

Ruby - Feature #12623

rescue in blocks without begin/end

07/24/2016 07:27 PM - Nondv (Dmitry Non)

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	
<b>Assignee:</b>		
<b>Target version:</b>		
<b>Description</b> Hi there!  There's pretty nice feature that we can use "short rescue" in method definitions:  <pre>def my_method   raise '1234' rescue   puts 'rescued' end</pre> What about using this in blocks?  <pre>loop do   n = enumerator.next   # do something rescue StopIteration end</pre> P.S. actually I am not sure if this FR was not created earlier but I couldn't google it. P.P.S sorry for my english		
<b>Related issues:</b> Is duplicate of Ruby - Feature #7882: Allow rescue/else/ensure in do..end Has duplicate Ruby - Feature #12906: do/end blocks work with ensure/rescue/else		<b>Closed</b> <b>Closed</b>

History

#1 - 07/24/2016 07:29 PM - Nondv (Dmitry Non)

- Description updated

#2 - 07/25/2016 06:18 PM - rosenfeld (Rodrigo Rosenfeld Rosas)

+1, I often want this and never understood why it only worked with methods.

#3 - 07/26/2016 01:59 AM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- Description updated

AFAIK, it has been proposed a few times.

An objection is that rescue in {} block feels weird.

#4 - 07/26/2016 01:00 PM - Nondv (Dmitry Non)

An objection is that rescue in {} block feels weird.

Do you mean in one-line or multi-line form?

Multiline:

```
list.each { |x|
  # do something
rescue
```

```
# do something
}
```

In single-line there's ambiguous case:

```
# not implemented
list.each { |x| action_1; action_2; rescue; 'error!' }

# this is valid
list.each { |x| action_1; action_2 rescue 'error!' }
```

But 2nd line looks bad anyway :(

So, what's problem of "feels weird"?

IMHO there're not many people that will use it like that (my first example in single-line).

#### #5 - 07/27/2016 04:23 AM - shyouhei (Shyouhei Urabe)

Dmitriy Non wrote:

```
list.each { |x|
  # do something
rescue
  # do something
}
```

-1. This is odd. I cannot remember any other language syntax that goes likes this. Java, C++, C# and all other language that use {} as block notations share this syntax to write exception handlings:

```
static void Main()
{
    try
    {
        // something
    }
    catch (Exception e)
    {
        // something
    }
    finally
    {
        // something
    }
}
```

#### #6 - 07/27/2016 07:52 AM - Nondv (Dmitry Non)

I cannot remember any other language syntax

So, case statement in Ruby is different too.

+ it is not necessary to use this.

BTW AFAIK ruby-style-guide banned multiline {}

#### #7 - 07/27/2016 08:11 AM - duerst (Martin Dürst)

Nobuyoshi Nakada wrote:

An objection is that rescue in {} block feels weird.

I feel the same way. I think it feels weird because in Ruby, program structures starting with a keyword (if/while/do/def/...) can contain keywords (else, rescue,...) and end with keywords (end), but symbols ({} , [] ,...) and keywords are not mixed.

This, combined with the fact that {} is mostly used single-line, may suggest that adding rescue to do blocks might work, but not for {} blocks.

#### #8 - 08/02/2016 01:52 AM - shyouhei (Shyouhei Urabe)

- Is duplicate of Feature #7882: Allow rescue/else/ensure in do..end added

#### #9 - 08/04/2016 06:50 AM - shyouhei (Shyouhei Urabe)

- Status changed from Open to Closed

Closing duplicated issue. Please continue discussing at Issue [#7882](#).

**#10 - 11/07/2016 02:29 AM - shyouhei (Shyouhei Urabe)**

- Has duplicate Feature #12906: do/end blocks work with ensure/rescue/else added

**#11 - 12/23/2021 11:41 PM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)**

- Project changed from 14 to Ruby