

Ruby - Feature #15605

json library needs more frequent releases

02/15/2019 01:40 AM - headius (Charles Nutter)

Status:	Closed
Priority:	Normal
Assignee:	hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)
Target version:	

Description

There has not been a release of the json gem since April 2017.

Unfortunately there's a long backlog of bugs and pull requests that have been sitting there, including some that prevent users from upgrading JRuby.

I think most of us who have been working on Ruby for any length of time knows that it has been difficult to get json changes released, and it's now starting to really hold back other development.

I propose that we start looking for an alternative maintainer of the library, find a way to get them push rights, and end this bottleneck.

FWIW only flori and I currently have push rights for the gem, but I do not have push rights for the repository.

History

#1 - 02/15/2019 01:43 AM - headius (Charles Nutter)

There are five open pull requests relating to JRuby: <https://github.com/flori/json/pulls?utf8=%E2%9C%93&q=is%3Apr+is%3Aopen+jruby>

There are 26 open pull requests in total.

#2 - 02/15/2019 01:45 AM - headius (Charles Nutter)

I want to be clear that we are very thankful for the work that flori has put in over the years. I understand people don't always have time to work on OSS, or move on to other things, but this is a critical, core Ruby standard library...we need to be able to spin versions much more frequently.

#3 - 02/15/2019 03:06 AM - headius (Charles Nutter)

- Subject changed from *json library needs a more responsive maintainer* to *json library needs more frequent releases*

#4 - 02/15/2019 11:35 AM - shevegen (Robert A. Heiler)

I think this can be "abstracted" out in the sense of a general mechanism in place when it comes to gems that are part of the official ruby distribution, and maintainers that become inactive or at the least less active.

In particular when it comes to pull requests, aka code that already exists but is not merged in, I agree completely with Charles. (Let's assume here that these changes all work fine, without breaking stuff, just to simplify the argument.)

Perhaps a better general solution may be to allow the ruby main team to decide who is co-owner of gems IF these gems are part of the official ruby distribution. That way e. g. Charles or other folks (decided by core devs) could at the least add in the patches. Alternatively things could always be forked anyway and then merged in.

Since Charles mention it ("find a way to get them push rights"), what would be a simple way to get others into the position to have push rights? Could that work on rubygems/gems + rubygems.org alone or does this have to include github-hosted code? For the latter it may be feasible to have it all under the main ruby site on github. (Ideally of course, everything could be managed in-ruby, without having to depend on any external source, but I also understand that github made some feature/access simpler.)

IMO this may be something for the next developer meeting - this can happen to other gems too, so it seems more general to me.

Edit: May fit better into "Misc" than "Bugs" per se, but it's not really that important in which category it is.

#5 - 02/17/2019 01:08 AM - naruse (Yui NARUSE)

You may know hsbt and I get write access to repo recently.
So for those JRuby issues, you can ask us to merge after you review it.
And also you can push gem, we can handle fix an issue and push a gem if there's a emergency.

Anyway we also worried about json.gem..

#6 - 02/17/2019 11:18 AM - Eregon (Benoit Daloze)

Would it make sense to move the repository under the ruby organization, since it is a default gem maintained by ruby-core now?

#7 - 02/21/2019 03:28 PM - headius (Charles Nutter)

Perhaps a better general solution may be to allow the ruby main team to decide who is co-owner of gems IF these gems are part of the official ruby distribution.

This seems like a good rule of thumb. I know we've had trouble finding maintainers for some libraries, but anything that lives as an external gem should have at least two maintainers always in case one gets pulled away. The json gem has for too long had only the one maintainer, other than partial support from JRuby team for our version of the extension.

You may know hsbt and I get write access to repo recently.

That's great! We'll ping you from the relevant PRs we need merged and we can talk about a release.

I'm not sure whether I can (or should) add naruse or hsbt as a gem pusher...but I think we need someone (other than flori) from the C side to also be able to push the gem when there's fixes.

Would it make sense to move the repository under the ruby organization, since it is a default gem maintained by ruby-core now?

I think it would be worthwhile to do this for every gem that's included as part of stdlib. The racc library has similar issues, not because of unresponsive maintainers but because nobody really wants to own it anymore. I've been trying to get some fixes in there and released too (working with tenderlove on and off this month to do that).

#8 - 03/13/2019 01:21 PM - headius (Charles Nutter)

FWIW we did just get a json 2.2.0 release. The base problem stands, however.

#9 - 06/12/2019 11:43 PM - jeremyevans0 (Jeremy Evans)

- Tracker changed from Bug to Misc
- ruby -v deleted (all)
- Backport deleted (2.4: UNKNOWN, 2.5: UNKNOWN, 2.6: UNKNOWN)

#10 - 11/27/2019 11:43 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)

- Tracker changed from Misc to Feature
- Status changed from Open to Assigned
- Assignee set to hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)

I submit the backport changes from ruby core to flori/json at <https://github.com/flori/json/pull/388>

We need to bump version to ruby repository like 2.2.1 because the same version of flori/json and ruby core confuse ruby users.

I hope to merge the above pull request, bump version and cut off a new release by flori. When there is no response from flori, We should release 2.2.1 ourselves.

[@headius \(Charles Nutter\)](#) Can you help me for pushing json gem?

#11 - 12/09/2019 09:18 PM - headius (Charles Nutter)

I can build and push the json gem for JRuby any time. I'm on the ruby-lang slack today, just tell me what repo/branch needs to be pushed out!

#12 - 12/23/2019 11:15 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)

- Status changed from Assigned to Closed

naruse did release json-2.3.0 from the current master branch of ruby/ruby.

We will handle the new feature of JSON with ruby/ruby repo in the future.

#13 - 12/23/2019 12:56 PM - Eregon (Benoit Daloze)

hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA) wrote:

We will handle the new feature of JSON with ruby/ruby repo in the future.

I'm not sure what you mean, could you clarify?

Is it that releases of the json gem will be done from the ruby/ruby repository?

#14 - 12/24/2019 06:56 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)

s it that releases of the json gem will be done from the ruby/ruby repository?

No. We can merge commits from ruby/ruby to flori/json and release it.

#15 - 02/27/2020 10:49 AM - byroot (Jean Boussier)

What's the status on this ?

I understand that a part of the gem is now edited from ruby/ruby, but that exclude the pure and java implementations. So this doesn't sounds like a good long term solution, and more like a temporary workaround.

If the gem needs new maintainers, I'm confident that it can be solved (I and other folks at Shopify can surely step in), but it first require a clarification on the repo and gem ownership.

#16 - 03/03/2020 08:04 PM - Eregon (Benoit Daloze)

I tried to make a step in that direction 2 weeks ago in <https://github.com/flori/json/pull/402#issuecomment-586585888>
But no answer yet from flori (even on such a trivial PR).

The current maintainer doesn't answer frequently enough for such an important part of the stdlib, I believe we need additional maintainers.

#17 - 03/03/2020 08:17 PM - byroot (Jean Boussier)

I tried to make a step in that direction 2 weeks ago

Thanks for the link, I had no idea. I suppose I'll subscribe there and wait.