

MODULAR ORTHOGONAL YANGIANS

HAO CHANG and HONGMEI HU*

ABSTRACT. We study the (extended) orthogonal Yangians associated to the Lie algebras types B and D over a field of positive characteristic. We define the p -center for the Yangians and obtain an explicit description of the center in terms of Drinfeld generators, showing that the center is generated by its Harish-Chandra center together with a large p -center.

1. INTRODUCTION

For each simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over the field of complex numbers, the corresponding Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{g})$ was defined by Drinfeld in [D1] as a canonical deformation of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}[x])$ for the *current Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}[x]$. In [D2], Drinfeld gave a new presentation which is now often referred to as the *Drinfeld presentation*. The Yangian Y_n associated to the general Lie algebra \mathfrak{gl}_n was earlier considered in the work of mathematical physicists from St. Petersburg [TF]. It is an associative algebra whose defining relations can be written in a specific matrix form, which is called the *RTT relation*; see e.g. [MNO]. In [AACFR](see also [AMR]), the RTT-presentation of the Yangian associated with B, C or D Lie algebras was studied. Regarding for related topics and further applications of Yangians, the reader is referred to [Mol1] and the references cited therein.

An explicit isomorphism between the RTT and Drinfeld presentations of the Yangian Y_n is constructed with the use of the *Gauss decomposition* (or *quasideterminants*) of the generator matrix. Complete proofs were given by Brundan and Kleshchev [BK], see also [Mol1, Chapter 3]. The same approach for Yangians of type BCD is more challenging and was accomplished by Jing, Liu and Molev [JLM], while a different method to establish isomorphisms was developed in [GRW].

In [BT], Brundan and Topley developed the theory of the Yangian Y_n over a field of positive characteristic. One of the key features which differs from characteristic zero is the existence of a large central subalgebra $Z_p(Y_n)$, called the *p-center*. Very recently, the first author jointly with Hu and Topley [CHL] studied the modular representations of the Yangian Y_2 . Using the p -center, they classified the finite-dimensional irreducible modules for the *restricted Yangian* $Y_2^{[p]}$. This leads to a description of the certain non-restricted representations of the higher rank general linear Lie algebras. From this one needs precise information about the structure of the center of the Yangian in positive characteristic.

Our goal in this paper is to give a description of the center $Z(Y(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ of the modular orthogonal Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{o}_N)$. Let us shortly explain our approach. Actually, we will mainly work with the *extended orthogonal Yangian* $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$. We first define it over an algebraically closed field \Bbbk of positive characteristic to be the associative algebra by the usual RTT-relation ((3.2)). Our

Date: June 3, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 17B37, Secondary 17B50.

* Corresponding author.

main methods are similar strategy to [BT], see also [CH] for the type A super Yangians. To describe the center $Z(X(\mathfrak{o}_N))$, it was easier to work with the Drinfeld presentation rather than the RTT-presentation of $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$. Under the assumption the characteristic $\text{char } \mathbb{k} =: p > 2$, it was observed by Brundan-Topley ([BT, Theorem 4.3]) that the Drinfeld presentation of the modular Yangian Y_n is exactly the same as the one obtained by Brundan-Kleshchev over the complex field (see [BK, Theorem 5.2]). It remains to be true in our setting, we obtain the Drinfeld presentation of the modular orthogonal Yangians by using the results obtained by Jing-Liu-Molev [JLM] (see Theorem 3.1). However, the usual Serre relations ((3.26)-(3.27)) are inadequate for our purposes, particularly when $p = 3$, we need to derive the cubic Serre relations (Lemma 3.5). Moreover, as the Drinfeld presentation involves more relations, the calculations become more complicated than that in [BT, CH]. We need to put extra effort to obtain more formulae (Lemma 3.8) for getting the central elements.

Next, we take advantage of the *transposition* and *permutation* automorphisms of $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$. These automorphisms reduces the verification of all the relations to checking the simple generating elements, so that we may derive various relations by direct computations. Notice that the root system contains roots of two distinct lengths for type B Lie algebras, we must deal with them separately (see Section 3.5). Finally we show that we have found enough generating elements for the center $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$.

In characteristic zero, the center $Z(X(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ was determined in [AACFR, AMR]. It still makes sense when $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = p > 0$. We call it the *Harish-Chandra center* of $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$ and denote it by $Z_{\text{HC}}(X(\mathfrak{o}_N))$. In positive characteristic, the orthogonal current Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}_N[t]$ admits a natural structure of *restricted Lie algebra* with the p -map $\mathfrak{o}_N[t] \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_N[t]$ sending $x \mapsto x^{[p]}$. More precisely, it is a restricted subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_N[t]$. Then the p -map is defined on a basis of $\mathfrak{o}_N[t]$ by the role $(F_{i,j}t^r)^{[p]} = \delta_{i,j}F_{i,j}t^{rp}$ (see Section 2). By general theory (cf. [Jan, Section 2.3]), the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$ has a large p -center $Z_p(U(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]))$ generated by the elements

$$\{(F_{i,j}t^r)^p - \delta_{i,j}F_{i,j}t^{rp}; 1 \leq i, j \leq N, i + j < N + 1, r \geq 0\}.$$

In Section 2, we determine the center of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$. It is natural to look for lifts of the p -central elements in $Z(X(\mathfrak{o}_N))$. Using the relations established in Section 3, we investigate the p -central elements. We will give a description of the p -center $Z_p(X(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ and obtain the precise formulas for the generators. It is shown in Section 4 that the generators of $Z_p(X(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ provide the lifts of generators for $Z_p(U(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]))$. With this information in hand, we show in particular that the center $Z(X(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ is generated by $Z_{\text{HC}}(X(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ and $Z_p(X(\mathfrak{o}_N))$. We also determine the center for the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{o}_N)$. It would also be interesting to generalize the results in this article to the modular Yangians of type C , but it requires more laborious calculations and some new techniques.

Throughout this paper, \mathbb{k} denotes an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\text{char}(\mathbb{k}) =: p > 2$.

2. THE ORTHOGONAL CURRENT LIE ALGEBRA AND p -CENTER

Define the orthogonal Lie algebras \mathfrak{o}_N with $N = 2n + 1$ and $N = 2n$ (corresponding to types B and D , respectively) as subalgebras of \mathfrak{gl}_N spanned by all elements $F_{i,j}$

$$F_{i,j} := E_{i,j} - E_{j',i'}, \quad (2.1)$$

where the elements $\{E_{i,j}; i, j = 1, \dots, N\}$ denote the standard basis of \mathfrak{gl}_N . Here we use the notation $i' = N + 1 - i$. It is easy to verify that the elements $F_{i,j}$ satisfy the relations

$$[F_{i,j}, F_{k,l}] = \delta_{k,j}F_{i,l} - \delta_{i,l}F_{k,j} - \delta_{k,i'}F_{j',l} + \delta_{l,j'}F_{k,i'}.$$

The *current algebra* is the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}_N[t] := \mathfrak{o}_N \otimes \mathbb{k}[t]$. When $x \in \mathfrak{o}_N$ and $f \in \mathbb{k}[t]$ we usually abbreviate $x \otimes f = xf \in \mathfrak{o}_N[t]$. As a vector space, $\mathfrak{o}_N[t]$ is spanned by elements $\{F_{i,j}t^r; 1 \leq i, j \leq N, r \geq 0\}$, and the Lie bracket is given by

$$[F_{i,j}t^r, F_{k,l}t^s] = (\delta_{k,j}F_{i,l} - \delta_{i,l}F_{k,j} - \delta_{k,i'}F_{j',l} + \delta_{l,j'}F_{k,i'})t^{r+s}. \quad (2.2)$$

We observe that $F_{i,j} + F_{j',i'} = 0$, so that the elements $F_{i,j}t^r$ with $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $i + j < N + 1$ make a basis of $\mathfrak{o}_N[t]$.

It is well-known that \mathfrak{o}_N is a restricted simple Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{gl}_N (cf. [Hum, Section 0.13]). Moreover, the current algebra $\mathfrak{o}_N[t]$ is a restricted Lie algebra with p -map defined on the basis by the rule $(xt^r)^{[p]} := x^{[p]}t^{rp}$ where $x^{[p]}$ denotes the p th matrix power of $x \in \mathfrak{o}_N$ (see [BT, Lemma 3.3]). In particular, one can show by direct computation that $(F_{i,j}t^r)^{[p]} = \delta_{i,j}F_{i,j}t^{rp}$.

Let $Z(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]) := Z(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]))$ be the center of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$. Using the restricted structure, we can define the p -center $Z_p(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$ of $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$ to be the subalgebra of $Z(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$ generated by $x^p - x^{[p]}$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{o}_N[t]$. Since the map $x \mapsto x^p - x^{[p]}$ is p -semilinear, we have that

$$Z_p(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]) = \mathbb{k}[(F_{i,j}t^r)^p - \delta_{i,j}F_{i,j}t^{rp}; 1 \leq i, j \leq N, i + j < N + 1, r \geq 0] \quad (2.3)$$

as a free polynomial algebra.

The following result is adapted from [BT, Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.4]. We provide a proof here which is slightly different in the type B case.

Theorem 2.1. *We have that the center $Z(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$ of $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$ is equal to the p -center $Z_p(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$. In particular, $Z(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$ is freely generated by*

$$\{(F_{i,j}t^r)^p - \delta_{i,j}F_{i,j}t^{rp}; 1 \leq i, j \leq N, i + j < N + 1, r \geq 0\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. Define a filtration on $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$ by placing $F_{i,j}t^r$ in degree $r + 1$. Then the associated graded algebra $\text{gr } \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$ is isomorphic (both as a graded algebra and as a graded $\mathfrak{o}_N[t]$ -module) to the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$. The adjoint action of $\mathfrak{o}_N[t]$ on itself extends to actions of $\mathfrak{o}_N[t]$ on $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$ and $S(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$ by derivations. The invariant subalgebras are denoted by $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])^{\mathfrak{o}_N[t]}$ and $S(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])^{\mathfrak{o}_N[t]}$, respectively. It is clear that

$$\text{gr } Z(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]) \subseteq S(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])^{\mathfrak{o}_N[t]} \quad (2.5)$$

We first prove the claim

$$(*) \quad S(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])^{\mathfrak{o}_N[t]} \text{ is freely generated by } \{(F_{i,j}t^r)^p; 1 \leq i, j \leq N, i + j < N + 1, r \geq 0\}.$$

Note that all the elements in the set $\{(F_{i,j}t^r)^p; 1 \leq i, j \leq N, i + j < N + 1, r \geq 0\}$ belong to $S(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])^{\mathfrak{o}_N[t]}$ and let $I(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$ be the subalgebra of $S(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])^{\mathfrak{o}_N[t]}$ generated by them. Let

$$B := \{(i, j, r); 1 \leq i, j \leq N, i + j < N + 1, r \geq 0\}$$

for short. It follows that

$$S(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]) = \mathbb{k}[F_{i,j}t^r; (i, j, r) \in B] \text{ and } I(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]) = \mathbb{k}[(F_{i,j}t^r)^p; (i, j, r) \in B].$$

Hence, $S(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$ is free as an $I(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$ -module with basis $\{\Pi_{(i,j,r) \in B} (F_{i,j}t^r)^{\omega(i,j,r)}; \omega \in \Omega\}$, where

$$\Omega := \left\{ \omega : B \rightarrow \mathbb{N}; \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq \omega(i, j, r) < p, \forall (i, j, r) \in B, \\ \omega(i, j, r) = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } (i, j, r) \in B \end{array} \right\}.$$

Now, we must show that $S(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])^{\mathfrak{o}_N[t]} \subseteq I(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$. Given $f \in S(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])^{\mathfrak{o}_N[t]}$, we thus write

$$f = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} c_\omega \prod_{(i,j,r) \in B} (F_{i,j}t^r)^{\omega(i,j,r)}$$

for $c_\omega \in I(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$, all but finitely many of which are zero. Also fix a non-zero function ω , we have to prove that $c_\omega = 0$.

Suppose first that $\omega(i, j, r) > 0$ for some $(i, j, r) \in B$ with $i \neq j$. Choose $s \in \mathbb{N}$ that is bigger than all h such that $\omega(k, l, h) > 0$ for $(k, l, h) \in B$. If $i \neq i'$, then we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}(F_{i,i}t^s)(f) &= \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} c_\omega \sum_{\substack{(k,l,h) \in B \\ \omega(k,l,h) > 0}} \omega(k, l, h) (F_{k,l}t^h)^{\omega(k,l,h)-1} [F_{i,i}t^s, F_{k,l}t^h] \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\substack{(k'',l'',h'') \in B \\ (k'',l'',h'') \neq (k,l,h)}} (F_{k'',l''}t^{h''})^{\omega(k'',l'',h'')}, \end{aligned}$$

The choice of s in conjunction with $[F_{i,i}t^s, F_{i,j}t^r] = F_{i,j}t^{s+r}$ implies that the coefficient of

$$(F_{i,j}t^r)^{\omega(i,j,r)-1} F_{i,j}t^{r+s} \prod_{\substack{(k'',l'',h'') \in B \\ (k'',l'',h'') \neq (i,j,r)}} (F_{k'',l''}t^{h''})^{\omega(k'',l'',h'')}$$

in this expression is $c_\omega \omega(i, j, r)$. It must be zero because $f \in S(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])^{\mathfrak{o}_N[t]}$. Since $0 < \omega(i, j, r) < p$, we conclude that $c_\omega = 0$. If $i = i'$, then we consider the coefficient of the same term in $\text{ad}(F_{j,j}t^s)(f)$ for s as before. By the same token, we can treat the case that $\omega(j, j, r) > 0$ for some $(j, j, r) \in B$. Hence the claim $(*)$ is proved.

Since the degree of the element $(F_{i,j}t^r)^p - \delta_{i,j}F_{i,j}t^{rp} \in \text{U}(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$ is $rp + p$, we have

$$\text{gr}_{rp+p} ((F_{i,j}t^r)^p - \delta_{i,j}F_{i,j}t^{rp}) = (F_{i,j}t^r)^p \in S(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]).$$

The foregoing observation implies that the elements (2.4) are lifts of the algebraically independent generators of $S(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])^{\mathfrak{o}_N[t]}$. We obtain $S(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])^{\mathfrak{o}_N[t]} \subseteq \text{gr } Z_p(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$. Owing to (2.5), we also have $\text{gr } Z_p(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]) \subseteq \text{gr } Z(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]) \subseteq S(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])^{\mathfrak{o}_N[t]}$. Consequently, $\text{gr } Z(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]) = \text{gr } Z_p(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]) = S(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])^{\mathfrak{o}_N[t]}$. This yields $Z(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]) = Z_p(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$. \square

3. ORTHOGONAL YANGIANS

3.1. The RTT generators. Following [AACFR], we define the *extended Yangian* $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$ as an associative algebra over \mathbb{k} with *RTT generators* $\{t_{i,j}^{(r)}; 1 \leq i, j \leq N, r \geq 1\}$ satisfying certain quadratic relations. In order to write them down, introduce the formal series

$$t_{i,j}(u) := \delta_{i,j} + \sum_{r \geq 1} t_{i,j}^{(r)} u^{-r} \in X(\mathfrak{o}_N)[[u^{-1}]] \tag{3.1}$$

and combine them into the matrix $T(u) := (t_{i,j}(u))_{1 \leq i,j \leq N}$. In terms of these series, the defining relations for the algebra $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$ are written as

$$\begin{aligned} [t_{i,j}(u), t_{k,l}(v)] &= \frac{1}{u-v} (t_{k,j}(u)t_{i,l}(v) - t_{k,j}(v)t_{i,l}(u)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{u-v-\kappa} (\delta_{k,i'} \sum_{q=1}^N t_{q,j}(u)t_{q',l}(v) - \delta_{l,j'} \sum_{q=1}^N t_{k,q'}(v)t_{i,q}(u)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where we set $\kappa := N/2 - 1$.

It was shown in [AACFR] (see also [AMR]) that the product $T(u-\kappa)T^t(u)$ is a scalar matrix with

$$T(u-\kappa)T^t(u) = c(u)1, \quad (3.3)$$

where $c(u) := 1 + \sum_{r \geq 1} c^{(r)} u^{-r}$ is a series in u^{-1} and $T^t(u)$ denotes the matrix transposition defined by $T^t(u)_{i,j} = t_{j',i'}(u)$. All its coefficients belong to the center $Z(X(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ of $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$. The algebra generated by the coefficients $\{c^{(r)}; r > 0\}$ will be denoted $Z_{\text{HC}}(X(\mathfrak{o}_N))$. We call it the *Harish-Chandra center* of $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$.

3.2. The PBW theorem. Introduce an ascending filtration on the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$ by setting $\deg t_{i,j}^{(r)} = r - 1$ for all $r \geq 1$. Denote by $\text{gr}_{r-1} t_{i,j}^{(r)}$ the images of the elements $t_{i,j}^{(r)}$ in the $(r-1)$ -th component of the associated graded algebra $\text{gr } X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$. By the PBW theorem ([AMR, Corollary 3.10]), the mapping

$$\text{gr}_{r-1} t_{i,j}^{(r)} \mapsto F_{i,j} t^{r-1} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{i,j} \zeta_r \quad (3.4)$$

defines an isomorphism

$$\text{gr } X(\mathfrak{o}_N) \cong U(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]) \otimes \mathbb{k}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots],$$

where $\mathbb{k}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots]$ is the algebra of polynomials in indeterminants ζ_r and ζ_r is the image of $\text{gr}_{r-1} c^{(r)}$.

3.3. Gaussian generators. Apply the Gauss decomposition to the generator matrix $T(u)$ associated with the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$,

$$T(u) = F(u)H(u)E(u), \quad (3.5)$$

where $F(u)$, $H(u)$ and $E(u)$ are uniquely determined matrices of the form

$$H(u) = \begin{pmatrix} h_1(u) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & h_2(u) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & h_N(u) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$E(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e_{1,2}(u) & \cdots & e_{1,N}(u) \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & e_{2,N}(u) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ f_{2,1}(u) & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{N,1}(u) & f_{N,2}(u) & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In terms of quasideterminants of [GR] (see also [Mol1, Section 1.11]), we have the following descriptions (cf. [JLM, Section 4]):

$$h_i(u) = \begin{vmatrix} t_{1,1}(u) & \cdots & t_{1,i-1}(u) & t_{1,i}(u) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ t_{i-1,1}(u) & \cdots & t_{i-1,i-1}(u) & t_{i-1,i}(u) \\ t_{i,1}(u) & \cdots & t_{i,i-1}(u) & \boxed{t_{i,i}(u)} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (3.6)$$

whereas

$$e_{i,j}(u) = h_i(u)^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} t_{1,1}(u) & \cdots & t_{1,i-1}(u) & t_{1,j}(u) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ t_{i-1,1}(u) & \cdots & t_{i-1,i-1}(u) & t_{i-1,j}(u) \\ t_{i,1}(u) & \cdots & t_{i,i-1}(u) & \boxed{t_{i,j}(u)} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$f_{j,i}(u) = \begin{vmatrix} t_{1,1}(u) & \cdots & t_{1,i-1}(u) & t_{1,i}(u) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ t_{i-1,1}(u) & \cdots & t_{i-1,i-1}(u) & t_{i-1,i}(u) \\ t_{j,1}(u) & \cdots & t_{j,i-1}(u) & \boxed{t_{j,i}(u)} \end{vmatrix} h_i(u)^{-1}. \quad (3.8)$$

We use the following notation for the coefficients:

$$h_i(u) = \sum_{r \geq 0} h_i^{(r)} u^{-r}, \quad \tilde{h}_i(u) = \sum_{r \geq 0} \tilde{h}_i^{(r)} u^{-r} := h_i(u)^{-1};$$

$$e_{i,j}(u) = \sum_{r \geq 1} e_{i,j}^{(r)} u^{-r}, \quad f_{j,i}(u) = \sum_{r \geq 1} f_{j,i}^{(r)} u^{-r}.$$

Furthermore, set

$$k_i(u) := \tilde{h}_i(u)h_{i+1}(u), \quad e_i(u) := e_{i,i+1}(u), \quad f_i(u) := f_{i+1,i}(u), \quad (3.9)$$

with $i = 1, \dots, n$ for type B and with $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ for type D . In the latter case we also set

$$k_n(u) := \tilde{h}_{n-1}(u)h_{n+1}(u) \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$e_n(u) := e_{n-1,n+1}(u), \quad f_n(u) := f_{n+1,n-1}(u). \quad (3.11)$$

We will also use the coefficients of the series defined by

$$e_i(u) = \sum_{r \geq 1} e_i^{(r)} u^{-r} \text{ and } f_i(u) = \sum_{r \geq 1} f_i^{(r)} u^{-r}. \quad (3.12)$$

3.4. Drinfeld presentation of the extended Yangian. We will give the modular analogue of [JLM, Theorem 5.14]. To state the result, we will assume that the simple roots of \mathfrak{o}_N are $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ with $\alpha_i := \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, and

$$\alpha_n := \begin{cases} \epsilon_n & \text{for } N = 2n+1, \\ \epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n & \text{for } N = 2n, \end{cases}$$

where $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n$ is an orthogonal basis of a vector space with the bilinear form such that $(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_i) = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. The associated Cartan matrix $C = (c_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^n$ is defined by $c_{i,j} := (\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ for series D , and by

$$c_{i,j} := \begin{cases} (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) & \text{if } i < n, \\ 2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) & \text{if } i = n, \end{cases}$$

for series B . We will use the series introduced in (3.9)-(3.11) along with

$$e_i^\circ(u) := \sum_{r>1} e_i^{(r)} u^{-r} \quad \text{and} \quad f_i^\circ(u) := \sum_{r>1} f_i^{(r)} u^{-r}.$$

Theorem 3.1. *The extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$ is generated by the coefficients of the series $h_i(u)$ with $i = 1, \dots, n+1$, and the series $e_i(u)$ and $f_i(u)$ with $i = 1, \dots, n$, subject only to the following relations, where the indices take all admissible values unless specified otherwise. We have*

$$[h_i(u), h_j(v)] = 0, \quad (3.13)$$

$$[e_i(u), f_j(v)] = \delta_{i,j} \frac{k_i(u) - k_i(v)}{u - v}. \quad (3.14)$$

For $i \leq n$ and all j , and for $i = n+1$ and $j \leq n-2$ we have

$$[h_i(u), e_j(v)] = -(\epsilon_i, \alpha_j) \frac{h_i(u)(e_j(u) - e_j(v))}{u - v}, \quad (3.15)$$

$$[h_i(u), f_j(v)] = (\epsilon_i, \alpha_j) \frac{(f_j(u) - f_j(v))h_i(u)}{u - v}, \quad (3.16)$$

where $\epsilon_{n+1} = 0$. For $N = 2n+1$, we have

$$[h_{n+1}(u), e_{n-1}(v)] = 0 = [h_{n+1}(u), f_{n-1}(v)], \quad (3.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [h_{n+1}(u), e_n(v)] &= \frac{1}{2(u - v)} h_{n+1}(u)(e_n(u) - e_n(v)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2(u - v - 1)} (e_n(u - 1) - e_n(v)) h_{n+1}(u) \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [h_{n+1}(u), f_n(v)] &= -\frac{1}{2(u - v)} (f_n(u) - f_n(v)) h_{n+1}(u) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2(u - v - 1)} h_{n+1}(u) (f_n(u - 1) - f_n(v)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

whereas for $N = 2n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} [h_{n+1}(u), e_{n-1}(v)] &= \frac{h_{n+1}(u)(e_{n-1}(v) - e_{n-1}(u))}{u - v}, \\ [h_{n+1}(u), f_{n-1}(v)] &= -\frac{(f_{n-1}(v) - f_{n-1}(u))h_{n+1}(u)}{u - v} \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [h_{n+1}(u), e_n(v)] &= \frac{h_{n+1}(u)(e_n(u) - e_n(v))}{u - v}, \\ [h_{n+1}(u), f_n(v)] &= -\frac{(f_n(u) - f_n(v))h_{n+1}(u)}{u - v}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Moreover,

$$[e_i(u), e_i(v)] = \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2} \frac{(e_i(u) - e_i(v))^2}{u - v}, \quad (3.22)$$

$$[f_i(u), f_i(v)] = -\frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2} \frac{(f_i(u) - f_i(v))^2}{u - v}, \quad (3.23)$$

and for $i < j$ we have

$$u[e_i^\circ(u), e_j(v)] - v[e_i(u), e_j^\circ(v)] = -(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)e_i(u)e_j(v), \quad (3.24)$$

$$u[f_i^\circ(u), f_j(v)] - v[f_i(u), f_j^\circ(v)] = (\alpha_i, \alpha_j)f_i(v)f_j(u). \quad (3.25)$$

We have the Serre relations

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k} [e_i(u_{\sigma(1)}), [e_i(u_{\sigma(2)}), \dots, [e_i(u_{\sigma(k)}), e_j(v)] \dots]] = 0, \quad (3.26)$$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k} [f_i(u_{\sigma(1)}), [f_i(u_{\sigma(2)}), \dots, [f_i(u_{\sigma(k)}), f_j(v)] \dots]] = 0, \quad (3.27)$$

for $i \neq j$ with $k = 1 + |c_{ij}|$.

Proof. The proof in [JLM, Theorem 5.14] works perfectly in positive characteristic, see also the proof of [Mol2, Theorem 6.1]. \square

Remark 3.2. (1) We note that the relations (5.47), (5.56) and (5.57) in [JLM, Theorem 5.14] contain some typos, as corrected in [Mol2, Page 487].

(2) We identify $\text{gr } X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$ and $U(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]) \otimes \mathbb{k}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots]$ via the isomorphism (3.4). The proof in [JLM, Theorem 5.14] shows moreover that the images of the elements $e_{i,j}^{(r+1)}$, $f_{j,i}^{(r+1)}$ and $h_i^{(r+1)}$ all belong to the r -th component of $\text{gr } X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$, and under our identification we have that

$$F_{i,j}t^r + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{i,j}\zeta_{r+1} = \begin{cases} \text{gr}_r e_{i,j}^{(r+1)} & \text{if } i < j < i', \\ \text{gr}_r f_{i,j}^{(r+1)} & \text{if } j < i < j', \\ \text{gr}_r h_i^{(r+1)} & \text{if } 1 \leq i = j \leq n+1. \end{cases} \quad (3.28)$$

Recall that $F_{i,i} + F_{i',i'} = 0$. Then (3.28) readily implies that¹

$$\text{gr}_r h_{n+1}^{(r+1)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\zeta_{r+1} & \text{for } N = 2n+1, \\ -F_{n,n}t^r + \frac{1}{2}\zeta_{r+1} & \text{for } N = 2n. \end{cases} \quad (3.29)$$

Lemma 3.3. In the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1})$ we have

$$[e_{n-1,n+1}(u), e_n(v)] = e_n(v)[e_{n-1}(u), e_n(v)]. \quad (3.30)$$

¹The corrects the formula for type D in [JLM, Section 5.5], see also the footnote in [Mol2, Page 489].

Proof. By (3.24) (see also [JLM, (5.28)]) one obtains

$$[e_{n-1}(u), e_n(v)] = \frac{1}{u-v} (e_{n-1,n+1}(v) - e_{n-1,n+1}(u) - e_{n-1}(v)e_n(v) + e_{n-1}(u)e_n(v)). \quad (3.31)$$

Moreover, we apply [JLM, Lemma 4.3] to see that

$$[e_{n-1,n+1}(u), h_n(v)] = \frac{1}{u-v} h_n(v) e_n(v) (e_{n-1}(v) - e_{n-1}(u))$$

and

$$[e_{n-1,n+1}(u), h_n(v) e_n(v)] = \frac{1}{u-v} h_n(v) e_n(v) (e_{n-1,n+1}(v) - e_{n-1,n+1}(u)).$$

Then (3.31) yields

$$\begin{aligned} [e_{n-1,n+1}(u), e_n(v)] &= \frac{1}{u-v} (e_n(v)(e_{n-1,n+1}(v) - e_{n-1,n+1}(u)) - e_n(v)(e_{n-1}(v) - e_{n-1}(u))e_n(v)) \\ &= e_n(v)[e_{n-1}(u), e_n(v)]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.4. *For all $i \neq j$, we have the relation in the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$:*

$$[e_i(u), [e_i(u), e_j(v)]] = 0 = [f_i(u), [f_i(u), f_j(v)]]. \quad (3.32)$$

Proof. We observe by the Serre relations ((3.26)-(3.27)) that

$$[e_i(u), e_j(v)] = 0 = [f_i(u), f_j(v)] \quad \text{for } c_{i,j} = 0, \quad (3.33)$$

$$[e_i(u), [e_i(u), e_j(v)]] = 0 = [f_i(u), [f_i(u), f_j(v)]] \quad \text{for } c_{i,j} = -1. \quad (3.34)$$

Hence, it remains to show that the following relations hold in the algebra $X(\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1})$:

$$[e_n(u), [e_n(u), e_{n-1}(v)]] = 0 = [f_n(u), [f_n(u), f_{n-1}(v)]]. \quad (3.35)$$

We just prove the first equality and the argument for second one is similar. By (3.31), we have

$$(v-u)[e_n(u), e_{n-1}(v)] = e_{n-1,n+1}(v) - e_{n-1,n+1}(u) - e_{n-1}(v)e_n(u) + e_{n-1}(u)e_n(u).$$

Then the Leibniz rule for $\text{ad } e_n(u)$ in conjunction with (3.30) implies that

$$[e_n(u), [e_n(u), e_{n-1}(u)]] = (1+u-v)[e_n(u), [e_n(u), e_{n-1}(v)]].$$

Now let $v := u+1$ to deduce that the left-hand side is zero, then divide by $1+u-v$ to complete the proof. □

Lemma 3.5. *For all $i \neq j$, we have the following relations in the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$:*

$$[e_i(u), [e_i(v), e_j(w)]] + [e_i(v), [e_i(u), e_j(w)]] = 0, \quad (3.36)$$

$$[f_i(u), [f_i(v), e_j(w)]] + [f_i(v), [f_i(u), f_j(w)]] = 0. \quad (3.37)$$

Proof. As before, we only prove (3.36). Again by the Serre relations, we only need to consider the case that $i = n$ and $j = n-1$ for $N = 2n+1$. It is enough to show that the expression

$$(u-v)(u-w)(v-w)[e_n(u), [e_n(v), e_{n-1}(w)]]$$

is symmetric in u and v . Then the proof, which uses (3.22), (3.31), (3.30) and (3.32) is similar to the proof of [BK, Lemma 5.7]. □

Lemma 3.6. *The following relations hold in the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$:*

$$(u - v)[e_i(u), h_i(v)] = -h_i(v)(e_i(v) - e_i(u)), \quad (3.38)$$

$$(u - v)[e_i(u), h_{i+1}(v)] = h_{i+1}(v)(e_i(v) - e_i(u)), \quad \text{where } i \neq n \text{ for } N = 2n + 1, \quad (3.39)$$

$$(u - v)[e_n(u), h_{n-1}(v)] = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } N = 2n + 1, \\ -h_{n-1}(v)(e_n(v) - e_n(u)), & \text{for } N = 2n, \end{cases} \quad (3.40)$$

$$(u - v)[e_i(u), \tilde{h}_i(v)] = (e_i(v) - e_i(u))\tilde{h}_i(v), \quad (3.41)$$

$$(u - v)[e_i(u), \tilde{h}_{i+1}(v)] = -(e_i(v) - e_i(u))\tilde{h}_{i+1}(v), \quad \text{where } i \neq n \text{ for } N = 2n + 1, \quad (3.42)$$

$$(u - v)[e_n(u), \tilde{h}_{n-1}(v)] = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } N = 2n + 1, \\ (e_n(v) - e_n(u))\tilde{h}_{n-1}(v), & \text{for } N = 2n. \end{cases} \quad (3.43)$$

Proof. Equations (3.38)-(3.40) follow immediately from (3.15) and (3.21). Then (3.41)-(3.43) follow from (3.38)-(3.40) using $h_j(v)\tilde{h}_j(v) = 1$. \square

Corollary 3.7. *The following relations hold in the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$:*

$$e_i(u - (\epsilon_i, \alpha_i))h_i(u) = h_i(u)e_i(u), \quad \tilde{h}_i(u)e_i(u - (\epsilon_i, \alpha_i)) = e_i(u)\tilde{h}_i(u), \quad (3.44)$$

$$e_i(u - (\epsilon_{i+1}, \alpha_i))h_{i+1}(u) = h_{i+1}(u)e_i(u), \quad \tilde{h}_{i+1}(u)e_i(u - (\epsilon_i, \alpha_i)) = e_i(u)\tilde{h}_{i+1}(u), \quad (3.45)$$

where $i \neq n$ in (3.45). For $N = 2n$ we have

$$e_n(u + 1)h_{n+1}(u) = h_{n+1}(u)e_n(u), \quad e_{n-1}(u - 1)h_{n+1}(u) = h_{n+1}(u)e_{n-1}(u), \quad (3.46)$$

Proof. Equations (3.44)-(3.45) follow from (3.38)-(3.39) and (3.41)-(3.42) by specializing v . For example, to get the first relation in (3.44), set $v := u + (\epsilon_i, \alpha_i)$ in (3.38), simplify, then replace u by $u - (\epsilon_i, \alpha_i)$. The relations (3.46) follow from (3.20) and (3.21). \square

Lemma 3.8. *The following relations hold in the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$ for all $m \geq 0$:*

$$(u - v)[e_i(u), (e_i(v) - e_i(u))^m] = \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2}m(e_i(v) - e_i(u))^{m+1}, \quad (3.47)$$

$$(u - v)[e_i(u), h_i(v)(e_i(v) - e_i(u))^m] = \left(\frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2}m - 1\right)h_i(v)(e_i(v) - e_i(u))^{m+1}, \quad (3.48)$$

$$(u - v)[e_{n-1}(u), h_{n+1}(v)(e_{n-1}(v) - e_{n-1}(u))^m] = (m - 1)h_{n+1}(v)(e_{n-1}(v) - e_{n-1}(u))^{m+1}, \\ \text{for } N = 2n, \quad (3.49)$$

$$(u - v)[e_n(u), h_{n-1}(v)(e_n(v) - e_n(u))^m] = (m - 1)h_{n-1}(v)(e_n(v) - e_n(u))^{m+1}, \\ \text{for } N = 2n, \quad (3.50)$$

$$(u - v)[e_i(u), h_{i+1}(v)(e_i(v) - e_i(u))^m] = (m + 1)h_{i+1}(v)(e_i(v) - e_i(u))^{m+1}, \\ \text{where } i \neq n \text{ for } N = 2n + 1, \quad (3.51)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_n(u), h_{n+1}(v)(e_n(v) - e_n(u))^m] &= (m+1) \frac{1}{2(u-v)} h_{n+1}(v)(e_n(v) - e_n(u))^{m+1} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2(v-u-1)} (e_n(v-1) - e_n(u)) h_{n+1}(v)(e_n(v) - e_n(u))^m \quad \text{for } N = 2n+1,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.52}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_n(u), (e_n(v-1) - e_n(u)) h_{n+1}(v)(e_n(v) - e_n(u))^m] &= (m+1) \frac{1}{2(u-v)} \times \\
&(e_n(v-1) - e_n(u)) h_{n+1}(v)(e_n(v) - e_n(u))^{m+1} \quad \text{for } N = 2n+1,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(u-v)[e_i(u), h_{i+1}(v)(e_i(v) - e_i(u))^m \tilde{h}_i(v)] &= (m+2) h_{i+1}(v)(e_i(v) - e_i(u))^{m+1} \tilde{h}_i(v), \\
\text{where } i \neq n \text{ for } N = 2n+1,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.54}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_n(u), h_{n+1}(v)(e_n(v) - e_n(u))^m \tilde{h}_n(v)] &= \frac{(m+3)}{2(u-v)} h_{n+1}(v)(e_n(v) - e_n(u))^{m+1} \tilde{h}_n(v) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2(v-u-1)} (e_n(v-1) - e_n(u)) h_{n+1}(v)(e_n(v) - e_n(u))^m \tilde{h}_n(v) \quad \text{for } N = 2n+1,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.55}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_n(u), h_{n+1}(v)(e_n(v) - e_n(u))^m \tilde{h}_{n-1}(v)] &= (m+2) h_{n+1}(v)(e_n(v) - e_n(u))^{m+1} \tilde{h}_{n-1}(v), \\
\text{for } N = 2n.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$

Proof. The relation (3.47) follows from (3.22) and the Leibniz rule. Then (3.38), (3.20), (3.40), (3.39) and (3.18) imply that (3.48)-(3.52) hold for the case $m = 0$. In the general case, we use (3.47) together with the Leibniz rule. For (3.53), we have by (3.47) and (3.18) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&[e_n(u), (e_n(v-1) - e_n(u)) h_{n+1}(v)] \\
&= [e_n(u), (e_n(v-1) - e_n(u))] h_{n+1}(v) + (e_n(v-1) - e_n(u)) [e_n(u), h_{n+1}(v)] \\
&= \frac{1}{2(u-v+1)} (e_n(v-1) - e_n(u))^2 h_{n+1}(v) + (e_n(v-1) - e_n(u)) \times \\
&\quad \left(\frac{1}{2(u-v)} h_{n+1}(v)(e_n(v) - e_n(u)) + \frac{1}{2(v-u-1)} (e_n(v-1) - e_n(u)) h_{n+1}(v) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2(u-v)} (e_n(v-1) - e_n(u)) h_{n+1}(v)(e_n(v) - e_n(u)).
\end{aligned}$$

Then the general case follows from (3.47) and (3.52) using Leibniz again. The relations (3.54)-(3.56) can be treated similarly. \square

We introduce the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{v,u}^m &:= \frac{m!}{(2(u-v))^m} h_{n+1}(v) (e_n(v) - e_n(u))^m \\ &\quad + \frac{m(m-1)!}{(2(u-v))^{m-1} \times 2(v-u-1)} (e_n(v-1) - e_n(u)) h_{n+1}(v) (e_n(v) - e_n(u))^{m-1}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{v,u}^{m-1} &:= \frac{(m+1)!}{2 \times (2(u-v))^{m-1}} h_{n+1}(v) (e_n(v) - e_n(u))^{m-1} \tilde{h}_n(v) \\ &\quad + \frac{(m-1)m!}{2 \times (2(u-v))^{m-2} \times 2(v-u-1)} (e_n(v-1) - e_n(u)) h_{n+1}(v) (e_n(v) - e_n(u))^{m-2} \tilde{h}_n(v)\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.52), (3.53) and (3.55), we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 3.9. *For $N = 2n + 1$ we have*

$$[e_n(u), \mathcal{H}_{v,u}^m] = \mathcal{H}_{v,u}^{m+1}, \quad [e_n(u), \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{v,u}^{m-1}] = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{v,u}^m. \quad (3.57)$$

We introduce one more family of elements. Set

$$h_{i\downarrow\ell}(u) := h_i(u)h_i(u-1)\cdots h_i(u-\ell+1), \quad (3.58)$$

$$h_{i\uparrow\ell}(u) := h_i(u)h_i(u+1)\cdots h_i(u+\ell-1). \quad (3.59)$$

Lemma 3.10. *The following relations hold for all $i \leq n$ and $\ell \geq 1$:*

$$(u-v)[h_{i\downarrow\ell}(u), e_i(v)] = \ell h_{i\downarrow\ell}(u) (e_i(v) - e_i(u)), \quad (3.60)$$

$$(u-v)[h_{i\uparrow\ell}(u), e_{i-1}(v)] = \ell h_{i\uparrow\ell}(u) (e_{i-1}(u) - e_{i-1}(v)). \quad (3.61)$$

For $N = 2n$ we have

$$(u-v)[h_{n+1\uparrow\ell}(u), e_n(v)] = \ell h_{n+1\uparrow\ell}(u) (e_n(u) - e_n(v)), \quad (3.62)$$

$$(u-v)[h_{n+1\downarrow\ell}(u), e_{n-1}(v)] = \ell h_{n+1\downarrow\ell}(u) (e_{n-1}(v) - e_{n-1}(u)). \quad (3.63)$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of [BT, Lemma 4.10] (see also [CH, Lemma 3.15]). We prove (3.62) in detail here in order to highlight the minor differences. We will prove it in the following equivalent form:

$$(u-v+\ell)h_{n+1\uparrow\ell}(u)e_n(v) = (u-v)e_n(v)h_{n+1\uparrow\ell}(u) + \ell h_{n+1\uparrow\ell}(u)e_n(u). \quad (3.64)$$

This follows when $\ell = 1$ from (3.21). To prove (3.64) in general, we proceed by induction on ℓ . Given (3.64) for some $\ell \geq 1$, multiply both sides on the left by $(u-v+\ell+1)h_{n+1}(u+\ell)$ to deduce that:

$$\begin{aligned}(u-v+\ell+1)(u-v+\ell)h_{n+1\uparrow\ell+1}(u)e_n(v) &= (u-v)(u-v+\ell+1)h_{n+1}(u+\ell)e_n(v)h_{n+1\uparrow\ell}(u) \\ &\quad + \ell(u-v+\ell+1)h_{n+1\uparrow\ell+1}(u)e_n(u).\end{aligned} \quad (3.65)$$

Using the case of $\ell = 1$ in (3.64) and replacing u by $u+\ell$ give that

$$(u-v+\ell+1)h_{n+1}(u+\ell)e_n(v) = (u-v+\ell)e_n(v)h_{n+1}(u+\ell) + h_{n+1}(u+\ell)e_n(u+\ell).$$

Then substituting the above identity into (3.65) and using the first relation in (3.46) we obtain (3.64) with ℓ replaced by $\ell + 1$, as required. By using the second relation in (3.46) instead of the first one, (3.63) is a similar argument to (3.62). \square

3.5. Automorphisms. We list the following (anti)automorphisms of $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$ which are needed in the next section.

(1) (“Transposition”) The mapping $\tau : t_{i,j}(u) \mapsto t_{j,i}(u)$ defines an anti-automorphism of $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$. On Drinfeld generators, we have

$$\tau(e_{i,j}(u)) = f_{j,i}(u), \tau(f_{j,i}(u)) = e_{i,j}(u)$$

for $i < j$, and $\tau(h_i(u)) = h_i(u)$ for all i (cf. [Mol2, (2.9) and Lemma 4.1]).

(2) (“Permutation”) Let S_N be the Symmetric group on N objects. For each $w \in S_N$, if $w(i') = w(i)'$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, then there is an automorphism $w : X(\mathfrak{o}_N) \rightarrow X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$ sending $t_{i,j}^{(r)} \mapsto t_{w(i),w(j)}^{(r)}$. This is clear from the RTT relation (3.2).

For later use we define

$$I_1 := \{(i, j); 1 \leq i, j \leq N, i < j < i'\} \setminus \{(i, n+1); 1 \leq i < n\}, \quad I_2 := \{(n+1, j); n' < j \leq 1'\}.$$

for $N = 2n + 1$, and we set $I := I_1 \cup I_2$. For $N = 2n$ we set

$$I := \{(i, j); 1 \leq i, j \leq N, i < j < i'\}.$$

Moreover, we also set $J := \{(j, i); 1 \leq i, j \leq N, (i, j) \in I\}$.

Lemma 3.11. *If $(i, j) \in I_1$ or $(i, j) \in I$ for $N = 2n$, then there exists a permutation automorphism ω of $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$ sending $e_{i,j}(u) \mapsto e_i(u)$. If $(i, j) \in I_2$, then there is a permutation automorphism ω sending $e_{i,j}(u) \mapsto e_{n+1,n+2}(u)$.*

Proof. We first assume that $N = 2n + 1$ and $(i, j) \in I_1$. By definition, we may assume further $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. As $j \neq n + 1$, the transposition $(j, i + 1)$ can be extended to an automorphism of $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$. Then (3.6)-(3.8) implies the transposition $(j, i + 1)$ maps $e_{i,j}(u) \mapsto e_i(u)$. Let $(i, j) \in I_2$. We then extend the transposition $(j, n + 2)$ to a permutation $\omega = (j, n + 2)(j', n)$. It is easy to see that ω gives rise to a permutation automorphism sending $e_{n+1,j}(u) \mapsto e_{n+1,n+2}(u)$ using again (3.6)-(3.8).

One argues similarly for the case $N = 2n$. \square

4. THE CENTERS OF $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$ AND $Y(\mathfrak{o}_N)$

In this section, we will describe the center of the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$, and give precise formulas for the generators.

4.1. Harish-Chandra center. Recall from Subsection 3.1 the *Harish-Chandra center* $Z_{\text{HC}}(X(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ of the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$ is defined to be the subalgebra generated by the coefficients of $c(u)$ (see (3.3)). The following result provides a formula for the series $c(u)$ in terms of the Gaussian generators $h_i(u)$ with $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$ ([JLM, Theorem 5.8] and [Mol2, Theorem 5.3]).

Theorem 4.1. *We have the relations in the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$:*

$$c(u) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{h_i(u-i+1)}{h_i(u-i)} \times h_{n+1}(u-n+1/2)h_{n+1}(u-n) \quad \text{for } N = 2n+1, \text{ and}$$

$$c(u) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{h_i(u-i+1)}{h_i(u-i)} \times h_n(u-n+1)h_{n+1}(u-n+1) \quad \text{for } N = 2n.$$

4.2. Off-diagonal p -central elements. In this subsection, we investigate the p -central elements that lie in the “root subalgebras” $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)_{i,j}^+$, $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)_{j,i}^-$ for $(i, j) \in I$, that is, the subalgebras generated by $\{e_{i,j}^{(r)}; r > 0\}$ and $\{f_{j,i}^{(r)}; r > 0\}$, respectively.

Lemma 4.2. *For $(i, j) \in I$, all coefficients in the power series $(e_{i,j}(u))^p$ and $(f_{j,i}(u))^p$ belong to $Z(X(\mathfrak{o}_N))$.*

Proof. In view of [JLM, Proposition 5.7], we know that $e_{n+1,n+2}(u) = -e_n(u-1/2)$ for $N = 2n+1$. Then using Lemma 3.11 and the anti-automorphism τ , it only needs to be proved that the coefficients of $(e_i(u))^p$ are central in $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since we are in characteristic p , it suffices to establish the following identities in $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)[[u^{-1}, v^{-1}]]$ for all admissible j :

$$(\text{ad } e_i(u))^p(e_j(v)) = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

$$(\text{ad } e_i(u))^p(h_j(v)) = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

$$(\text{ad } e_i(u))^p(f_j(v)) = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

When $i \neq j$, the identity (4.1) is clear because $(\text{ad } e_i(u))^2(e_j(v)) = 0$ by (3.32). To show that $(\text{ad } e_i(u))^p(e_i(v)) = 0$, we use (3.22) and (3.47) repeatedly:

$$\begin{aligned} (u-v)^p(\text{ad } e_i(u))^p(e_i(v)) &= \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2}(u-v)^{p-1}(\text{ad } e_i(u))^{p-1}(e_i(v)) - (e_i(u))^2 \\ &= 2\left(\frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2}\right)^2(u-v)^{p-2}(\text{ad } e_i(u))^{p-2}(e_i(v)) - (e_i(u))^3 \\ &= \dots = p!\left(\frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2}\right)^p(e_i(v) - e_i(u))^{p+1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

To check (4.2), we first consider the case that $i \leq n-2$. It is immediate from (3.15) if $j < i$ or $j > i+1$. For $j = i$, we have by (3.15) and (3.48) with $m = 1$ that $(\text{ad } e_i(u))^2(h_i(v)) = 0$. Then we use (3.51) to see that

$$\begin{aligned} (u-v)^p(\text{ad } e_i(u))^p(h_{i+1}(v)) &= (u-v)^{p-1}(\text{ad } e_i(u))^{p-1}(h_{i+1}(v)(e_i(v) - e_i(u))) \\ &= 2(u-v)^{p-2}(\text{ad } e_i(u))^{p-2}(h_{i+1}(v)(e_i(v) - e_i(u))^2) \\ &= \dots = p!(h_{i+1}(v)(e_i(v) - e_i(u))^p) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

it proves that the case $j = i+1$. For the case $i = n-1$, if $j = i$ or $j = i+1$, then we can use (3.48)-(3.51) and the same argument to get $(\text{ad } e_i(u))^p(h_j(v)) = 0$. When $j = i+2 = n+1$, we have $(\text{ad } e_{n-1}(u))^2(h_{n+1}(v)) = 0$ by (3.49) with $m = 1$. We turn to the case $i = n$ in (4.2). By (3.15), we only have to consider the cases $j \in \{n-1, n, n+1\}$. If $j = n-1$, then we have $(\text{ad } e_n(u))^2(h_{n-1}(v)) = 0$ by (3.50) with $m = 1$. Moreover, the relation (3.48) implies

that $(\text{ad } e_n(u))^3(h_n(v)) = 0$. This proves the case $i = j = n$. When $j = n + 1$, a consecutive application of (3.51) and (3.57) implies

$$\begin{aligned} (u - v)^p (\text{ad } e_n(u))^p (h_{n+1}(v)) &= p! h_{n+1}(v) (e_n(v) - e_n(u))^p = 0, \text{ for } N = 2n, \\ (\text{ad } e_n(u))^p (h_{n+1}(v)) &= \mathcal{H}_{v,u}^p = 0, \text{ for } N = 2n + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for (4.3), it follows when $i \neq j$ immediately from (3.14). When $i = j$, we observe using (3.54) repeatedly that

$$\begin{aligned} (u - v)^{p-1} (\text{ad } e_i(u))^{p-1} (h_{i+1}(v) \tilde{h}_i(v)) &= 2 (u - v)^{p-2} (\text{ad } e_i(u))^{p-2} (h_{i+1}(v) (e_i(v) - e_i(u)) \tilde{h}_i(v)) \\ &= 3! (u - v)^{p-3} (\text{ad } e_i(u))^{p-3} (h_{i+1}(v) (e_i(v) - e_i(u))^2 \tilde{h}_i(v)) \\ &= \dots = p! h_{i+1}(v) (e_i(v) - e_i(u))^{p-1} \tilde{h}_i(v) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(\text{ad } e_i(u))^{p-1} (h_{i+1}(v) \tilde{h}_i(v)) = 0$. We can also set $v = u$ in this identity to see that $(\text{ad } e_i(u))^{p-1} (h_{i+1}(u) \tilde{h}_i(u)) = 0$. Then using (3.14) we obtain for $i \neq n$

$$\begin{aligned} (u - v) (\text{ad } e_i(u))^p (f_i(v)) &= (\text{ad } e_i(u))^{p-1} (k_i(u) - k_i(v)) \\ &= (\text{ad } e_i(u))^{p-1} (h_{i+1}(u) \tilde{h}_i(u) - h_{i+1}(v) \tilde{h}_i(v)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

When $i = j = n$ for $N = 2n$, we note that $k_n(u) = h_{n+1}(u) \tilde{h}_{n-1}(u)$. One argues similarly to obtain $(\text{ad } e_n(u))^p (f_n(v)) = 0$ using (3.56). When $i = j = n$ for $N = 2n + 1$, we have by (3.55) that

$$(\text{ad } e_n(u))^{p-1} (h_{n+1}(v) \tilde{h}_n(v)) = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{v,u}^{p-1} = 0.$$

Also, set $v = u$, it gives $(\text{ad } e_n(u))^{p-1} (h_{n+1}(u) \tilde{h}_n(u)) = 0$. Then using again (3.14) we have for $N = 2n + 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} (u - v) (\text{ad } e_n(u))^p (f_n(v)) &= (\text{ad } e_n(u))^{p-1} (k_n(u) - k_n(v)) \\ &= (\text{ad } e_n(u))^{p-1} (h_{n+1}(u) \tilde{h}_n(u) - h_{n+1}(v) \tilde{h}_n(v)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

For use in the next theorem, we let

$$p_{i,j} = \sum_{r \geq p} p_{i,j}^{(r)} u^{-r} := e_{i,j}(u)^p, \quad q_{j,i} = \sum_{r \geq p} q_{j,i}^{(r)} u^{-r} := f_{j,i}(u)^p. \quad (4.4)$$

Theorem 4.3. For $(i, j) \in I$, the algebras $Z(X(\mathfrak{o}_N)) \cap X(\mathfrak{o}_N)_{i,j}^+$ and $Z(X(\mathfrak{o}_N)) \cap X(\mathfrak{o}_N)_{j,i}^-$ are infinite rank polynomial algebra freely generated by the central elements $\{p_{i,j}^{(rp)}; r > 0\}$ and $\{q_{j,i}^{(rp)}; r > 0\}$, respectively. We have that $\deg p_{i,j}^{(rp)} = \deg q_{j,i}^{(rp)} = rp - p$ and

$$\text{gr}_{rp-p} p_{i,j}^{(rp)} = (F_{i,j} t^{r-1})^p, \quad \text{gr}_{rp-p} q_{j,i}^{(rp)} = (F_{j,i} t^{r-1})^p. \quad (4.5)$$

For $r > p$ with $p \nmid r$, we have that $\deg p_{i,j}^{(r)} = r - p - 1$ and it is a polynomial in the elements $\{p_{i,j}^{(sp)}; 0 < s \leq \lfloor r/p \rfloor\}$. Analogous statements with $p_{i,j}$ replaced by $q_{j,i}$ also hold.

Proof. Using the anti-automorphism τ , it suffices to prove all of the statements for $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)_{i,j}^+$. We write A for the tensor algebra $U(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]) \otimes \mathbb{k}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots]$ and $Z(A)$ for its center. By Theorem 2.1, we know that

$$Z(A) = Z(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]) \otimes \mathbb{k}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots] = Z_p(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]) \otimes \mathbb{k}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots].$$

Denote by $A_{i,j}^+$ the commutative subalgebra of A generated by $\{F_{i,j}t^r; r \geq 0\}$. It follows that

$$Z(A) \cap A_{i,j}^+ = \mathbb{k}[(F_{i,j}t^r)^p; r > 0].$$

Note that $\text{gr}(Z(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N)) \cap \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N)_{i,j}^+) \subseteq Z(A) \cap A_{i,j}^+$. By Lemma 4.2, we know that $p_{i,j}^{(rp)}$ belongs to $Z(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N)) \cap \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N)_{i,j}^+$. Thanks to [BT, Lemma 2.1], we have $\deg p_{i,j}^{(rp)} = rp - p$ and

$$\text{gr}_{rp-p} p_{i,j}^{(rp)} = (\text{gr}_{r-1} e_{i,j}^{(r)})^p = (F_{i,j}t^{r-1})^p.$$

Consequently, $\text{gr}(Z(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N)) \cap \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N)_{i,j}^+) = Z(A) \cap A_{i,j}^+$ and the elements $\{p_{i,j}^{(rp)}; r > 0\}$ are algebraically independent generators for $Z(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N)) \cap \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N)_{i,j}^+$. Suppose that $r > p$ with $p \nmid r$. Since the coefficient $p_{i,j}^{(r)}$ is central by Lemma 4.2, applying again [BT, Lemma 2.1] gives the remaining assertions. \square

Corollary 4.4. *For $(i, j) \in I$ and $r > 0$, we have that $(e_{i,j}^{(r)})^p, (f_{j,i}^{(r)})^p \in Z(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ and*

$$\text{gr}_{rp-p}(e_{i,j}^{(r)})^p = (F_{i,j}t^{r-1})^p, \quad \text{gr}_{rp-p}(f_{j,i}^{(r)})^p = (F_{j,i}t^{r-1})^p. \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. We only prove the case that $N = 2n + 1$ and the argument for type D is similar. Using the anti-automorphism τ and Lemma 3.11, this reduces to showing that the elements $(e_i^{(r)})^p$ and $(e_{n+1,n+2}^{(r)})^p$ belong to $Z(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Assume first that $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Then (3.22) implies that the elements $e_i^{(r)}$ satisfy the relations

$$[e_i^{(r)}, e_i^{(s)}] = \sum_{t=r}^{s-1} e_i^{(t)} e_i^{(r+s-1-t)}$$

for all $1 \leq r < s$. According to [BT, Lemma 2.1 and Remark 2.2], the elements $p_{i,i+1}^{(rp)}$ can be expressed as polynomials in the elements $\{(e_i^{(s)})^p; 0 < s \leq r\}$. Remember that we already proved $p_{i,i+1}^{(rp)} \in Z(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N))$. By induction on r one obtains the elements $\{(e_i^{(r)})^p; r > 0\}$ are central in $\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N)$. We recall that $e_{n+1,n+2}(u) = -e_n(u - 1/2)$, while $(\alpha_n, \alpha_n) = 1$, the application of (3.22) with $i = n$ yields

$$[e_{n+1,n+2}(u), e_{n+1,n+2}(v)] = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(e_{n+1,n+2}(v) - e_{n+1,n+2}(u))^2}{u - v}.$$

We replace $e_{n+1,n+2}(u/2)$ by $\tilde{e}_{n+1,n+2}(u) := \sum_{r \geq 1} \tilde{e}_{n+1,n+2}^{(r)} u^{-r}$. It follows that

$$[\tilde{e}_{n+1,n+2}(u), \tilde{e}_{n+1,n+2}(v)] = \frac{(\tilde{e}_{n+1,n+2}(v) - \tilde{e}_{n+1,n+2}(u))^2}{u - v}.$$

By the same token, we have

$$[\tilde{e}_{n+1,n+2}^{(r)}, \tilde{e}_{n+1,n+2}^{(s)}] = \sum_{t=r}^{s-1} \tilde{e}_{n+1,n+2}^{(t)} \tilde{e}_{n+1,n+2}^{(r+s-1-t)}$$

for all $1 \leq r < s$. The proof of Lemma 4.2 also implies that all coefficients in the power series $(e_{n+1,n+2}(u))^p$ belong to $Z(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N))$. Then [BT, Lemma 2.1 and Remark 2.2] again show that the elements $\{(\tilde{e}_{n+1,n+2}^{(r)})^p; r > 0\}$ are central. As a result, $(e_{n+1,n+2}^{(r)})^p \in Z(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N))$. \square

Remark 4.5. By passing to the associated graded algebra, we see that the central elements $\{(e_{i,j}^{(r)})^p; r > 0\}$ give another algebraically independent set of generators for $Z(X(\mathfrak{o}_N)) \cap X(\mathfrak{o}_N)_{i,j}^+$ lifting the central elements $\{(F_{i,j}t^{r-1})^p; r > 0\}$ of $\text{gr } X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$.

4.3. Diagonal p -central elements. This subsection we introduce the p -central elements that belong to the diagonal subalgebras

$$X(\mathfrak{o}_N)_i^0 := \mathbb{k}[h_i^{(r)}; r > 0]$$

of $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$. For $i = 1, \dots, n+1$, we define

$$b_i(u) = \sum_{r \geq 0} b_i^{(r)} u^{-r} := h_{i \downarrow p}(u) = h_i(u)h_i(u-1) \cdots h_i(u-p+1). \quad (4.7)$$

Lemma 4.6. For all $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ and $r > 0$, the elements $b_i^{(r)}$ belongs to $Z(X(\mathfrak{o}_N))$.

Proof. In view of (3.13), it suffices to check that

$$[b_i(u), e_j(v)] = [b_i(u), f_j(v)]$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. By applying the anti-automorphism τ (Subsection 3.5(1)), it suffices to check just the first equality. Assume first that $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is clear when $j \notin \{i-1, i\}$ by (3.15). When $j = i$ or $j = i-1$, the identities (3.60)-(3.61) imply that

$$[b_i(u), e_i(v)] = [h_{i \downarrow p}(u), e_i(v)] = 0$$

and

$$[b_i(u), e_{i-1}(v)] = [h_{i \downarrow p}(u), e_{i-1}(v)] = [h_{i \uparrow p}(u-p+1), e_{i-1}(v)] = 0.$$

If $i = n+1$ and $N = 2n$, then (3.15) again implies that we may assume that $j = n$ or $j = n-1$. One argues similarly for this case using (3.62)-(3.63) instead of (3.60)-(3.61). We now treat the case $i = n+1$ and $N = 2n+1$. In view of Theorem 4.1 and (3.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\downarrow p}(u) &:= c(u)c(u-1) \cdots c(u-p+1) \\ &= h_{n+1 \downarrow p}(u-n+1/2)h_{n+1 \downarrow p}(u-n) \\ &= b_{n+1}(u-n+1/2)b_{n+1}(u-n) = (b_{n+1}(u))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since all the coefficients of $c(u)$ are central, so are $c_{\downarrow p}(u)$, the centrality of $b_{n+1}^{(r)}$ follows by induction. \square

Theorem 4.7. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, the algebra $Z(X(\mathfrak{o}_N)) \cap X(\mathfrak{o}_N)_i^0$ is an infinite rank polynomial algebra freely generated by the central elements $\{b_i^{(rp)}; r > 0\}$. The statement also holds for $i = n+1$ and $N = 2n$. We have that $\deg b_i^{(rp)} = rp - p$ and

$$\text{gr}_{rp-p} b_i^{(rp)} = (F_{i,i}t^{r-1})^p - F_{i,i}t^{rp-p} + (\zeta_r^p - \zeta_{rp-p+1})/2. \quad (4.8)$$

For $1 < r < p$, we have that $b_i^{(r)} = 0$. For $r > p$ with $p \nmid r$, we have that $\deg b_i^{(r)} = r - p - 1$ and it is a polynomial in the elements $\{b_i^{(sp)}; 0 < s \leq \lfloor r/p \rfloor\}$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.3, we denote by A the tensor algebra $U(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]) \otimes \mathbb{k}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots]$ and $Z(A)$ for its center. Let A_i^0 be the commutative subalgebra of A generated by $\{F_{i,i}t^r + \zeta_{r+1}/2; r \geq 0\}$. One sees that

$$Z(A) \cap A_i^0 = \mathbb{k}[(F_{i,i}t^r + \zeta_{r+1}/2)^p - (F_{i,i}t^{rp} + \zeta_{rp+1}/2); r \geq 0].$$

We have that $\text{gr}(Z(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N)) \cap \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N)_i^0) \subset Z(A) \cap A_i^0$. By Lemma 4.6, we know that $b_i^{(rp+p)}$ belongs to $Z(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N)) \cap \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N)_i^0$. According to [BT, Lemma 2.9] and (3.28), we see that $\deg b_i^{(rp+p)} = rp$ and

$$\text{gr}_{rp} b_i^{(rp+p)} = (F_{i,i}t^r + \zeta_{r+1}/2)^p - (F_{i,i}t^{rp} + \zeta_{rp+1}/2).$$

We thus obtain $\text{gr}(Z(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N)) \cap \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N)_i^0) = Z(A) \cap A_i^0$ and the elements $\{b_i^{(rp)}; r > 0\}$ are algebraically independent generators for $Z(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N)) \cap \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N)_i^0$. Again, [BT, Lemma 2.9] implies that $b_i^{(r)} = 0$ for $1 < r < p$ and that $\deg b_i^{(r)} = r - p - 1$ if $r > p$ with $p \nmid r$. Since it is central by Lemma 4.6, it must be a polynomial in the elements $\{b_i^{(sp)}; 0 < s \leq \lfloor r/p \rfloor\}$. \square

4.4. The center $Z(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N))$. We define the p -center $Z_p(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ of $\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N)$ to be the subalgebra generated by

$$\{b_i^{(rp)}; 1 \leq i \leq n+1, r > 0\} \cup \{(e_{i,j}^{(r)})^p, (f_{j,i}^{(r)})^p; (i, j) \in I, r > 0\} \quad (4.9)$$

According to Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.6, we know that both $Z_{\text{HC}}(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ and $Z_p(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ are subalgebras of $Z(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N))$.

We let

$$bc(u) := \sum_{r \geq 0} bc^{(r)} u^{-r} := c(u)c(u-1)\cdots c(u-p+1).$$

Then Theorem 4.1 readily implies

$$bc(u) = \begin{cases} (b_{n+1}(u))^2 & \text{for } N = 2n+1, \\ b_n(u)b_{n+1}(u) & \text{for } N = 2n. \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

By definition each $bc^{(r)}$ can be expressed as a polynomial in the elements $\{c^{(s)}; s > 0\}$, so that it belongs to $Z_{\text{HC}}(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N))$. It is also a polynomial in the elements $\{b_i^{(r)}; i = n+1, (n+1)', s > 0\}$, so that it belongs to $Z_p(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ by Theorem 4.7. Consequently, $bc^{(r)} \in Z_{\text{HC}}(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N)) \cap Z_p(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N))$.

Lemma 4.8. For $r > 0$, we have $\deg bc^{(rp)} = rp - p$ and

$$\text{gr}_{rp-p} bc^{(rp)} = \zeta_r^p - \zeta_{rp-p+1}. \quad (4.11)$$

Proof. We just go through the case $N = 2n+1$, since another case is similar. Set

$$H_{n+1}(u) := 1 + \sum_{r>0} H_{n+1}^{(r)} u^{-r} := (h_{n+1}(u))^2.$$

It is easy to check that $\deg H_{n+1}^{(r)} = r - 1$ and $\text{gr}_{r-1} H_{n+1}^{(r)} = 2 \text{gr}_{r-1} h_{n+1}^{(r)}$. Observe that

$$bc(u) = H_{n+1}(u)H_{n+1}(u-1)\cdots H_{n+1}(u-p+1).$$

Applying [BT, Lemma 2.9], we see that $\deg bc^{(rp)} = rp - p$ and

$$\text{gr}_{rp-p} bc^{(rp)} = (\text{gr}_{r-1} H_{n+1}^{(r)})^p - \text{gr}_{rp-p} H_{n+1}^{(rp-p+1)} = (2 \text{gr}_{r-1} h_{n+1}^{(r)})^p - 2 \text{gr}_{rp-p} h_{n+1}^{(rp-p+1)}.$$

Our assertion now follows from (3.29). \square

Theorem 4.9. The center $Z(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ is generated by $Z_{\text{HC}}(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ and $Z_p(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N))$. Moreover:

- (1) $Z_{\text{HC}}(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ is the free polynomial algebra generated by $\{c^{(r)}; r > 0\}$;
- (2) $Z_p(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ is the free polynomial algebra generated by

$$\{b_i^{(rp)}; 1 \leq i \leq n+1, r > 0\} \cup \{(e_{i,j}^{(r)})^p, (f_{j,i}^{(r)})^p; (i, j) \in I, r > 0\}; \quad (4.12)$$

(3) $Z(X(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ is the free polynomial algebra generated by

$$\{b_i^{(rp)}, c^{(r)}; 1 \leq i \leq n, r > 0\} \cup \{(e_{i,j}^{(r)})^p, (f_{j,i}^{(r)})^p; (i, j) \in I, r > 0\}; \quad (4.13)$$

(4) $Z_{\text{HC}}(X(\mathfrak{o}_N)) \cap Z_p(X(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ is the free polynomial algebra generated by $\{bc^{(rp)}; r > 0\}$.

Proof. (1) This was proved in [AMR, Corollary 3.9], see also [AACFR].

(2) The given elements generate $Z_p(X(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ by the definition. It follows from (4.6) and (4.8) that they are lifts of the algebraically independent elements of the associated graded algebra $U(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]) \otimes \mathbb{k}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots]$. This yields the assertion.

(3) Let Z be the subalgebra of $Z(X(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ generated by the given elements. In view of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\text{gr } Z \subseteq \text{gr } Z(X(\mathfrak{o}_N)) \subseteq Z(\text{gr } X(\mathfrak{o}_N)) = Z_p(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]) \otimes \mathbb{k}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots].$$

Then the foregoing observations in conjunction with Theorem 2.1 imply that the generators of Z are lifts of the algebraically independent elements of $Z_p(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]) \otimes \mathbb{k}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots]$. Moreover, by (4.6) and (4.8), it is clear that $Z_p(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]) \otimes \mathbb{k}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots] \subseteq \text{gr } Z$. This implies that $Z = Z(X(\mathfrak{o}_N))$.

(4) We already observed that all $bc^{(rp)}$ belong to $Z_{\text{HC}}(X(\mathfrak{o}_N)) \cap Z_p(X(\mathfrak{o}_N))$, while (4.11) implies they are algebraically independent. We claim that $Z_p(X(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ is freely generated by the elements

$$\{b_i^{(rp)}, bc^{(rp)}; 1 \leq i \leq n, r > 0\} \cup \{(e_{i,j}^{(r)})^p, (f_{j,i}^{(r)})^p; (i, j) \in I, r > 0\}.$$

We know already from (3) that all of these elements different from $bc^{(rp)}$ are algebraically independent of anything in $Z_{\text{HC}}(X(\mathfrak{o}_N))$. Our result thus follows from the claim. To prove the claim, we use (4.6), (4.8) and (4.11) to pass to the associated graded algebra. Let A^0 be the subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]) \otimes \mathbb{k}[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots]$ generated by $\{\text{gr}_{rp-p} b_i^{(rp)}; 1 \leq i \leq n+1, r > 0\}$. By (2), it suffices to verify that

$$\{\text{gr}_{rp-p} b_i^{(rp)}, \text{gr}_{rp-p} bc^{(rp)}; 1 \leq i \leq n, r > 0\}$$

freely generate A^0 . This is easily seen from (4.8) and (4.11). \square

4.5. The center $Z(Y(\mathfrak{o}_N))$. The Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{o}_N)$ is defined as the subalgebra of $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$ which consists of the elements stable under the automorphisms

$$\mu_f : T(u) \rightarrow f(u)T(u) \quad (4.14)$$

for all series $f(u) \in 1 + u^{-1}\mathbb{k}[[u^{-1}]]$. That is,

$$Y(\mathfrak{o}_N) := \{x \in X(\mathfrak{o}_N); \mu_f(x) = x \text{ for all } f(u) \in 1 + u^{-1}\mathbb{k}[[u^{-1}]]\}.$$

By the same proof as [AMR, Theorem 3.1], we have the tensor product decomposition

$$X(\mathfrak{o}_N) = Z_{\text{HC}}(X(\mathfrak{o}_N)) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{o}_N). \quad (4.15)$$

On Gaussian generators, clearly

$$\mu_f(h_i(u)) = f(u)h_i(u), \mu_f(e_{i,j}(u)) = e_{i,j}(u) \text{ and } \mu_f(f_{j,i}(u)) = f_{j,i}(u).$$

Recall from (3.9) and (3.10) that

$$k_i(u) = \sum_{r \geq 0} k_i^{(r)} u^{-r} := \begin{cases} \tilde{h}_i(u)h_{i+1}(u), & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ \tilde{h}_n(u)h_{n+1}(u), & \text{if } i = n \text{ and } N = 2n+1, \\ \tilde{h}_{n-1}(u)h_{n+1}(u), & \text{if } i = n \text{ and } N = 2n. \end{cases}$$

Since $\tilde{h}_i(u) = \sum_{r \geq 0} \tilde{h}_i^{(r)} u^{-r} = h_i(u)^{-1}$, we have $\tilde{h}_i^{(0)} = 1$ and $\tilde{h}_i^{(r)} = -\sum_{t=1}^r h_i^{(t)} \tilde{h}_i^{(r-t)}$. In particular, $\deg k_i^{(r+1)} = r$ and $\text{gr}_r h_i^{(r+1)} = -\text{gr}_r \tilde{h}_i^{(r+1)}$. Moreover, the identifications (3.28)-(3.29) yield:

$$\text{gr}_r k_i^{(r+1)} = \begin{cases} F_{i+1,i+1} t^r - F_{i,i} t^r, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ -F_{n,n} t^r, & \text{if } i = n \text{ and } N = 2n+1, \\ -F_{n,n} t^r - F_{n-1,n-1} t^r, & \text{if } i = n \text{ and } N = 2n. \end{cases} \quad (4.16)$$

The decomposition (4.15) and Theorem 4.1 imply that the subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{o}_N)$ of $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$ is generated by

$$\{k_i^{(r)}; 1 \leq i \leq n, r > 0\} \cup \{e_i^{(r)}, f_i^{(r)}; 1 \leq i \leq n, r > 0\},$$

see [JLM, Proposition 6.1]. The ascending filtration on the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{o}_N)$ is induced by the one on $X(\mathfrak{o}_N)$. In particular, under the identification (3.4), we have $\text{gr } Y(\mathfrak{o}_N) = U(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$ (cf. [AMR, Theorem 3.6]).

Let

$$\begin{aligned} a_i(u) &= \sum_{r \geq 0} a_i^{(r)} u^{-r} := k_i(u) k_i(u-1) \cdots k_i(u-p+1) \\ &= \begin{cases} b_{i+1}(u) b_i(u)^{-1} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ b_{n+1}(u) b_n(u)^{-1} & \text{if } i = n \text{ and } N = 2n+1, \\ b_{n+1}(u) b_{n-1}(u)^{-1} & \text{if } i = n \text{ and } N = 2n, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where the last equality follows from the definitions ((3.9), (3.10) and (4.7)). In view of Lemma 4.6, each $a_i^{(r)}$ belongs to $Z(Y(\mathfrak{o}_N))$. We define the p -center of $Y(\mathfrak{o}_N)$ to be the subalgebra $Z_p(Y(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ of $Z(Y(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ generated by

$$\{a_i^{(rp)}; 1 \leq i \leq n, r > 0\} \cup \{(e_{i,j}^{(r)})^p, (f_{j,i}^{(r)})^p; (i, j) \in I, r > 0\}. \quad (4.18)$$

Theorem 4.10. *The generators (4.18) of $Z_p(Y(\mathfrak{o}_N))$ are algebraically independent, and we have that $\text{gr } Z_p(Y(\mathfrak{o}_N)) = Z_p(\mathfrak{o}_N[t]) = Z(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$. In particular, $Z_p(Y(\mathfrak{o}_N)) = Z(Y(\mathfrak{o}_N))$.*

Proof. From (4.17), we know that $\deg a_i^{(rp)} = rp - p$ and

$$\text{gr}_{rp-p} a_i^{(rp)} = \begin{cases} \text{gr}_{rp-p} b_{i+1}^{(rp)} - \text{gr}_{rp-p} b_i^{(rp)} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ \text{gr}_{rp-p} b_{n+1}^{(rp)} - \text{gr}_{rp-p} b_n^{(rp)} & \text{if } i = n \text{ and } N = 2n+1, \\ \text{gr}_{rp-p} b_{n+1}^{(rp)} - \text{gr}_{rp-p} b_{n-1}^{(rp)} & \text{if } i = n \text{ and } N = 2n. \end{cases}$$

It follows from (4.8) that

$$\text{gr}_{rp-p} a_i^{(rp)} = \begin{cases} (F_{i+1,i+1} t^{r-1} - F_{i,i} t^{r-1})^p - (F_{i+1,i+1} t^{rp-p} - F_{i,i} t^{rp-p}) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ (F_{n,n} t^{rp-p} - (F_{n,n} t^{r-1})^p) & \text{if } i = n \text{ and } N = 2n+1, \\ (F_{n,n} t^{rp-p} + F_{n-1,n-1} t^{rp-p}) - (F_{n,n} t^{r-1} + F_{n-1,n-1} t^{r-1})^p & \text{if } i = n \text{ and } N = 2n. \end{cases}$$

Combining with (4.6), we see that the generators (4.18) are lifts of generator for $Z_p(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$. This establishes the algebraic independence and that $\text{gr } Z_p(Y(\mathfrak{o}_N)) = Z_p(\mathfrak{o}_N[t])$, and the remaining assertion thus follows from Theorem 2.1. \square

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank Ming Liu and Alexander Molev for helpful discussions. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant

Nos. 11801394, 12461005), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. CCNU24JC001) and Key Laboratory of MEA, Ministry of Education.

REFERENCES

- [AACFR] D. Arnaudon, J. Avan, N. Crampé, L. Frappat and E. Ragoucy, *R-matrix presentation for super-Yangians $Y(osp(m|2n))$* . J. Math. Phys. **44** (2003), 302–308.
- [AMR] D. Arnaudon, A. Molev and E. Ragoucy, *On the R-matrix realization of Yangians and their representations*. Ann. Henri Poincaré **7** (2006), 1269–1325.
- [BT] J. Brundan and L. Topley, *The p -centre of Yangians and shifted Yangians*. Mosc. Math. J. **18** (2018), no. 4, 617–657.
- [BK] J. Brundan and A. Kleshchev, *Parabolic presentations of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$* . Comm. Math. Phys. **254** (2005), 191–220.
- [CH] H. Chang and H.-M. Hu, *The centre of the modular super Yangian $Y_{m|n}$* . J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **107** (2023), 1074–1109.
- [CHL] H. Chang, J.-X. Hu and L. Topley, *Modular representations of the Yangian Y_2* . J. London Math. Soc. (2) **111** (2025), Paper No. e70056.
- [D1] V. G. Drinfeld, *Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang–Baxter equation*. Soviet Math. Dokl. **32** (1985), 254–258.
- [D2] V. G. Drinfeld, *A new realization of Yangians and quantized affine algebras*. Soviet Math. Dokl. **36** (1988), 212–216.
- [GR] I. Gelfand and V. Retakh, *Quasideterminants. I*. Selecta Math. **3** (1997), 517–546.
- [GRW] N. Guay, V. Regelskis and C. Wendlandt, *Equivalences between three presentations of orthogonal and symplectic Yangians*. Lett. Math. Phys. **109** (2019), 327–379.
- [Hum] J. E. Humphreys, *Conjugacy classes in semisimple algebraic groups*. Math. Surv. Monogr., **43**, American Mathematical Society (AMS), Providence, RI, 1995.
- [Jan] J. C. Jantzen, *Representations of Lie algebras in prime characteristic*. in: A. Broer (Ed.), *Representation Theories and Algebraic Geometry*, in: *Proceedings, Montreal, NATO ASI Series*, vol. C 514, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1998, pp. 185–235.
- [JLM] N. Jing, M. Liu and A. Molev, *Isomorphism between the R-matrix and Drinfeld presentations of Yangian in types B, C and D*. Comm. Math. Phys. **361** (2018), 827–872.
- [Mol1] A. Molev, *Yangians and classical Lie algebras*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **143**. AMS, Providence, RI, 2007.
- [Mol2] A. Molev, *A Drinfeld-type presentation of the orthosymplectic Yangians*. Algebr. Represent. Theory **27** (2024), 469–494.
- [MNO] A. Molev, M. Nazarov, and G. Olshanskii, *Yangians and classical Lie algebras*. Russ. Math. Serv. **51** (1996), 205–282.
- [TF] L. Takhtadzhyan and L. Faddeev, *The quantum method of the inverse problem and the Heisenberg XYZ-model*. Russ. Math. Serv. **34** (1979), 11–68.

(Hao Chang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUBEI KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CENTRAL CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430079, CHINA

Email address: chang@ccnu.edu.cn

(Hongmei Hu) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI MARITIME UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 201306, CHINA

Email address: hmhu@shmtu.edu.cn