-91, we laid foundations of Generative AI, publishing principles of (1) [Generative Adversarial Networks for Artificial Curiosity and Creativity](#) (now used for deepfakes), (2) [Transformers](#) (the T in ChatGPT—see the [1991 Unnormalized Linear Transformer](#)), (3) [Pre-training](#) for deep NNs (see the P in ChatGPT), (4) [NN distillation](#) (key for [DeepSeek](#)), and (5) recurrent [World Models for Reinforcement Learning and Planning](#) in partially observable environments. The year 1991 also marks the emergence of the defining features of (6) [LSTM](#), the most cited AI paper of the 20th century (based on constant error flow through [residual NN connections](#)), and (7) ResNet, the most cited AI paper of the 21st century, based on our LSTM-inspired [Highway Net](#) that was [10 times deeper than previous feedforward NNs](#).*

[MIT97] T. Mitchell. Machine Learning. McGraw Hill, 1997.

[MLP1] D. C. Ciresan, U. Meier, L. M. Gambardella, J. Schmidhuber. Deep Big Simple Neural Nets For Handwritten Digit Recognition. Neural Computation 22(12): 3207-3220, 2010. [ArXiv Preprint](#). *Showed that plain backprop for deep standard NNs on GPU is sufficient to break benchmark records, without any unsupervised pre-training.*

[MLP2] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), Sep 2020). [10-year anniversary of supervised deep learning breakthrough \(2010\)](#). [No unsupervised pre-training](#).

[MLP3] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2025). [2010: Breakthrough of end-to-end deep learning \(no layer-by-layer training, no unsupervised pre-training\)](#). *The rest is history. By 2010, when compute was 1000 times more expensive than in 2025, both our GPU-based feedforward NNs<sup>[MLP1]</sup> and our earlier recurrent NNs were able to beat all competing algorithms on important problems of that time. This deep learning revolution quickly spread from Europe to North America and Asia.*

[MM1] R. Stratonovich (1960). Conditional Markov processes. Theory of Probability and its Applications, 5(2):156-178.

[MM2] L. E. Baum, T. Petrie. Statistical inference for probabilistic functions of finite state Markov chains. The Annals of Mathematical Statistics, pages 1554-1563, 1966.

[MM3] A. P. Dempster, N. M. Laird, D. B. Rubin. Maximum likelihood from incomplete data via the EM algorithm. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, B, 39, 1977.

[MM4] J. Friedman, T. Hastie, R. Tibshirani. The elements of statistical learning, volume 1. Springer Series in Statistics, New York, 2001.

[MM5] C. M. Bishop. Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning. Springer, 2006.

[MOC1] N. Metropolis, S. Ulam. The Monte Carlo method. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. 44 (247): 335-341, 1949.

[MOC2] B. Abramson. The Expected-Outcome Model of Two-Player Games. Technical report, Department of Computer Science, Columbia University, 1987.

[MOC3] B. Brüggmann. Monte Carlo Go. Technical report, Department of Physics, Syracuse University, 1993.

[MOC4] H. S. Chang, M. C. Fu, J. Hu, S. I. Marcus. An Adaptive Sampling Algorithm for Solving Markov Decision Processes. *Operations Research*. 53:126-139, 2005.

[MOC5] R. Coulom. Efficient Selectivity and Backup Operators in Monte-Carlo Tree Search. *Computers and Games*, 5th International Conference, CG 2006, Turin, Italy, May 29–31, 2006.

[MOST] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2021, updated 2025). [The most cited neural networks all build on work done in my labs: 1. Long Short-Term Memory \(LSTM\), the most cited AI of the 20th century. 2. ResNet \(open-gated Highway Net\), the most cited AI of the 21st century. 3. AlexNet & VGG Net \(the similar but earlier DanNet of 2011 won 4 image recognition challenges before them\). 4. GAN \(an instance of Adversarial Artificial Curiosity of 1990\). 5. Transformer variants—see the 1991 unnormalised linear Transformer \(ULTRA\). Foundations of Generative AI were published in 1991: the principles of GANs \(now used for deepfakes\), Transformers \(the T in ChatGPT\), Pre-training for deep NNs \(the P in ChatGPT\), NN distillation, and the famous DeepSeek—see the tweet.](#)

[MOZ] M. Mozer. A Focused Backpropagation Algorithm for Temporal Pattern Recognition. *Complex Systems*, 1989.

[MUN87] P. W. Munro. A dual back-propagation scheme for scalar reinforcement learning. *Proceedings of the Ninth Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*, Seattle, WA, pages 165-176, 1987.

[MWC25] J. Schmidhuber. 4YFN Keynote at Mobile World Congress 2025, Barcelona (over 100k participants).

[NAK72] K. Nakano. Associatron—A Model of Associative Memory. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, SMC-2:3 p. 380-388, 1972.

[NAN1] J. Schmidhuber. Networks adjusting networks. In J. Kindermann and A. Linden, editors, *Proceedings of 'Distributed Adaptive Neural Information Processing', St. Augustin, 24.-25.5. 1989*, pages 197-208. Oldenbourg, 1990. Extended version: TR FKI-125-90 (revised), Institut für Informatik, TUM. [PDF](#). *Includes the proposal of a biologically more plausible deep learning algorithm that—unlike backpropagation—is local in space and time. Based on neural nets learning to estimate gradients for other neural nets.*

[NAN2] J. Schmidhuber. Networks adjusting networks. Technical Report FKI-125-90, Institut für Informatik, Technische Universität München. Revised in November 1990. [PDF](#).



[NAN3] Recurrent networks adjusted by adaptive critics. In *Proc. IEEE/INNS International Joint Conference on Neural Networks, Washington, D. C.*, volume 1, pages 719-722, 1990.

[NAN4] J. Schmidhuber. Additional remarks on G. Lukes' review of Schmidhuber's paper 'Recurrent networks adjusted by adaptive critics'. *Neural Network Reviews*, 4(1):43, 1990.

[NAN5] M. Jaderberg, W. M. Czarnecki, S. Osindero, O. Vinyals, A. Graves, D. Silver, K. Kavukcuoglu. Decoupled Neural Interfaces using Synthetic Gradients. Preprint [arXiv:1608.05343](https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.05343), 2016. *This work of DeepMind is similar to [NAN1-2].*

[NAR90] K. S. Narendra, K. Parthasarathy. Identification and control of dynamical systems using neural networks. *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on*, 1(1):4-27, 1990.

[NAS] B. Zoph, Q. V. Le. Neural Architecture Search with Reinforcement Learning. Preprint [arXiv:1611.01578](https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.01578) (PDF), 2017. *Compare the earlier Neural Architecture Search of Bayer et al. (2009) for LSTM-like topologies.* <sup>[LSTM7]</sup>

[NASC1] J. Schmidhuber. [First Pow\(d\)ered flight / plane truth](#). Correspondence, *Nature*, 421 p 689, Feb 2003.

[NASC2] J. Schmidhuber. Zooming in on aviation history. Correspondence, *Nature*, vol 566, p 39, 7 Feb 2019.

[NASC3] J. Schmidhuber. [The last inventor of the telephone](#). Letter, *Science*, 319, no. 5871, p. 1759, March 2008.

[NASC4] J. Schmidhuber. Turing: Keep his work in perspective. Correspondence, *Nature*, vol 483, p 541, March 2012, doi:10.1038/483541b.

[NASC5] J. Schmidhuber. Turing in Context. Letter, *Science*, vol 336, p 1639, June 2012. (On Gödel, Zuse, Turing.) See also [comment](#) on response by A. Hodges (DOI:10.1126/science.336.6089.1639-a)

[NASC6] J. Schmidhuber. [Colossus was the first electronic digital computer](#). Correspondence, *Nature*, 441 p 25, May 2006.

[NASC6a] J. Schmidhuber. Comment on "Biography: The ABC of computing" by J. Gilbey, *Nature* 468 p 760-761 (2010). [Link](#).

[NASC7] J. Schmidhuber. [Turing's impact](#). Correspondence, *Nature*, 429 p 501, June 2004

[NASC8] J. Schmidhuber. [Prototype resilient, self-modeling robots](#). Correspondence, *Science*, 316, no. 5825 p 688, May 2007.

[NASC9] J. Schmidhuber. [Comparing the legacies of Gauss, Pasteur, Darwin](#). Correspondence, *Nature*, vol 452, p 530, April 2008.

[NAT1] J. Schmidhuber. Citation bubble about to burst? *Nature*, vol. 469, p. 34, 6 January 2011. [HTML](#).

[NDR] R. Csordas, K. Irie, J. Schmidhuber. The Neural Data Router: Adaptive Control Flow in Transformers Improves Systematic Generalization. Proc. ICLR 2022. Preprint [arXiv/2110.07732](https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.07732).

[NEU45] J. von Neumann (1945). First Draft of a Report on the EDVAC.

[NHE] J. Schmidhuber. The Neural Heat Exchanger. Oral presentations since 1990 at various universities including TUM and the University of Colorado at Boulder. Also in In S. Amari, L. Xu, L. Chan, I. King, K. Leung, eds., Proceedings of the Intl. Conference on Neural Information Processing (1996), pages 194-197, Springer, Hongkong. [Link](#). *Proposal of a biologically more plausible deep learning algorithm that—unlike backpropagation—is local in space and time. Inspired by the physical heat exchanger: inputs "heat up" while being transformed through many successive layers, targets enter from the other end of the deep pipeline and "cool down."*

[NGU89] D. Nguyen and B. Widrow; The truck backer-upper: An example of self learning in neural networks. In IEEE/INNS International Joint Conference on Neural Networks, Washington, D.C., volume 1, pages 357-364, 1989.

[NIL98] N. J. Nilsson. Artificial intelligence: a new synthesis. Morgan Kaufmann, 1998.

[NN25] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2025). [Who invented artificial neural networks?](#) Technical Note IDSIA-15-25, IDSIA, November 2025.

[NOB] J. Schmidhuber. A Nobel Prize for Plagiarism. [Technical Report IDSIA-24-24](#) (7 Dec 2024, updated Oct 2025). *The 2024 Nobel Prize in Physics was awarded to Hopfield & Hinton. They republished foundational methodologies for artificial neural networks developed by Ivakhnenko, Amari and others in Ukraine and Japan during the 1960s and 1970s, as well as other techniques, without citing the original papers. Even in their subsequent surveys and recent 2025 articles, they failed to acknowledge the original inventors. This apparently turned what may have been unintentional plagiarism into a deliberate act. Hopfield and Hinton did not invent any of the key algorithms that underpin modern artificial intelligence. See also popular [tweet1](#), [tweet2](#), and [LinkedIn post](#). See also [\[PLAG1-6\]](#)[\[FAKE1-3\]](#)[\[DLH\]](#).*

[NOB25a] G. Hinton. Nobel Lecture: Boltzmann machines. Rev. Mod. Phys. 97, 030502, 25 August 2025. *One of the many problematic statements in this lecture is this: "Boltzmann machines are no longer used, but they were historically important [...] In the 1980s, they demonstrated that it was possible to learn appropriate weights for hidden neurons using only locally available information without requiring a biologically implausible backward pass." Again, Hinton fails to mention Ivakhnenko who had shown this 2 decades earlier in the 1960s [\[DEEP1-2\]](#). See also [\[NOB\]](#)[\[DLP\]](#).*

[NOB25b] J. J. Hopfield. Nobel Lecture: Physics is a point of view. Rev. Mod. Phys. 97, 030501, 25 August 2025. *This article fails to mention the network of Amari who published the basic equations of the so-called "Hopfield network" 10 years before Hopfield [\[NOB\]](#).*

[NPMa] M. Nakamura, K. Shikano. A study of English word category prediction based on neural networks. Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), p. 731-734, 1989.

[NPM] Y. Bengio, R. Ducharme, P. Vincent, C. Jauvin (2003). A Neural Probabilistic Language Model. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 3, p 1137-1155, 2003. *Based on Schmidhuber & Heil's excellent 1995 neural probabilistic text model.*<sup>[SNT]</sup> See also Nakamura and Shikano's 1989 word category prediction model.<sup>[NPMa]</sup>

[NS56] A. Newell and H. Simon. The logic theory machine—A complex information processing system. *IRE Transactions on Information Theory* 2.3 (1956):61-79. *Compare Konrad Zuse's much earlier 1948 work on theorem proving*<sup>[ZU48]</sup> *based on Plankalkül, the first high-level programming language.*<sup>[BAU][KNU]</sup>

[NS59] A. Newell, J. C. Shaw, H. Simon. Report on a general problem solving program. IFIP congress, vol. 256, 1959.

[NYT1] [NY Times article](#) by J. Markoff, Nov. 27, 2016: When A.I. Matures, It May Call Jürgen Schmidhuber 'Dad'

[OAI1] G. Powell, J. Schneider, J. Tobin, W. Zaremba, A. Petron, M. Chociej, L. Weng, B. McGrew, S. Sidor, A. Ray, P. Welinder, R. Jozefowicz, M. Plappert, J. Pachocki, M. Andrychowicz, B. Baker. Learning Dexterity. OpenAI Blog, 2018.

[OAI1a] OpenAI, M. Andrychowicz, B. Baker, M. Chociej, R. Jozefowicz, B. McGrew, J. Pachocki, A. Petron, M. Plappert, G. Powell, A. Ray, J. Schneider, S. Sidor, J. Tobin, P. Welinder, L. Weng, W. Zaremba. Learning Dexterous In-Hand Manipulation. [arxiv:1312.5602](#) (PDF).

[OAI2] OpenAI: C. Berner, G. Brockman, B. Chan, V. Cheung, P. Debiak, C. Dennison, D. Farhi, Q. Fischer, S. Hashme, C. Hesse, R. Jozefowicz, S. Gray, C. Olsson, J. Pachocki, M. Petrov, H. P. de Oliveira Pinto, J. Raiman, T. Salimans, J. Schlatter, J. Schneider, S. Sidor, I. Sutskever, J. Tang, F. Wolski, S. Zhang (Dec 2019). Dota 2 with Large Scale Deep Reinforcement Learning. Preprint [arxiv:1912.06680](#). *An LSTM composes 84% of the model's total parameter count.*

[OAI2a] J. Rodriguez. The Science Behind OpenAI Five that just Produced One of the Greatest Breakthrough in the History of AI. Towards Data Science, 2018. *An LSTM with 84% of the model's total parameter count was the core of OpenAI Five.*

[OMG0] P. T. De Chardin. The antiquity and world expansion of human culture. *Man's Role in Changing the Face of the Earth*. Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, p. 103-112, 1956.

[OMG] J. Schmidhuber. An exponential acceleration pattern in the history of the most important events from a human perspective, reaching all the way back to the Big Bang. [Link](#). Ask me Anything, Reddit/ML, 2014.

[OMG1] J. Schmidhuber ([Blog](#), 2006). [Is History Converging? Again?](#) Based on: J. Schmidhuber. New Millennium AI and the Convergence of History. In W. Duch and J. Mandziuk, eds., *Challenges to Computational Intelligence*, Springer, 2006. Update of 2012 in: *Singularity Hypotheses*, Springer, 2013.



- [OMG2] S. Berg. GRM: Brainfuck. Kiepenheuer & Witsch, 2019. *Got the Swiss Book Award 2019. Contains 2 pages on Schmidhuber's timeline of history's exponential acceleration since the Big Bang.* <sup>[OMG]</sup>
- [OBJ1] K. Greff, A. Rasmus, M. Berglund, T. Hao, H. Valpola, J. Schmidhuber (2016). Tagger: Deep unsupervised perceptual grouping. NIPS 2016, pp. 4484-4492. Preprint [arXiv/1606.06724](#).
- [OBJ2] K. Greff, S. van Steenkiste, J. Schmidhuber (2017). Neural expectation maximization. NIPS 2017, pp. 6691-6701. Preprint [arXiv/1708.03498](#).
- [OBJ3] S. van Steenkiste, M. Chang, K. Greff, J. Schmidhuber (2018). Relational neural expectation maximization: Unsupervised discovery of objects and their interactions. ICLR 2018. Preprint [arXiv/1802.10353](#).
- [OBJ4] A. Stanic, S. van Steenkiste, J. Schmidhuber (2021). Hierarchical Relational Inference. AAAI 2021. Preprint [arXiv/2010.03635](#).
- [OBJ5] A. Gopalakrishnan, S. van Steenkiste, J. Schmidhuber (2020). Unsupervised Object Keypoint Learning using Local Spatial Predictability. Preprint [arXiv/2011.12930](#).
- [OOPS2] J. Schmidhuber. Optimal Ordered Problem Solver. *Machine Learning*, 54, 211-254, 2004. [PDF](#). [HTML](#). [HTML overview](#). Download [OOPS source code in crystalline format](#).
- [OUD13] P.-Y. Oudeyer, A. Baranes, F. Kaplan. Intrinsically motivated learning of real world sensorimotor skills with developmental constraints. In G. Baldassarre and M. Mirolli, editors, *Intrinsically Motivated Learning in Natural and Artificial Systems*. Springer, 2013.
- [PAL80] G. Palm. On associative memory. *Biological Cybernetics*, 36, 1980.
- [PAT17] D. Pathak, P. Agrawal, A. A. Efros, T. Darrell. Curiosity-driven exploration by self-supervised prediction. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops*, pages 16-17, 2017.
- [PDA1] G.Z. Sun, H.H. Chen, C.L. Giles, Y.C. Lee, D. Chen. Neural Networks with External Memory Stack that Learn Context—Free Grammars from Examples. *Proceedings of the 1990 Conference on Information Science and Systems, Vol.II*, pp. 649-653, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, 1990.
- [PDA2] M. Mozer, S. Das. A connectionist symbol manipulator that discovers the structure of context-free languages. *Proc. NIPS* 1993.
- [PG1] R. J. Williams. Reinforcement-learning in connectionist networks: A mathematical analysis. Technical Report 8605, Institute for Cognitive Science, University of California, San Diego, 1986
- [PG3] R. J. Williams. Simple statistical gradient-following algorithms for connectionist reinforcement learning. *Machine Learning* 8.3-4: 229-256, 1992.

[PG2] Sutton, R. S., McAllester, D. A., Singh, S. P., and Mansour, Y. (1999a). Policy gradient methods for reinforcement learning with function approximation. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS) 12*, pages 1057-1063.

[PHD] J. Schmidhuber. Dynamische neuronale Netze und das fundamentale raumzeitliche Lernproblem (Dynamic neural nets and the fundamental spatio-temporal credit assignment problem). Dissertation, Institut für Informatik, Technische Universität München, 1990. [PDF](#). [HTML](#).

[PH98] J. Cameron, A. Schwarzenegger, L. Hamilton, R. Patrick. *The Terminator*. MAWA Film & Medien, 1998.

[PH12] J. Schmidhuber. Philosophers & Futurists, Catch Up! Response to The Singularity. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, Volume 19, Numbers 1-2, pp. 173-182(10), 2012.

[PH23] E. Yudkowsky. Pausing AI developments isn't enough. We need to shut it all down. *Time Magazine* 29 (2023).

[PH14] Bostrom, Nick (2014). *Superintelligence: Paths, Dangers, Strategies*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

[PLAG1] Oxford's guide to types of plagiarism (2021). *Quote: "Plagiarism may be intentional or reckless, or unintentional."* [Copy in the Internet Archive](#). [Local copy](#).

[PLAG2] Jackson State Community College (2022). Unintentional Plagiarism. [Copy in the Internet Archive](#).

[PLAG3] R. L. Foster. Avoiding Unintentional Plagiarism. *Journal for Specialists in Pediatric Nursing*; Hoboken Vol. 12, Iss. 1, 2007.

[PLAG4] N. Das. Intentional or unintentional, it is never alright to plagiarize: A note on how Indian universities are advised to handle plagiarism. *Perspect Clin Res* 9:56-7, 2018.

[PLAG5] InfoSci-OnDemand (2023). What is Unintentional Plagiarism? [Copy in the Internet Archive](#).

[PLAG6] Copyrighted.com (2022). How to Avoid Accidental and Unintentional Plagiarism (2023). [Copy in the Internet Archive](#). *Quote: "May it be accidental or intentional, plagiarism is still plagiarism."*

[PLAG7] Cornell Review, 2024. [Harvard president resigns in plagiarism scandal](#). 6 January 2024.

[PLAN] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2020). [30-year anniversary of planning & reinforcement learning with recurrent world models and artificial curiosity \(1990\)](#). *This work also introduced high-dimensional reward signals, deterministic policy gradients for RNNs, and the GAN principle (widely used today). Agents with adaptive recurrent world models even suggest a simple explanation of consciousness & self-awareness.*

[PLAN2] J. Schmidhuber. [An on-line algorithm for dynamic reinforcement learning and planning in reactive environments](#). *Proc. IEEE/INNS International Joint Conference on Neural Networks, San Diego*, volume 2, pages 253-258, 1990. Based on TR FKI-126-90 (1990).<sup>[AC90]</sup> [More](#).

[PLAN3] J. Schmidhuber. Reinforcement learning in Markovian and non-Markovian environments. In D. S. Lippman, J. E. Moody, and D. S. Touretzky, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 3, NIPS'3*, pages 500-506. San Mateo, CA: Morgan Kaufmann, 1991. [PDF](#). Partially based on TR FKI-126-90 (1990).<sup>[AC90]</sup>

[PLAN4] J. Schmidhuber. On Learning to Think: Algorithmic Information Theory for Novel Combinations of Reinforcement Learning Controllers and Recurrent Neural World Models. Report [arXiv:1210.0118](#) [cs.AI], 2015.

[PLAN5] One Big Net For Everything. Preprint [arXiv:1802.08864](#) [cs.AI], Feb 2018.

[PLAN6] D. Ha, J. Schmidhuber. Recurrent World Models Facilitate Policy Evolution. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)*, Montreal, 2018. (Talk.) Preprint: [arXiv:1809.01999](#). Github: [World Models](#).

[PM0] J. Schmidhuber. Learning factorial codes by predictability minimization. TR CU-CS-565-91, Univ. Colorado at Boulder, 1991. [PDF](#). [More](#).

[PM1] J. Schmidhuber. Learning factorial codes by predictability minimization. *Neural Computation*, 4(6):863-879, 1992. Based on [PM0], 1991. [PDF](#). [More](#).

[PM2] J. Schmidhuber, M. Eldracher, B. Foltin. Semilinear predictability minimization produces well-known feature detectors. *Neural Computation*, 8(4):773-786, 1996. [PDF](#). [More](#).

[PO87] J. B. Pollack. On Connectionist Models of Natural Language Processing. PhD thesis, Computer Science Department, University of Illinois, Urbana, 1987.

[PO90] J. B. Pollack. Recursive Distributed Representations. *Artificial Intelligence*, 46(1-2):77-105, 1990.

[POS] E. L. Post (1936). Finite Combinatory Processes—Formulation 1. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*. 1: 103-105. [Link](#).

[PP] J. Schmidhuber. [POWERPLAY: Training an Increasingly General Problem Solver by Continually Searching for the Simplest Still Unsolvable Problem](#). *Frontiers in Cognitive Science*, 2013. ArXiv preprint (2011): [arXiv:1112.5309](#) [cs.AI]

[PPa] R. K. Srivastava, B. R. Steunebrink, M. Stollenga, J. Schmidhuber. Continually Adding Self-Invented Problems to the Repertoire: First Experiments with POWERPLAY. *Proc. IEEE Conference on Development and Learning / EpiRob 2012 (ICDL-EpiRob'12)*, San Diego, 2012. [PDF](#).

[PP1] R. K. Srivastava, B. Steunebrink, J. Schmidhuber. [First Experiments with PowerPlay](#). *Neural Networks*, 2013. ArXiv preprint (2012): [arXiv:1210.8385](#) [cs.AI].



[PP2] V. Kompella, M. Stollenga, M. Luciw, J. Schmidhuber. Continual curiosity-driven skill acquisition from high-dimensional video inputs for humanoid robots. Artificial Intelligence, 2015.

*Relevant threads with many comments at [reddit.com/r/MachineLearning](https://reddit.com/r/MachineLearning), the largest machine learning forum with over 800k subscribers in 2019 (note that Jürgen Schmidhuber's name is often misspelled):*

[R1] Reddit/ML, 2019. [Hinton, LeCun, Bengio receive ACM Turing Award](#). *This announcement contains more comments about Schmidhuber than about any of the awardees.*

[R2] Reddit/ML, 2019. [J. Schmidhuber really had GANs in 1990](#).

[R3] Reddit/ML, 2019. [NeurIPS 2019 Bengio Schmidhuber Meta-Learning Fiasco](#). *Schmidhuber started [metalearning](#) (learning to learn—now a hot topic) in 1987<sup>[META1]</sup><sup>[META]</sup> long before Bengio who suggested in public at N(eur)IPS 2019 that he did it before Schmidhuber.*

[R4] Reddit/ML, 2019. [Five major deep learning papers by G. Hinton did not cite similar earlier work by J. Schmidhuber](#).

[R5] Reddit/ML, 2019. [The 1997 LSTM paper by Hochreiter & Schmidhuber has become the most cited deep learning research paper of the 20th century](#).

[R6] Reddit/ML, 2019. [DanNet, the CUDA CNN of Dan Ciresan in J. Schmidhuber's team, won 4 image recognition challenges prior to AlexNet](#).

[R7] Reddit/ML, 2019. [J. Schmidhuber on Seppo Linnainmaa, inventor of backpropagation in 1970](#).

[R8] Reddit/ML, 2019. [J. Schmidhuber on Alexey Ivakhnenko, godfather of deep learning 1965](#).

[R9] Reddit/ML, 2019. [We find it extremely unfair that Schmidhuber did not get the Turing award. That is why we dedicate this song to Juergen to cheer him up](#).

[R11] Reddit/ML, 2020. [Schmidhuber: Critique of Honda Prize for Dr. Hinton](#)

[R12] Reddit/ML, 2020. [J. Schmidhuber: Critique of Turing Award for Drs. Bengio & Hinton & LeCun](#)

[R15] Reddit/ML, 2021. [J. Schmidhuber's work on fast weights from 1991 is similar to linearized variants of Transformers](#)

[R58] Rosenblatt, F. (1958). The perceptron: a probabilistic model for information storage and organization in the brain. Psychological review, 65(6):386. *This paper not only described single layer perceptrons, but also deeper multilayer perceptrons (MLPs). Although these MLPs did not yet have deep learning, because only the last layer learned,<sup>[DL1]</sup> Rosenblatt basically had what much later was rebranded as Extreme Learning Machines (ELMs) without proper attribution.<sup>[ELM1-2]</sup><sup>[DLP]</sup>*

- [R61] Joseph, R. D. (1961). Contributions to perceptron theory. PhD thesis, Cornell Univ.
- [R62] Rosenblatt, F. (1962). Principles of Neurodynamics. Spartan, New York.
- [RAU1] M. Rausch. Heron von Alexandria. Die Automatentheater und die Erfindung der ersten antiken Programmierung. Diplomica Verlag GmbH, Hamburg 2012. *Perhaps the world's first programmable machine was an automatic theatre made in the 1st century by Heron of Alexandria, who apparently also had the first known working steam engine.*
- [RAW] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2001). [Raw Computing Power](#).
- [RCNN] R. Girshick, J. Donahue, T. Darrell, J. Malik. Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation. Preprint [arXiv/1311.2524](#), Nov 2013.
- [RCNN2] R. Girshick. Fast R-CNN. Proc. of the IEEE international conference on computer vision, p. 1440-1448, 2015.
- [RCNN3] K. He, G. Gkioxari, P. Dollar, R. Girshick. Mask R-CNN. Preprint [arXiv/1703.06870](#), 2017.
- [RELU1] K. Fukushima (1969). Visual feature extraction by a multilayered network of analog threshold elements. IEEE Transactions on Systems Science and Cybernetics. 5 (4): 322-333. doi:10.1109/TSSC.1969.300225. *This work introduced rectified linear units or ReLUs, now widely used.*
- [RELU2] C. v. d. Malsburg (1973). Self-Organization of Orientation Sensitive Cells in the Striate Cortex. Kybernetik, 14:85-100, 1973. *See Table 1 for rectified linear units or ReLUs. Possibly this was also the first work on applying an EM algorithm to neural nets.*
- [RING91] M. B. Ring. Incremental development of complex behaviors through automatic construction of sensory-motor hierarchies. In Birnbaum, L. and Collins, G., editors, Machine Learning: Proceedings of the Eighth International Workshop, pages 343-347. Morgan Kaufmann, 1991.
- [RMSP] T. Tieleman, G. E. Hinton. Lecture 6.5-rmsprop: Divide the gradient by a running average of its recent magnitude. COURSERA: Neural networks for machine learning 4.2 (2012): 26-31.
- [RO98] R. Rojas (1998). How to make Zuse's Z3 a universal computer. IEEE Annals of Computing, vol. 19:3, 1998.
- [ROB87] A. J. Robinson, F. Fallside. The utility driven dynamic error propagation network. Technical Report CUED/F-INFENG/TR.1, Cambridge University Engineering Department, 1987.
- [RPG] D. Wierstra, A. Foerster, J. Peters, J. Schmidhuber (2010). Recurrent policy gradients. Logic Journal of the IGPL, 18(5), 620-634.

[RPG07] D. Wierstra, A. Foerster, J. Peters, J. Schmidhuber. Solving Deep Memory POMDPs with Recurrent Policy Gradients. *Intl. Conf. on Artificial Neural Networks ICANN'07*, 2007. [PDF](#). *The first paper on policy gradients for LSTM. This approach has become very important in reinforcement learning.* <sup>[LSTMPG]</sup>

[RUM] DE Rumelhart, GE Hinton, RJ Williams (1985). Learning Internal Representations by Error Propagation. TR No. ICS-8506, California Univ San Diego La Jolla Inst for Cognitive Science. Later version published as: Learning representations by back-propagating errors. *Nature*, 323, p. 533-536 (1986). *This experimental analysis of [backpropagation](#) did not cite the origin of the method,* <sup>[BP1-5]</sup> *also known as the reverse mode of automatic differentiation. The paper also failed to cite the first working algorithms for deep learning of internal representations (Ivakhnenko & Lapa, 1965)* <sup>[DEEP1-2][HIN][DLH]</sup> *as well as Amari's work (1967-68)* <sup>[GD1-2]</sup> *on learning internal representations in deep nets through stochastic gradient descent. Even later surveys by the authors* <sup>[DL3,3a]</sup> *failed to cite the prior art.* <sup>[T22][DLP][NOB]</sup>

[RUS95] S. J. Russell, P. Norvig, J. Canny, J. M. Malik, D. D. Edwards. Artificial Intelligence: a Modern Approach, volume 2. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall, 1995.

[S2Sa] M.L. Forcada and R.P. Neco. Recursive hetero-associative memories for translation. International Work-Conference on Artificial Neural Networks, 1997

[S2Sb] T. Mikolov and G. Zweig, G. December. Context dependent recurrent neural network language model. IEEE Spoken Language Technology Workshop (SLT), 2012

[S2Sc] A. Graves. Sequence transduction with recurrent neural networks. Representation Learning Workshop, Int. Conf. on Machine Learning (ICML), 2012

[S2Sd] I. Sutskever, O. Vinyals, Quoc V. Le. Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks. In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS), 2014, 3104-3112.

[S93] D. Sherrington (1993). Neural networks: the spin glass approach. North-Holland Mathematical Library, vol 51, 1993, p. 261-291.

[S20] T. Sejnowski. The unreasonable effectiveness of deep learning in artificial intelligence. PNAS, January 28, 2020. [Link](#). *A misleading "history of deep learning" which goes more or less like this: "In 1969, Minsky & Papert* <sup>[M69]</sup> *showed that shallow NNs without hidden layers are very limited and the field was abandoned until a new generation of neural network researchers took a fresh look at the problem in the 1980s."* <sup>[S20]</sup> *However, the 1969 book* <sup>[M69]</sup> *addressed a "problem" of [Gauss & Legendre's shallow learning with 2-layer NNs \(~1800\)](#)* <sup>[DLH][DL1-2]</sup> *that had already been solved 4 years prior by [Ivakhnenko & Lapa's popular deep learning method](#),* <sup>[DEEP1-2][DL2][DLH]</sup> *and then also by Amari's SGD for MLPs.* <sup>[GD1-2]</sup> *Minsky was apparently unaware of this and failed to correct it later.* <sup>[HIN](Sec. I)[T22](Sec. XIII)[DLP]</sup> *Deep learning research was alive and kicking in the 1960s-70s, especially outside of the Anglosphere.* <sup>[DEEP1-2][GD1-3][CN79][DL1-2][T22][DLH]</sup>

[S80] B. Speelpenning (1980). Compiling Fast Partial Derivatives of Functions Given by Algorithms. PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign.



- [S2Sa] M.L. Forcada and R.P. Neco. Recursive hetero-associative memories for translation. International Work-Conference on Artificial Neural Networks, 1997
- [S2Sb] T. Mikolov and G. Zweig, G. December. Context dependent recurrent neural network language model. IEEE Spoken Language Technology Workshop (SLT), 2012
- [S2Sc] A. Graves. Sequence transduction with recurrent neural networks. Representation Learning Workshop, Int. Conf. on Machine Learning (ICML), 2012
- [S2Sd] I. Sutskever, O. Vinyals, Quoc V. Le. Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks. In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS), 2014, 3104-3112.
- [S59] A. L. Samuel. Some studies in machine learning using the game of checkers. IBM Journal on Research and Development, 3:210-229, 1959.
- [SRM20] T. Taylor, A. Dorin (eds.). Rise of the Self-Replicators: Early Visions of Machines, AI and Robots That Can Reproduce and Evolve. Springer, 2020.
- [STO51] H. Robbins, S. Monro (1951). A Stochastic Approximation Method. The Annals of Mathematical Statistics. 22(3):400, 1951.
- [STO52] J. Kiefer, J. Wolfowitz (1952). Stochastic Estimation of the Maximum of a Regression Function. The Annals of Mathematical Statistics. 23(3):462, 1952.
- [SA17] J. Schmidhuber. Falling Walls: [The Past, Present and Future of Artificial Intelligence](#). Scientific American, Observations, Nov 2017.
- [SCAN] J. Masci, A. Giusti, D. Ciresan, G. Fricout, J. Schmidhuber. A Fast Learning Algorithm for Image Segmentation with Max-Pooling Convolutional Networks. ICIIP 2013. Preprint arXiv:1302.1690.
- [SE59] O. G. Selfridge (1959). Pandemonium: a paradigm for learning. In D. V. Blake and A. M. Uttley, editors, Proc. Symposium on Mechanisation of Thought Processes, p 511-529, London, 1959.
- [SHA7a] N. Sharkey (2007). A programmable robot from AD 60. New Scientist, Sept 2017.
- [SHA7b] N. Sharkey (2007). A 13th Century Programmable Robot. Univ. of Sheffield, 2007. *On a programmable drum machine of 1206 by Al-Jazari*.
- [SHA37] C. E. Shannon (1938). A Symbolic Analysis of Relay and Switching Circuits. Trans. AIEE. 57 (12): 713-723. Based on his thesis, MIT, 1937.
- [SHA48] C. E. Shannon. A mathematical theory of communication (parts I and II). Bell System Technical Journal, XXVII:379-423, 1948. *Boltzmann's entropy formula (1877) seen from the perspective of information transmission*.
- [SHI24] A Drosophila computational brain model reveals sensorimotor processing. P.K. Shiu, G.R. Sterne, N. Spiller, et al. A Drosophila computational brain model reveals sensorimotor

processing. *Nature* 634, 210–219 (2024).

[SIN5] S. Singh, A. G. Barto, N. Chentanez. Intrinsically motivated reinforcement learning. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 17 (NIPS)*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2005.

[SING1] V. Vinge. *Marooned in Realtime*. Bluejay, 1986.

[SING2] V. Vinge. The coming technological singularity: How to survive in the post-human era. *Science fiction criticism: An anthology of essential writings*. p. 352-363, 1993.

[SK75] D. Sherrington, S. Kirkpatrick (1975). Solvable Model of a Spin-Glass. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 35, 1792, 1975.

[SKO23] T. Skolem (1923). Begründung der elementaren Arithmetik durch die rekurrierende Denkweise ohne Anwendung scheinbarer Veränderlichen mit unendlichem Ausdehnungsbereich. *Skifter utgit av Videnskapsselskapet i Kristiania, I. Matematisk-Naturvidenskabelig Klasse 6 (1923)*, 38 pp.

[SMO86] P. Smolensky. *Parallel distributed processing: Explorations in the microstructure of cognition*, vol. 1. chapter *Information Processing in Dynamical Systems: Foundations of Harmony Theory*, pages 194-281. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA, 1986.

[SMO13] L. Smolin (2013). My hero: Gottfried Wilhelm von Leibniz. *The Guardian*, 2013. [Link](#). Quote: "And this is just the one part of Leibniz's enormous legacy: the philosopher Stanley Rosen called him 'the smartest person who ever lived'."

[SNT] J. Schmidhuber, S. Heil (1996). Sequential neural text compression. *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks*, 1996. [PDF](#). *An earlier version appeared at NIPS 1995. Much later this was called a probabilistic language model.*<sup>[T22]</sup>

[SON18] T. Sonar. *The History of the Priority Dispute between Newton and Leibniz*. Birkhaeuser, 2018.

[ST] J. Masci, U. Meier, D. Ciresan, G. Fricout, J. Schmidhuber *Steel Defect Classification with Max-Pooling Convolutional Neural Networks*. *Proc. IJCNN 2012*. [PDF](#). *Apparently, this was the first deep learning breakthrough in heavy industry.*

[ST61] K. Steinbuch. Die Lernmatrix. (The learning matrix.) *Kybernetik*, 1(1):36-45, 1961. See this [video of a learning character recognition system \(1960!\)](#) presented by Prof. W. Goerke, a pupil of Steinbuch.

[ST95] W. Hilberg (1995). Karl Steinbuch, ein zu Unrecht vergessener Pionier der künstlichen neuronalen Systeme. (Karl Steinbuch, an unjustly forgotten pioneer of artificial neural systems.) *Frequenz*, 49(1995)1-2.

[SP16] JS interviewed by C. Stoecker: KI wird das All erobern. (AI will conquer the universe.) *SPIEGEL*, 6 Feb 2016. [Link](#).

- [SP93] A. Sperduti (1993). Encoding Labeled Graphs by Labeling RAAM. NIPS 1993: 1125-1132 *One of the first papers on graph neural networks.*
- [SP94] A. Sperduti (1994). Labelling Recursive Auto-associative Memory. Connect. Sci. 6(4): 429-459 (1994)
- [SP95] A. Sperduti (1995). Stability properties of labeling recursive auto-associative memory. IEEE Trans. Neural Networks 6(6): 1452-1460 (1995)
- [SPG95] A. Sperduti, A. Starita, C. Goller (1995). Learning Distributed Representations for the Classification of Terms. IJCAI 1995: 509-517
- [SPG96] A. Sperduti, D. Majidi, A. Starita (1996). Extended Cascade-Correlation for Syntactic and Structural Pattern Recognition. SSPR 1996: 90-99
- [SPG97] A. Sperduti, A. Starita (1997). Supervised neural networks for the classification of structures. IEEE Trans. Neural Networks 8(3): 714-735, 1997.
- [STI81] S. M. Stigler. Gauss and the Invention of Least Squares. Ann. Stat. 9(3):465-474, 1981.
- [STI83] S. M. Stigler. Who Discovered Bayes' Theorem? The American Statistician. 37(4):290-296, 1983. *Bayes' theorem is actually Laplace's theorem or possibly Saunderson's theorem.*
- [STI85] S. M. Stigler (1986). Inverse Probability. The History of Statistics: The Measurement of Uncertainty Before 1900. Harvard University Press, 1986.
- [SV20] S. Vazire (2020). A toast to the error detectors. Let 2020 be the year in which we value those who ensure that science is self-correcting. Nature, vol 577, p 9, 2/2/2020.
- [SVM1] V. N. Vapnik, A. Y. Chervonenkis. On a class of algorithms of learning pattern recognition. Automation and Telemekhanics, 25.6:937, 1964.
- [SVM2] C. Cortes, V. Vapnik. Support-vector networks. Machine Learning. 20 (3): 273-297, 1995
- [SVM3] V. Vapnik. The nature of statistical learning theory. Springer science & business media, 1999.
- [SVM4] B. Schölkopf, A. J. Smola. Learning with kernels: support vector machines, regularization, optimization, and beyond. MIT Press, 2002.
- [SVM5] M. A. Aizerman, E. M. Braverman, and L. I. Rozonoer. Theoretical foundations of potential function method in pattern recognition. Automation and Remote Control, 1964.
- [SVM6] B. E. Boser, I. Guyon, and V. Vapnik. A training algorithm for optimal margin classifiers. Annual ACM Conference on Computational Learning Theory (COLT), 1992.

- [SW1] M. Dorigo, L. M. Gambardella. Ant colony system: a cooperative learning approach to the traveling salesman problem. IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation 1 (1): 53-66, 1997.
- [SWA22] J. Swan, E. Nivel, N. Kant, J. Hedges, T. Atkinson, B. Steunebrink (2022). Work on Command: The Case for Generality. In: The Road to General Intelligence. Studies in Computational Intelligence, vol 1049. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-08020-3\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-08020-3_6).
- [T19] ACM's justification of the 2018 A.M. Turing Award (announced in 2019). [WWW link](#). [Local copy 1](#) (HTML only). [Local copy 2](#) (HTML only). [\[T22\]\[DLP\]](#) debunk this justification.
- [T20a] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 25 June 2020). Critique of 2018 Turing Award for Drs. Bengio & Hinton & LeCun. [A precursor](#) of [\[T22\]](#).
- [T22] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2022). [Scientific Integrity and the History of Deep Learning: The 2021 Turing Lecture, and the 2018 Turing Award](#). Technical Report IDSIA-77-21, IDSIA, Lugano, Switzerland, 2022. [Debunking \[T19\] and \[DL3a\]](#). [More under \[DLP\]\[NOB\]](#).
- [TD1] R. Sutton. Learning to predict by the methods of temporal differences. Machine Learning. 3 (1): 9-44, 1988.
- [TD2] G. Tesauro. TD-Gammon, a self-teaching backgammon program, achieves master-level play. Neural Computation 6.2:215-219, 1994.
- [TD3] R. Sutton, A. Barto. Reinforcement learning: An introduction. Cambridge, MA, MIT Press, 1998.
- [TED12] J. Schmidhuber. TEDx talk: When Creative Machines Overtake Man. TEDx Lausanne (2012). [Video tweet](#).
- [TED17] J. Schmidhuber. TEDx talk: True Artificial Intelligence will change everything. TEDx LakeComo (2017).
- [TED24] J. Schmidhuber. TED talk: Why 2042 will be a big year for AI. TEDAI Vienna (2024). [Video tweet](#).
- [TR1] A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. N. Gomez, L. Kaiser, I. Polosukhin (2017). Attention is all you need. NIPS 2017, pp. 5998-6008. *This paper introduced the name "Transformers" for a now widely used NN type. It did not cite the 1991 publication on what's now called [unnormalized "linear Transformers"](#) with ["linearized self-attention"](#).*<sup>[ULTRA]</sup> Schmidhuber also introduced the now popular [attention terminology](#) in 1993.<sup>[ATT][FWP2][R4]</sup> See [tweet of 2022 for 30-year anniversary](#).
- [TR2] J. Devlin, M. W. Chang, K. Lee, K. Toutanova (2018). Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional Transformers for language understanding. Preprint arXiv:1810.04805.
- [TR3] K. Tran, A. Bisazza, C. Monz. The Importance of Being Recurrent for Modeling Hierarchical Structure. EMNLP 2018, p 4731-4736. ArXiv preprint 1803.03585.



[TR4] M. Hahn. Theoretical Limitations of Self-Attention in Neural Sequence Models. Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics, Volume 8, p.156-171, 2020.

[TR5] A. Katharopoulos, A. Vyas, N. Pappas, F. Fleuret. Transformers are RNNs: Fast autoregressive Transformers with linear attention. In Proc. Int. Conf. on Machine Learning (ICML), July 2020.

[TR5a] Z. Shen, M. Zhang, H. Zhao, S. Yi, H. Li. Efficient Attention: Attention with Linear Complexities. WACV 2021.

[TR6] K. Choromanski, V. Likhoshesterov, D. Dohan, X. Song, A. Gane, T. Sarlos, P. Hawkins, J. Davis, A. Mohiuddin, L. Kaiser, et al. Rethinking attention with Performers. In Int. Conf. on Learning Representations (ICLR), 2021.

[TR6a] H. Peng, N. Pappas, D. Yogatama, R. Schwartz, N. A. Smith, L. Kong. Random Feature Attention. ICLR 2021.

[TR7] S. Bhattamishra, K. Ahuja, N. Goyal. On the Ability and Limitations of Transformers to Recognize Formal Languages. EMNLP 2020.

[TR8] W. Merrill, A. Sabharwal. The Parallelism Tradeoff: Limitations of Log-Precision Transformers. TACL 2023.

[TR25] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2025). [Who Invented Transformer Neural Networks?](#) Technical Note IDSIA-11-25, Nov 2025.

[TUR] A. M. Turing. On computable numbers, with an application to the Entscheidungsproblem. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, Series 2, 41:230-267. Received 28 May 1936. Errata appeared in Series 2, 43, pp 544-546 (1937). *2nd explicit proof that the Entscheidungsproblem (decision problem) does not have a general solution.*

[TUR1] A. M. Turing. Intelligent Machinery. Unpublished Technical Report, 1948. [Link](#). In: Ince DC, editor. Collected works of AM Turing—Mechanical Intelligence. Elsevier Science Publishers, 1992.

[TUR21] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), Sep 2021). [Turing Oversold. It's not Turing's fault, though.](#)

[TUR3] G. Oppy, D. Dowe (2021). [The Turing Test](#). Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. *Quote: "it is sometimes suggested that the Turing Test is prefigured in Descartes' Discourse on the Method. (Copeland (2000:527) finds an anticipation of the test in the 1668 writings of the Cartesian de Cordemoy. Abramson (2011a) presents archival evidence that Turing was aware of Descartes' language test at the time that he wrote his 1950 paper. Gunderson (1964) provides an early instance of those who find that Turing's work is foreshadowed in the work of Descartes.)"*

[TUR3a] D. Abramson. Descartes' Influence on Turing. Studies in History and Philosophy of Science, 42:544-551, 2011.

[TUR3b] Are computers conscious?—Panpsychism with Noam Chomsky | Theories of Everything. *Mentioning the ancient "Turing Test" by Descartes.* [YouTube video](#), 2022.

[UDRL1] J. Schmidhuber. Reinforcement Learning Upside Down: Don't Predict Rewards—Just Map Them to Actions. Preprint [arXiv/1912.02875](#), 5 Dec 2019.

[UDRL2] R. K. Srivastava, P. Shyam, F. Mutz, W. Jaskowski, J. Schmidhuber. Training Agents using Upside-Down Reinforcement Learning. Preprint [arXiv/1912.02877](#), 5 Dec 2019.

[ULTRA] References on the 1991 [unnormalized linear Transformer](#) (ULTRA): original tech report (March 1991) [\[FWP0\]](#). Journal publication (1992) [\[FWP1\]](#). Recurrent ULTRA extension (1993) introducing the terminology of learning "internal spotlights of attention" [\[FWP2\]](#). Modern "quadratic" Transformer (2017: "*attention is all you need*") scaling *quadratically* in input size [\[TR1\]](#). 2020 paper [\[TR5\]](#) using the terminology "*linear Transformer*" for a more efficient Transformer variant that scales *linearly*, leveraging *linearized attention* [\[TR5a\]](#). 2021 paper [\[FWP6\]](#) pointing out that ULTRA dates back to 1991 [\[FWP0\]](#) when compute was a million times more expensive. Overview of ULTRA and other Fast Weight Programmers (2021) [\[FWP\]](#). See the T in ChatGPT! See also surveys [\[DLH\]\[DLP\]](#), [2022 tweet for ULTRA's 30-year anniversary](#), and [2024 tweet](#).

[UN] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2021, updated 2025). [1991: First very deep learning with unsupervised pre-training \(see the P in ChatGPT\). First neural network distillation \(key for DeepSeek\).](#) *Unsupervised hierarchical predictive coding (with self-supervised target generation) finds compact internal representations of sequential data to facilitate downstream deep learning. The hierarchy can be distilled into a single deep neural network (suggesting a simple model of conscious and subconscious information processing).* 1993: solving problems of depth >1000.

[UN0] J. Schmidhuber. Neural sequence chunkers. Technical Report FKI-148-91, Institut für Informatik, Technische Universität München, April 1991. [PDF](#). [Unsupervised/self-supervised pre-training for deep neural networks \(see the P in ChatGPT\) and predictive coding is used in a deep hierarchy of recurrent nets \(RNNs\) to find compact internal representations of long sequences of data, across multiple time scales and levels of abstraction. Each RNN tries to solve the pretext task of predicting its next input, sending only unexpected inputs to the next RNN above. The resulting compressed sequence representations greatly facilitate downstream supervised deep learning such as sequence classification. By 1993, the approach solved problems of depth 1000 \[\\[UN2\\]\]\(#\) \(requiring 1000 subsequent computational stages/layers—the more such stages, the deeper the learning\). A variant collapses the hierarchy into a single deep net. It uses a so-called conscious chunker RNN which attends to unexpected events that surprise a lower-level so-called subconscious automatiser RNN. The chunker learns to understand the surprising events by predicting them. The automatiser uses a \[neural knowledge distillation procedure\]\(#\) \(key for the famous 2025 \[DeepSeek\]\(#\)\) to compress and absorb the formerly conscious insights and behaviours of the chunker, thus making them subconscious. The systems of 1991 allowed for much deeper learning than previous methods.](#)

[UN1] J. Schmidhuber. Learning complex, extended sequences using the principle of history compression. Neural Computation, 4(2):234-242, 1992. Based on TR FKI-148-91, TUM, 1991. [\[UN0\] PDF](#). *First working Deep Learner based on a deep RNN hierarchy (with different self-*

organising time scales), overcoming the vanishing gradient problem through unsupervised pre-training of deep NNs (see the *P* in ChatGPT) and predictive coding (with self-supervised target generation). Also: compressing or distilling a teacher net (the chunker) into a student net (the automatizer) that does not forget its old skills—such approaches are now widely used, e.g., by [DeepSeek](#). See also this [tweet](#). [More](#).

[UN2] J. Schmidhuber. Habilitation thesis, TUM, 1993. [PDF](#). *An ancient experiment on "Very Deep Learning" with credit assignment across 1200 time steps or virtual layers and unsupervised / self-supervised pre-training for a stack of recurrent NN can be found here* (depth > 1000).

[UN3] J. Schmidhuber, M. C. Mozer, and D. Prelinger. [Continuous history compression](#). In H. Hüning, S. Neuhauser, M. Raus, and W. Ritschel, editors, *Proc. of Intl. Workshop on Neural Networks, RWTH Aachen*, pages 87-95. Augustinus, 1993.

[UN4] G. E. Hinton, R. R. Salakhutdinov. Reducing the dimensionality of data with neural networks. *Science*, Vol. 313. no. 5786, pp. 504—507, 2006. [PDF](#). *This work describes unsupervised layer-wise pre-training of stacks of feedforward NNs (FNNs) called Deep Belief Networks (DBNs). However, this work neither cited the original layer-wise training of deep NNs by Ivakhnenko & Lapa,<sup>[DEEP1-2][DL2][DLH]</sup> nor the 1991 unsupervised pre-training of stacks of more general recurrent NNs (RNNs)<sup>[UN0-2][DLP]</sup> which introduced the first NNs shown to solve very deep problems. The 2006 justification of the authors was essentially the one Schmidhuber used for the 1991 RNN stack: each higher level tries to reduce the description length (or negative log probability) of the data representation in the level below.<sup>[HIN][T22][MIR][DLP]</sup> This can greatly facilitate very deep downstream learning.<sup>[UN0-2]</sup>*

[UN5] Y. Bengio, P. Lamblin, D. Popovici, H. Larochelle. Greedy layer-wise training of deep networks. *Proc. NIPS 06*, pages 153-160, Dec. 2006. *The comment under reference<sup>[UN4]</sup> applies here as well.*

[UNI] [Theory of Universal Learning Machines & Universal AI](#). Work of Marcus Hutter (in the early 2000s) on J. Schmidhuber's SNF project 20-61847: Unification of universal induction and sequential decision theory.

[URQ10] A. Urquhart. Von Neumann, Gödel and complexity theory. *Bulletin of Symbolic Logic* 16.4 (2010): 516-530. [Link](#).

[VAL84] L. G. Valiant. A theory of the learnable. *Communications of the ACM* 27.11 (1984):1134-1142, 1984.

[VAN1] S. Hochreiter. Untersuchungen zu dynamischen neuronalen Netzen. Diploma thesis, TUM, 1991 (advisor [J. Schmidhuber](#)). [PDF](#). [More on the Fundamental Deep Learning Problem](#).

[VAN2] Y. Bengio, P. Simard, P. Frasconi. Learning long-term dependencies with gradient descent is difficult. *IEEE TNN* 5(2), p 157-166, 1994. *Results are essentially identical to those of Schmidhuber's diploma student Hochreiter (1991).<sup>[VAN1]</sup> Even after a common publication,<sup>[VAN3]</sup> the first author of [\[VAN2\]](#) published papers<sup>[VAN4-5]</sup> that cited only their own [\[VAN2\]](#) but not the original work [\[DLP\]](#).*

- [VAN3] S. Hochreiter, Y. Bengio, P. Frasconi, J. Schmidhuber. Gradient flow in recurrent nets: the difficulty of learning long-term dependencies. In S. C. Kremer and J. F. Kolen, eds., A Field Guide to Dynamical Recurrent Neural Networks. IEEE press, 2001. [PDF](#).
- [VAN4] Y. Bengio. Neural net language models. Scholarpedia, 3(1):3881, 2008. [Link](#).
- [VAR13] M. Y. Vardi (2013). Who begat computing? Communications of the ACM, Vol. 56(1):5, Jan 2013. [Link](#).
- [VID1] G. Hinton. The Next Generation of Neural Networks. [Youtube video](#) [see 28:16]. GoogleTechTalk, 2007. *Quote: "Nobody in their right mind would ever suggest" to use plain backpropagation for training deep networks. However, in 2010, Schmidhuber's team in Switzerland showed<sup>[MLP1-3]</sup> that [unsupervised pre-training is not necessary to train deep NNs](#).*
- [W45] G. H. Wannier (1945). The Statistical Problem in Cooperative Phenomena. Rev. Mod. Phys. 17, 50.
- [WER87] P. J. Werbos. Building and understanding adaptive systems: A statistical/numerical approach to factory automation and brain research. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, 17, 1987.
- [WER89] P. J. Werbos. Backpropagation and neurocontrol: A review and prospectus. In IEEE/INNS International Joint Conference on Neural Networks, Washington, D.C., volume 1, pages 209-216, 1989.
- [WHO3] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2025). [Who invented the transistor?](#) Based on [\[LIL4\]](#).
- [WHO4] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2025). [Who invented artificial neural networks?](#) Technical Note IDSIA-15-25, IDSIA, Nov 2025.
- [WHO5] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2025). [Who invented deep learning?](#) Technical Note IDSIA-16-25, IDSIA, Nov 2025.
- [WHO6] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2014; updated 2025). [Who invented backpropagation?](#) See also [LinkedIn post](#).
- [WHO7] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2025). [Who invented convolutional neural networks?](#) Technical Note IDSIA-17-25, IDSIA, 2025. See [popular tweet](#).
- [WHO8] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2025). [Who Invented Generative Adversarial Networks?](#) Technical Note IDSIA-14-25, IDSIA, Dec 2025.
- [WHO9] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2025). [Who invented knowledge distillation with artificial neural networks?](#) Technical Note IDSIA-12-25, IDSIA, Nov 2025.
- [WHO10] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2025). [Who Invented Transformer Neural Networks?](#) Technical Note IDSIA-11-25, Nov 2025.



[WHO11] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2025). [Who Invented Deep Residual Learning?](#) Technical Report IDSIA-09-25, IDSIA, 2025. Preprint [arXiv:2509.24732](#).

[WI48] N. Wiener (1948). Time, communication, and the nervous system. Teleological mechanisms. *Annals of the N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 50 (4): 197-219. *Quote: "... the general idea of a computing machine is nothing but a mechanization of Leibniz's calculus ratiocinator."*

[WID62] Widrow, B. and Hoff, M. (1962). Associative storage and retrieval of digital information in networks of adaptive neurons. *Biological Prototypes and Synthetic Systems*, 1:160, 1962.

[WU] Y. Wu et al. Google's Neural Machine Translation System: Bridging the Gap between Human and Machine Translation. Preprint [arXiv:1609.08144](#) (PDF), 2016. *Based on LSTM which it mentions at least 50 times.*

[XAV] X. Glorot, Y. Bengio. Understanding the difficulty of training deep feedforward neural networks. *Proc. 13th Intl. Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, PMLR 9:249-256, 2010.

[YB20] Y. Bengio. Notable Past Research. [WWW link](#) (retrieved 15 May 2020). [Local copy](#) (plain HTML only). *The author claims that in 1995 he "introduced the use of a hierarchy of time scales to combat the vanishing gradients issue" although Schmidhuber's publications on exactly this topic date back to 1991-93.*<sup>[UN0-2][UN]</sup> *The author also writes that in 1999 he "introduced, for the first time, auto-regressive neural networks for density estimation" although Schmidhuber & Heil used a very similar set-up for text compression already in 1995.*<sup>[SNT]</sup>

[ZU36] K. Zuse (1936). Verfahren zur selbsttätigen Durchführung von Rechnungen mit Hilfe von Rechenmaschinen. Patent application Z 23 139 / GMD Nr. 005/021, 1936. *First patent application describing a general, practical, program-controlled computer.*

[ZU37] K. Zuse (1937). Einführung in die allgemeine Dyadik. *Mentions the storage of program instructions in the computer's memory.*

[ZU38] K. Zuse (1938). Diary entry of 4 June 1938. *Description of computer architectures that put both program instructions and data into storage—compare the later "von Neumann" architecture* [\[NEU45\]](#).

[ZU48] K. Zuse (1948). Über den Plankalkül als Mittel zur Formulierung schematisch kombinativer Aufgaben. *Archiv der Mathematik* 1(6), 441-449 (1948). [PDF](#). *Apparently the first practical design of an automatic theorem prover (based on Zuse's high-level programming language Plankalkül).*

[ZUS21] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2021). 80th anniversary celebrations: [1941: Konrad Zuse completes the first working general computer, based on his 1936 patent application.](#)

[ZUS21a] J. Schmidhuber ([AI Blog](#), 2021). 80. Jahrestag: [1941: Konrad Zuse baut ersten funktionalen Allzweckrechner, basierend auf der Patentanmeldung von 1936.](#)

[ZUS21b] J. Schmidhuber (2021). Der Mann, der den Computer erfunden hat. (The man who invented the computer.) [Weltwoche](#), Nr. 33.21, 19 August 2021. [PDF](#).