

Towards Zero-Shot Terrain Traversability Estimation: Challenges and Opportunities

Ida Germann, Mark O. Mints and Peer Neubert

Abstract—Terrain traversability estimation is crucial for autonomous robots, especially in unstructured environments where visual cues and reasoning play a key role. While vision-language models (VLMs) offer potential for zero-shot estimation, the problem remains inherently ill-posed. To explore this, we introduce a small dataset of human-annotated water traversability ratings, revealing that while estimations are subjective, human raters still show some consensus. Additionally, we propose a simple pipeline that integrates VLMs for zero-shot traversability estimation. Our experiments reveal mixed results, suggesting that current foundation models are not yet suitable for practical deployment but provide valuable insights for further research.

I. INTRODUCTION

Consider a ground robot that needs to navigate through an unfamiliar forest. Relying on geometric features alone may be insufficient when faced with obstacles such as tall grass or uneven surfaces [2]. Using additional visual information could provide valuable semantic information about the terrain, but this still might be insufficient [9]. For instance, if the robot has learned that puddles are generally traversable, it might fail to recognize that a particular puddle is excessively muddy and thus unsafe. Conversely, if the robot has been trained to avoid water, it might miss an opportunity to cross a shallow puddle that is safer than navigating through dense vegetation. Adopting a dynamic approach to handle these variations would significantly enhance the robot’s decision-making capabilities in real-world scenarios.

Intuitively, we humans are able to estimate traversability of different terrains based on visual cues and common-sense reasoning – e.g. by recognizing that a shallow looking puddle on sturdy ground is likely traversable, while a deep puddle on soft ground is not. Recent advancements in foundation models suggest that robots might achieve similar reasoning capabilities. However, the problem remains ill-posed, as traversability depends not only on visible cues but also on hidden factors like water depth and surface consistency. Additionally, human annotations of traversability vary due to subjective interpretations, similar to challenges in semantic segmentation [1].

To investigate this, we introduce a dataset of water traversability ratings and propose a straightforward pipeline concept using vision-language models (VLMs) for zero-shot terrain traversability estimation.

All authors are with the Intelligent Autonomous Systems Group, Institute of Computational Visualisitics, University of Koblenz, Germany {idagermann, mmints, neubert}@uni-koblenz.de

II. ZERO-SHOT TERRAIN TRAVERSABILITY ESTIMATION – AN ILL-POSED PROBLEM

In the following, a small dataset on the traversability of water instances is introduced, initially created to evaluate foundation models in our pipeline (Sec. III). Beyond this, the dataset provides key insights into the inherent ill-posedness of zero-shot terrain traversability estimation.

The dataset comprises 195 images, including subsets from RUGD [7] and RELIS-3D [8], as well as self-taken and CC-0 online images. It contains 254 water instances, each labeled for the two robot types Clearpath Husky A200 [3] and Unitree B1 [10], resulting in 508 annotated instances in total. Seven annotators assigned ratings based on their estimation of whether a robot could traverse a given water body without getting stuck or damaged. The rating scheme thereby is based on [9]: 1 – smooth, 2 – rough, 3 – bumpy, 4 – non-navigable/forbidden.

Figure 1 shows the distribution of standard deviations in these annotations. While varying degrees of disagreement are observed across instances, the majority exhibit standard deviations below 1.0, indicating a reasonable level of consensus among annotators, despite the problem’s ambiguity. However, subjective interpretations and the absence of standardized criteria introduce noise into the dataset.




Fig. 1: Distribution of the standard deviations of the annotators’ ratings. Num. rated instances per annotator: 508.

The lack of a unified rating framework further complicates the problem. Existing studies [9, 4, 6] use differing definitions of traversability, making direct comparisons difficult. Future experiments should explore strategies to reduce this inconsistency, e.g. by refining annotation guidelines and incorporating expert raters that have in-field experience with




Fig. 2: Illustration of the proposed pipeline concept. The CNN-block in light gray represents an optional modification to extract water instances. In our experiments, manually annotated ground truth masks are used instead.

the considered robot types. Additionally, designing a larger and more diverse dataset with varied environmental conditions and robot-specific constraints could allow for more robust evaluations.

III. PIPELINE CONCEPT

Our proposed pipeline provides a simple yet flexible framework for integrating vision-language models (VLMs) for zero-shot terrain traversability estimation. Unlike previous methods that rely on predefined traversability mappings [9], our approach uses a vision-language model (VLM) to allow for context-aware reasoning.

Figure 2 illustrates the pipeline’s core components. It takes an RGB image as input and yields a dictionary of traversability ratings for each water instance as output. The dictionary then can be used to update a segmentation mask, producing a context-aware traversability cost-map.

In detail, the pipeline consists of the following steps: First, water instances are extracted from the input image using a semantic segmentation mask. For prototype testing, we use ground-truth segmentation masks, but real-world deployment could rely on models such as FastSAM [13]. The extracted instances, along with a structured text prompt describing the robot type, task, and rating scheme, are fed into a VLM, which assigns traversability ratings. These ratings can be used to update the segmentation mask, producing a context-aware traversability cost-map for the robot.

For on-device deployment, we integrate small and quantized versions of state-of-the-art VLMs: LLaVA1.6-7B¹ (7 billion parameters), LLaVA-Phi3-Mini² (4 billion parameters), and MiniCPM-V 2.6³ (8 billion parameters), all quantized to 4-bit integer precision. Additionally, we evaluate GPT-4o via the OpenAI API⁴ to compare performance against a larger-scale model.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

For evaluating the performance of different VLMs in the introduced pipeline framework, we conducted experiments on the water traversability dataset, considering the traversability estimation task as a multi-class classification problem. While the small VLMs showed a basic ability to reason about traversability, their predictions were particularly sensitive to prompt variations and temperature settings, leading to inconsistent results. Implemented prompting techniques were, e.g., “rephrase-and-respond” [5], “role prompting” [11], and “chain-of-thought (CoT) reasoning” [12].

GPT-4o showed improved generalization but still suffered from absolute performance limitations on the evaluated dataset. While the poor F1-scores on any class of at most 0.51 could be partly caused by the ill-posedness of the visual traversability estimation problem itself, the overall performance of the models suggests that they are not yet suitable for practical applications in this domain. Depending on the used textual prompting strategy, the models partly suffered from a poor task understanding, which could even lead to entirely failed predictions – e.g. no dictionary output, but some unsuitable text response.

V. CONCLUSION

Our study highlights the challenges of zero-shot terrain traversability estimation, particularly the ambiguity in human annotations and the absence of standardized rating criteria. While our experiments indicate that current vision-language models struggle with consistent and reliable predictions, the observed partial agreement among human annotators suggests that the ill-posed problem of zero-shot traversability estimation is approachable and therefore worth further exploration. The proposed pipeline provides a simple yet flexible framework for further research of foundation models in this domain. Future work should moreover focus on improving dataset quality and establishing standardized rating criteria to enhance consistency in terrain traversability estimation.

¹<https://ollama.com/library/llava:7b>

²<https://ollama.com/library/llava-phi3>

³<https://ollama.com/library/minicpm-v>

⁴<https://platform.openai.com/docs/models> (model-tag ‘gpt-4o-2024-08-06’), all accessed 4th Feb., 2025

REFERENCES

- [1] Laura Antonelli et al. *A View of Computational Models for Image Segmentation*. June 2022. DOI: 10 . 48550 / arXiv . 2102 . 05533. arXiv: 2102 . 05533 [math]. (Visited on 02/05/2025).
- [2] Christos Sevastopoulos et al. “A Survey of Traversability Estimation for Mobile Robots”. In: *IEEE Access* (Jan. 2022), pp. 1–1. DOI: 10.1109/access.2022.3202545.
- [3] *Clearpath Husky A200*. URL: [https : / / hotrobotics . co . uk / equipment / clearpath - husky - a200/](https://hotrobotics.co.uk/equipment/clearpath-husky-a200/) (visited on 09/26/2024).
- [4] David D. Fan et al. “STEP: Stochastic Traversability Evaluation and Planning for Risk-Aware Off-road Navigation”. In: 17 (July 2021). DOI: 10 . 15607 / rss.2021.xvii.021.
- [5] Yihe Deng et al. *Rephrase and Respond: Let Large Language Models Ask Better Questions for Themselves*. Apr. 2024. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2311.04205. arXiv: 2311 . 04205 [cs]. (Visited on 08/25/2024).
- [6] Mohamed Elnoor et al. *AMCO: Adaptive Multimodal Coupling of Vision and Proprioception for Quadruped Robot Navigation in Outdoor Environments*. Mar. 2024. DOI: 10 . 48550 / arXiv . 2403 . 13235. arXiv: 2403.13235 [cs]. (Visited on 09/09/2024).
- [7] Maggie Wigness et al. “A RUGD Dataset for Autonomous Navigation and Visual Perception in Unstructured Outdoor Environments”. In: (Nov. 2019), pp. 5000–5007. DOI: 10 . 1109 / iros40897 . 2019.8968283.
- [8] Peng Jiang et al. “RELLIS-3D Dataset: Data, Benchmarks and Analysis”. In: (May 2021). DOI: 10 . 1109/icra48506.2021.9561251.
- [9] Tianrui Guan et al. “GA-Nav: Efficient Terrain Segmentation for Robot Navigation in Unstructured Outdoor Environments”. In: *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.* 7.3 (Jan. 2022), pp. 8138–8145. DOI: 10.1109/lra.2022.3187278.
- [10] *Unitree B1*. URL: [https : / / shop . unitree . com / en - de / products / unitree - b1](https://shop.unitree.com/en-de/products/unitree-b1) (visited on 09/26/2024).
- [11] Zekun Moore Wang et al. *RoleLLM: Benchmarking, Eliciting, and Enhancing Role-Playing Abilities of Large Language Models*. June 2024. DOI: 10 . 48550 / arXiv . 2310 . 00746. arXiv: 2310 . 00746 [cs]. (Visited on 08/23/2024).
- [12] Jason Wei et al. *Chain-of-Thought Prompting Elicits Reasoning in Large Language Models*. Jan. 2023. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2201.11903. arXiv: 2201 . 11903 [cs]. (Visited on 07/15/2024).
- [13] Xu Zhao et al. *Fast Segment Anything*. June 2023. arXiv: 2306 . 12156 [cs]. URL: [http : / / arxiv . org / abs / 2306 . 12156](http://arxiv.org/abs/2306.12156) (visited on 09/30/2024).