# Ruby - Feature #20211

## Consider re-adding 3.2-style support of Anonymous Args/Blocks

01/25/2024 05:00 AM - johnnyshields (Johnny Shields)

Status:	Open	
Priority:	Normal	
Assignee:		
Target version:		

## **Description**

(My sincere apologies if this issue has already been raised, I didn't see it in Ruby 3.4 backlog.)

As per https://bugs.ruby-lang.org/issues/19370, Ruby 3.3 no longer allows the following:

```
def m(*)
  [1, 2, 3].each { |*| p(*) }
end
m('test', 'test', 'test')
#=> Ruby 3.2: p(*) uses args from m(*) #=> test, test
#=> Ruby 3.3: raises SyntaxError: anonymous rest parameter is also used within block
```

I am concerned because Rubocop auto-corrects Ruby 3.1+ code to syntax which is no longer supported in Ruby 3.3. There may be quite a bit of Ruby code in the wild which breaks on 3.3 (my own app broke in about 50 places).

- Ruby 3.1+: Naming/BlockForwarding (default true)
- Ruby 3.2+: Style/ArgumentsForwarding with UseAnonymousForwarding (default true)

It would be nice if the Ruby 3.4 syntax could allow the old nested syntax, supporting arg shadowing with different behavior between implicit and explicit |\*| usage.

```
def m(*)
  [1, 2, 3].each { p(*) }
end
m('test', 'test', 'test')
#=> Ruby 3.2: p(*) uses args from m(*) #=> test, test, test
#=> Ruby 3.4: p(*) uses args from m(*) #=> test, test, test

def m(*)
  [1, 2, 3].each { |*| p(*) }
end
m('test', 'test', 'test')
#=> Ruby 3.2: p(*) uses args from m(*) #=> test, test, test
#=> Ruby 3.4: p(*) uses args from each #=> 1, 2, 3
```

The same would also apply to anonymous blocks.

### History

#### #1 - 01/25/2024 05:00 AM - johnnyshields (Johnny Shields)

- Subject changed from Reconsider introducing 3.2-style support of Anonymous Args/Blocks to Consider re-adding 3.2-style support of Anonymous Args/Blocks

05/12/2025 1/1