# Ruby - Feature #8506

# Object#iter\_for / Object#to\_iter

06/09/2013 10:31 PM - alindeman (Andy Lindeman)

Status:	Rejected	
Priority:	Normal	
Assignee:		
Target version:		

### **Description**

=begin

Ruby's (({Enumerator})) and (({#enum\_for})) methods are very powerful and I use them very often. However, (({Object#enum\_for})) requires a method that yields, usually in some sort of loop.

Many objects in Ruby have methods that iterate to a "next value," but do not yield. For example, (({Fixnum#next.})) There is no way to use (({Fixnum#next})) with (({#enum for})) directly that I am aware of.

I propose the introduction of (({Object#iter\_for})) which--given a method--generates a lazy sequence by continually invoking the method on successive values. I call it (({iter})) or (({iterate})) because it is very similar to clojure's iterate: http://clojure.github.io/clojure/clojure.core-api.html#clojure.core/iterate

Proposed API:

 $0.iter_for(:next).take(5) # => [0, 1, 2, 3, 4]$ 

require 'date'

Date.new(2013, 1, 1).iter\_for(:next\_month).take(3) # => [Tue, 01 Jan 2013, Fri, 01 Feb 2013, Fri, 01 Mar 2013]

I am especially excited about (( $\{0.\text{iter\_for}(:\text{next})\}$ )) as I find myself using infinite lazy numeric sequences more often lately to solve specific kinds of problems. Right now you are required to write something like: (( $\{\text{Enumerator.new } \{ |y| | i = 0; \text{loop } \{ y << i; i += 1 \} \}\}$ )) or (( $\{(0...\text{Float::INFINITY}).\text{each}\}$ )). Neither is especially elegant or happy to the developers' eyes in my opinion.

Thank you all:) Ruby is an amazing tool.

=end

#### Related issues:

Related to Ruby - Feature #20625: Object#chain of

Open

#### History

#### #1 - 06/09/2013 10:34 PM - Anonymous

+1

# #2 - 06/10/2013 12:38 AM - Anonymous

Btw., regarding Qbject#to\_enum, what is your opinion? Do you use it often? Or is there something about it that makes it less useful?

# #3 - 06/10/2013 02:44 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- Description updated

You may want to show the implementation in ruby (and tests)?

### #4 - 06/10/2013 05:20 PM - Eregon (Benoit Daloze)

Here is the related blogpost: <a href="http://alindeman.github.io/2013/06/10/porting-iterate-to-ruby.html">http://alindeman.github.io/2013/06/10/porting-iterate-to-ruby.html</a>

#### #5 - 06/10/2013 05:21 PM - phluid61 (Matthew Kerwin)

nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada) wrote:

You may want to show the implementation in ruby (and tests)?

Here is an implementation: https://gist.github.com/phluid61/5747216

05/12/2025 1/2

# #6 - 01/23/2024 01:50 PM - p8 (Petrik de Heus)

The following examples:

```
0.iter_for(:next).take(5) # => [0, 1, 2, 3, 4]
Date.new(2013, 1, 1).iter_for(:next_month).take(3) # => [Tue, 01 Jan 2013, Fri, 01 Feb 2013, Fri, 01 Mar 2013]
... can now be created with Enumerator.produce:
Enumerator.produce(0, &:succ).take(5) => [0, 1, 2, 3, 4]
Enumerator.produce(Date.new(2013, 1, 1), &:next_month).take(3) => [Tue, 01 Jan 2013, Fri, 01 Feb 2013, Fri, 01 Mar 2013]
```

# #7 - 01/23/2024 03:10 PM - Eregon (Benoit Daloze)

- Status changed from Open to Rejected

Right, so given they do the same or very similar, let's close this.

#### #8 - 08/01/2024 06:29 AM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)

- Related to Feature #20625: Object#chain\_of added

05/12/2025 2/2